

# CSE 431 Spring 2017

## Assignment #3

Due: Friday, April 21, 2017

**Reading assignment:** Read Chapter 5 of Sipser's text. We will cover section 5.3 before we cover computation histories in section 5.1.

### Problems:

1. Define  $INFINITE_{CFG} = \{\langle G \rangle \mid \text{the language that context-free grammar } G \text{ generates is infinite}\}$ . Prove that  $INFINITE_{CFG}$  is decidable.
2. A *useless state* in a Turing machine is one that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a Turing machine has any useless states. Formulate this problem as a language and show that it is undecidable.
3. Let  $ODD_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts an odd number of strings}\}$ . Show that  $ODD_{TM}$  is undecidable.
4. Suppose that  $A \subseteq \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a decider TM}\}$  and that  $A$  is Turing-recognizable. (That is,  $A$  only contains descriptions of TMs that are deciders but it might not contain all such descriptions.)  
Prove that there is a decidable language  $D$  such that  $L(M) \neq D$  for any  $M$  with  $\langle M \rangle \in A$ . (Intuitively, this means that one couldn't come up with some restricted easy-to-recognize format for deciders that captured all decidable languages.)  
(Hint: You may find it helpful to consider an enumerator for  $A$ .)
5. (Bonus) Let  $\Gamma = \{0, 1, \text{blank}\}$  be the tape alphabet for all TMs in this problem. Define the *busy beaver function*  $BB : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as follows: For each value of  $k$ , consider all  $k$ -state TMs that halt when started with a blank tape. Let  $BB(k)$  be the maximum number of 1s that remain on the tape among all of these machines. Show that  $BB$  is not a computable function.
6. (Bonus) For a PDA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  we say that a string  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$  is a *possible stack of*  $M$  if there is some input and some choice of moves of  $M$  such that  $\alpha$  appears as  $M$ 's stack contents during its computation. Prove that the language  $L \subseteq \Gamma^*$  of possible stacks is regular. (This fact is actually important for certain software verification systems since it allows one to consider the set of possible call stacks using only a finite state machine.)