CSE 421 Winter 2025 Lecture 25: NP-Complete 2

Nathan Brunelle

http://www.cs.uw.edu/421

Satisfiability

CNF formula example:

$$(x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (\neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$$

Defn: If there is some assignment of 0's and 1's to the variables that makes it true then we say the formula is satisfiable

- $(x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (\neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$ is satisfiable: $x_1 = x_3 = 1$
- $x_1 \land (\neg x_1 \lor x_2) \land (\neg x_2 \lor x_3) \land \neg x_3$ is not satisfiable.

3SAT: Given a CNF formula *F* with exactly **3** variables per clause, is *F* satisfiable?

More precise definition of NP

A decision problem **A** is in **NP** iff there is

• a polynomial time procedure VerifyA(.,.)

Such that:

- for every input x that is a YES for A there is a certificate t with |t| polynomial in |x| with VerifyA(x, t) = YES
- for every input x that is a NO for A there does not exist a certificate t with
 |t| polynomial in |x| with VerifyA(x, t) = YES

Steps for showing that a problem is in \ensuremath{NP}

- 1. Must be decision probem (YES/NO)
- 2. Describe what your certificates could look like for verification
- Describe a verification algorithm VerifyA(x, t) where x is an instance of the problem and t is one of the certificates you just described, and show that it has these properties:
 - 1. For every given **YES** input x, there is at least one choice of t where **VerifyA**(x, t) is **YES**
 - 2. For any given **NO** input x, there is no choice of t where **VerifyA**(x, t) is **YES**
 - 3. VerifyA(x, t) runs in polynomial time

Verifying the certificate is efficient

3Color:

- Certificate: a coloring
- *Verify* algorithm: Check that each vertex has one of only 3 colors and check that the endpoints of every edge have different colors
 - A valid coloring exists for any 3-colorable graph, but not for one that isn't 3-colorable

Independent-Set, Clique:

- Certificate: the set **U** of vertices
- Verify algorithm: Check that $|U| \ge k$ and either no (IS) or all (Clique) edges on present on U
 - A valid **U** only exists for yes instances

Vertex-Cover:

- Certificate: the set \boldsymbol{W} of vertices
- Verify algorithm: Check that $|W| \leq k$ and W touches every edge.
 - A valid *W* only exists for yes instances
- **3-SAT**:
 - Certificate: a truth assignment α that makes the CNF formula F true.
 - Verify algorithm: Evaluate \mathbf{F} on the truth assignment $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
 - A valid truth assignment only exists for yes instances

NP-hardness & NP-completeness

Notion of hardness we **can** prove that is useful unless P = NP:

Defn: Problem *B* is **NP**-hard iff every problem $A \in NP$ satisfies $A \leq_P B$.

This means that B is at least as hard as every problem in NP.

Defn: Problem *B* is **NP**-complete iff

- $B \in NP$ and
- **B** is **NP**-hard.

This means that **B** is a hardest problem in **NP**.

NP-harc NP-complete NP

Cook-Levin Theorem Theorem [Cook 1971, Levin 1973]: 3SAT is NP-complete Proof: See CSE 431.

Corollary: If **3SAT** \leq_P **B** then **B** is **NP**-hard.

Proof: Let A be an arbitrary problem in NP. Since **3SAT** is NP-hard we have $A \leq_P 3SAT$.

Then $A \leq_P 3SAT$ and $3SAT \leq_P B$ imply that $A \leq_P B$.

Therefore every problem A in NP has $A \leq_P B$ so B is NP-hard.

Cook & Levin did the hard work.

We only need to give one reduction to show that a problem is NP-hard!

What we know: 3Sat is NP-Hard This reduction always exists! (by definition of NP-Hard) 3Sat $O(n^{p})$ Any NP problem $(x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land$ $(x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land$ A Procedure for converting $(x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$ instances of A into 3CNF formulas Algorithm for solving 3SAT Use the same answer Solution for A Solution for satisfiability Yes/No Yes/No Reduction

Goal: *B* is NP-Hard



Showing B is NP-Hard



Steps to Proving Problem **B** is **NP**-complete

- Show **B** is in **NP**
 - State what the hint/certificate is.
 - Argue that it is polynomial-time to check and you won't get fooled.
- Show *B* is **NP**-hard:
 - State: "Reduction is from NP-hard Problem A"
 - Show what the reduction function *f* is.
 - Argue that *f* is polynomial time.
 - Argue correctness in two directions:
 - x a YES for A implies f(x) is a YES for B
 - Do this by showing how to convert a certificate for x being YES for A to a certificate for f(x) being a YES for B.
 - **f**(**x**) a **YES** for **B** implies **x** is a **YES** for **A**
 - ... by converting certificates for f(x) to certificates for x

Next up: Let's show Independent Set is NP-Hard



Showing Independent Set is NP-Hard

3Sat

 $\begin{array}{c} (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3) \end{array}$

Solution for the instance of 3Sat

Yes/No

Independent Set $O(n^{p})$ G (T k = 3k = 2Covert a 3CNF formula F into a graph G and a number k such that **G** has an independent set of size k if and only if F has a Algorithm for solving satisfying assignment Independent Set Solution for the instance of Use the same answer Independent Set Yes/No Reduction

Another **NP**-complete problem: $3SAT \leq_P$ Independent-Set

- 1. The reduction:
 - Map CNF formula F to a graph G and integer k
 - Let *m* = # of clauses of *F*
 - Create a vertex in G for each literal occurrence in F
 - 3*m* total vertices
 - Join two vertices u, v in G by an edge iff
 - u and v correspond to literals in the same clause of F or
 - u and v correspond to literals x and $\neg x$ (or vice versa) for some variable x (i.e. they contradict).
 - Set *k* = *m*
- 2. Clearly polynomial-time computable

Another **NP**-complete problem: $3SAT \leq_P$ Independent-Set $F = (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$



G has both kinds of edges. The color is just to show why the edges were included.

k = m

Correctness (\Rightarrow)

Suppose that **F** is satisfiable (**YES** for **3SAT**)

- Let *α* be a satisfying assignment; it satisfies at least one literal in each clause.
- Choose the set **U** in **G** to correspond to the **first satisfied literal in each clause**.
 - $|\boldsymbol{U}| = \boldsymbol{m}$
 - Since **U** has **1** vertex per clause, no same-clause edges inside **U**.
 - A truth assignment never satisfies both x and $\neg x$, so no contradicting-variable edges inside U.
 - Therefore **U** is an independent set of size **m**

Therefore (*G*, *m*) is a YES for Independent-Set.

 $\mathbf{F} = (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$



Satisfying assignment α :

 $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(x_2) = \alpha(x_3) = \alpha(x_4) = 1$

Set *U* marked in purple is independent.

Correctness (⇐)

Suppose that G has an independent set of size m((G, m) is a YES for Independent-Set)

- Let **U** be the independent set of size **m**;
- **U** must have one vertex per column (same-clause edges)
- Because of contraidict-variable edges, **U** doesn't have vertex labels with conflicting literals.
- Set all literals labelling vertices in **U** to true
- This may not be a total assignment but just extend arbitrarily to a total assignment α .
 - This assignment satisfies **F** since it makes at least one literal per clause true.

Therefore **F** is satisfiable and a **YES** for **3SAT**.

$$\mathbf{F} = (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$$



Given independent set U of size mSatisfying assignment α : Part defined by U: $\alpha(x_1) = 0, \alpha(x_2) = 1, \alpha(x_3) = 0$ Set $\alpha(x_4) = 0$.

Showing Independent Set is NP-Hard

3Sat

 $\begin{array}{c} (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3) \end{array}$

Solution for the instance of 3Sat

Yes/No

Independent Set $O(n^p)$ k = 3 x_1 $\neg x$ $\neg x_1$ Make one node per literal, connect each to other nodes in $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}$ the same clause, connect literals with their negations, set k to be Algorithm for solving the number of clauses Independent Set Solution for the instance of Use the same answer Independent Set Yes/No Reduction 18

Many NP-complete problems

Since $3SAT \leq_P Independent-Set$, Independent-Set is NP-hard. We already showed that Independent-Set is in NP.

⇒ Independent-Set is NP-complete

Corollary: Clique and **Vertex-Cover** are also **NP**-complete. **Proof:** We already showed that all are in **NP**. We also showed that **Independent-Set** polytime reduces to all of them. Combining this with **3SAT** \leq_P **Independent-Set** we get that all are **NP**-hard.

NP-complete problems so far

So far:

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{3SAT} \rightarrow \textbf{Independent-Set} \rightarrow \textbf{Clique} \\ \downarrow \\ \textbf{Vertex-Cover} \end{array}
```

4-Satisfiability

CNF formula example:

$$(x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (\neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$$

Defn: If there is some assignment of 0's and 1's to the variables that makes it true then we say the formula is satisfiable

- $(x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (\neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$ is satisfiable: $x_1 = x_3 = 1$
- $x_1 \land (\neg x_1 \lor x_2) \land (\neg x_2 \lor x_3) \land \neg x_3$ is not satisfiable.

3SAT: Given a CNF formula *F* with exactly **3** variables per clause, is *F* satisfiable?

4SAT: Given a CNF formula F with exactly 4 variables per clause, is F satisfiable?

Let's show 4Sat is NP-Hard



Showing Independent Set is NP-Hard



3Sat \leq_P 4Sat: A False Start (pun intended)

Goal: Covert a 3CNF formula \mathbf{F} into a 4CNF formula \mathbf{F}' such that \mathbf{F}' has a satisfying assignment if and only if \mathbf{F} has a one

Idea: Given a 3CNF formula, add one more variable per clause without changing its satisfiability

 $F = (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$

This almost works: Add "false" to each clause $F' = (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4 \lor false) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3 \lor false) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3 \lor false)$ The resulting formula is logically equivalent to the original

Problem: This violates the definition of a CNF formula. The definition doesn't allow for Boolean constants, only variables

3Sat \leq_P 4Sat: The Reduction

Goal: Covert a 3CNF formula \mathbf{F} into a 4CNF formula \mathbf{F}' such that \mathbf{F}' has a satisfying assignment if and only if \mathbf{F} has a one

Idea: Given a 3CNF formula, add one more variable per clause without changing its satisfiability

 $F = (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3)$

Solution: Add the same variable to each clause, then add one or more clauses to guarantee that variable must be false $F' = (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4 \lor y) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3 \lor y) \land (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3 \lor y) \land (\neg y \lor \neg y \lor \neg y)$

Showing Independent Set is NP-Hard



Correctness

• **F** satisfiable \Rightarrow **F**' satisfiable

Let *a* be an assignment of true/false to each variable that satisfies \mathbf{F} . In this case, since all except one clause of \mathbf{F}' share variables with clauses from \mathbf{F} , *a* satisfies all clauses of \mathbf{F}' except that one new clause. This new clause can be satisfied by y = false.

• F' satisfiable \Rightarrow F satisfiable

Let a' be an assignment of true/false to each variable that satisfies F'. Because a' must satisfy all clauses, and the only way to satisfy the new clause is y = false. Since y = false in a', all other clauses are logically equivalent to the original clauses from F.

Recall: Graph Colorability

Defn: A undirected graph G = (V, E) is *k*-colorable iff we can assign one of *k* colors to each vertex of *V* s.t. for every edge (u, v) has different colored endpoints, $\chi(u) \neq \chi(v)$. "edges are not monochromatic"

Theorem: 3Color is NP-complete

Proof:

- 1. 3Color is in NP:
 - We already showed this; the certificate was the coloring.
- 2. 3Color is NP-hard:

Claim: 3SAT≤_P3Color

We need to find a function f that maps a 3CNF formula F to a graph G s.t. F is satisfiable $\Leftrightarrow G$ is 3-colorable.

Next up: Let's show 3Color is NP-Hard



Showing 3Color is NP-Hard

3Sat

 $\begin{array}{c} (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3) \end{array}$

Solution for the instance of 3Sat

Yes/No

3Color $O(n^{p})$ Covert a 3CNF formula F into a graph G such that G is 3 colorable if and only if **F** has a satisfying assignment Algorithm for solving Independent Set Solution for the instance of Use the same answer Independent Set Yes/No Reduction

 $3SAT \leq_{P} 3Color$

Start with a base triangle with vertices **T**, **F**, and **O**. We can assume that **T**, **F**, and **O** are the three colors used.

• Intuition: **T** and **F** will stand for *true* and *false*; **O** will stand for *other*.

To represent the properties of the 3CNF formula *F* we will need both a Boolean variable part and a clause part.



$3SAT \leq_{P} 3Color$



Boolean variable part:

- For each Boolean variable add a triangle with two nodes labelled by literals as shown.
- Since both nodes are joined to node O and to each other, they must have opposite colors T and F in any 3-coloring.
- So, any 3-coloring corresponds to a unique truth assignment.

Base Triangle

F

 $3SAT \leq_{P} 3Color$

Idea:

Create a "middle" node per literal for each clause, we will consider a **T**-colored middle node to satisfy a clause.

In the graph:

For each clause of **F** add 3 "middle" nodes. Then:

- Join each middle node to it opposite literal node
- Join each middle node to F
 Now each middle node must be either T or O, and any connect to something Tcolored must be O-colored



 $3SAT \leq_{P} 3Color$

Idea:

Force at least one middle node per clause to be **T**-colored.

In the graph:

For each clause of **F** add an outer triangle.

 Join each middle node a vertex in the triangle

No middle node can be Fcolored (all connect to F) Not all middle nodes are Ocolored (because something in the outer triangle must be)

So at least one is **T**-colored



 $3SAT \leq_{P} 3Color$

Key property:

In any 3-coloring: outer nodes either **T** or **O**

inner triangle must use O



Showing 3Color is NP-Hard

3Sat

 $\begin{array}{c} (x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land \\ (x_2 \lor \neg x_1 \lor x_3) \end{array}$

Solution for the instance of 3Sat

Yes/No

Create "base triangle" and one node per variable and negation. Connect each variable node to the "false color" node. Per clause, create a triangle and one middle node per literal. Connect each middle to the triangle, false, and the opposite variable

 $O(n^{p})$



Color Algorithm for solving Color

Solution for the instance of 3Color

Yes/No

F satisfiable \Rightarrow 3 Colorable

Suppose *F* is satisfiable. We can then 3-Color the graph by:

- Make each True literal • node **T**-colored
- Make each False literal • node **F**-colored
- Make one True middle • node per clause **T**-colored
- Make the remaining • middle nodes O-colored
- Color each outer triangle ۲ (node connect to the Tcolored middle node will be **O**-colored, the others can be either **T**-colored or **F**-colored)



3 Colorable \Rightarrow *F* satisfiable

Suppose the graph is 3colorable. We can satisfy **F** by:

- Making each **T**-colored literal node True and each Fcolored literal node False
 - No nodes are **O**-colored, ۲ so this will work out
- We know this satisfies F • because:
 - Each clause will have ۲ one **T**-colored middle node (connected to the **O**-colored outer triangle node) which matches the color of its equivalent literal

