CSE 431 Spring 2007 Assignment #4

Due: Friday, April 27, 2007

Reading assignment: Finish reading Chapter 5 of Sipser's text. (You may also want to skim section 6.3 of the text.)

Problems:

- 1. (10 points) Show that there is a undecidable language contained in 1^* .
- 2. (10 points) Let $S = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) = \{ \langle M \rangle \} \}$ and M is a Turing machine $\}$. Prove that neither S nor \overline{S} is Turing-recognizable.
- 3. Which of the following problems are decidable? Justify each answer:
 - (a) (10 points) Given Turing machines M and N, is L(N) the complement of L(M)?
 - (b) (10 points) Given a Turing machine M, integers a and b, and input x, does M run for more than a|x|² + b steps on input x?
 - (c) (20 points) Given a program P written in Java, or C, or (insert your favorite programming language) that does not read any input but is executed with no bound on the size of integers, does P ever attempt to index an array outside its allocated array bounds.
- 4. (15 points) Sipser's text: 1st edition problem 5.19; 2nd edition problem 5.21.
- 5. (Extra Credit) Show that the following problem is undecidable: Given a Turing machine M and integers a and b, does there exist an input x on which M runs for more than $a|x|^2 + b$ steps on input x?
- 6. (Extra Credit) Rice's Theorem shows that for every 'non-trivial' property \mathcal{P} of languages,

$$\mathcal{P}_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ has property } \mathcal{P} \}$$

is undecidable where by ' \mathcal{P} is non-trivial' we mean that \mathcal{P} contains some but not all Turing-recognizable languages. Some of these \mathcal{P}_{TM} are not only undecidable, they are also not Turing-recognizable:

Show that if there is some *infinite* Turing-recognizable language L that has property \mathcal{P} but none of the finite subsets of L have property \mathcal{P} then \mathcal{P}_{TM} is not Turing recognizable.