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Richard Anderson
Winter 2024
Lecture 6 - Greedy Algorithms II

## Announcements

- Today's lecture
-Kleinberg-Tardos, 4.2, 4.3
- Friday
-Kleinberg-Tardos, 4.4, 4.5


## Stable Matching Results

- Averages of 5 runs
- Much better for M than W
- Why is it better for M ?
- What is the growth of mrank and w-rank as a function of $n$ ?

| $\mathbf{n}$ | m-rank | w-rank |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 500 | 5.10 | 98.05 |
| 500 | 7.52 | 66.95 |
| 500 | 8.57 | 58.18 |
| 500 | 6.32 | 75.87 |
| 500 | 5.25 | 90.73 |
| 500 | 6.55 | 77.95 |
|  |  |  |
| 1000 | 6.80 | 146.93 |
| 1000 | 6.50 | 154.71 |
| 1000 | 7.14 | 133.53 |
| 1000 | 7.44 | 128.96 |
| 1000 | 7.36 | 137.85 |
| 1000 | 7.04 | 140.40 |
|  |  |  |
| 2000 | 7.83 | 257.79 |
| 2000 | 7.50 | 263.78 |
| 2000 | 11.42 | 175.17 |
| 2000 | 7.16 | 274.76 |
| 2000 | 7.54 | 261.60 |
| 2000 | 8.29 | 246.62 |

## Approximation Algorithms

- Compare solution of approximation algorithm with the optimal algorithm
- Earliest deadline first
- Earliest starttime first
- Shortest interval first
- Fewest conflicts first


## Scheduling Intervals

- Given a set of intervals
- What is the largest set of non-overlapping intervals
- Compare heuristics with optimal
- Suppose the n intervals are "random"
- What is the expected number of independent intervals
- Generate random interval [a,b]:
- $x=$ randomDouble(0, 1.0); $y=$ randomDouble $(x, 1.0)$
- $a=\min (x, y) ; b=\max (x, y)$


## Greedy Algorithms

- Solve problems with the simplest possible algorithm
- The hard part: showing that something simple actually works
- Today's problems (Sections 4.2, 4.3)
- Homework Scheduling
- Optimal Caching
- Subsequence testing


## Homework Scheduling

- Tasks to perform
- Deadlines on the tasks
- Freedom to schedule tasks in any order
- Can I get all my work turned in on time?
- If I can't get everything in, I want to minimize the maximum lateness


## Scheduling tasks

- Each task has a length $t_{i}$ and a deadline $d_{i}$
- All tasks are available at the start
- One task may be worked on at a time
- All tasks must be completed
- Goal minimize maximum lateness
- Lateness: $L_{i}=f_{i}-d_{i}$ if $f_{i} \geq d_{i}$


## Example

Time
$\mathrm{a}_{1} 2$
$\mathrm{a}_{2} \longrightarrow 3$


Deadline
2

4

Lateness 1

Lateness 3

## Determine the minimum lateness

Time


Deadline
6

4

5

12


## Greedy Algorithm

- Earliest deadline first
- Order jobs by deadline
- This algorithm is optimal


## Analysis

- Suppose the jobs are ordered by deadlines, $d_{1} \leq d_{2} \leq \ldots \leq d_{n}$
- A schedule has an inversion if job $j$ is scheduled before i where j>i
- The schedule A computed by the greedy algorithm has no inversions.
- Let O be the optimal schedule, we want to show that $A$ has the same maximum lateness as $O$


# List the inversions 

Time

$\square$
$\mathrm{a}_{4} \quad 5$

Deadline
4

5

6

12


## Lemma: There is an optimal schedule with no idle time

| $\mathrm{a}_{4}$ |  | $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- It doesn't hurt to start your homework early!
- Note on proof techniques
- This type of can be important for keeping proofs clean
- It allows us to make a simplifying assumption for the remainder of the proof


## Lemma

- If there is an inversion $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$, there is a pair of adjacent jobs i', j' which form an inversion



## Interchange argument

- Suppose there is a pair of jobs i and j , with $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$, and j scheduled immediately before i. Interchanging i and j does not increase the maximum lateness.



## Proof by Bubble Sort



## Real Proof

- There is an optimal schedule with no inversions and no idle time.
- Let O be an optimal schedule k inversions, we construct a new optimal schedule with k -1 inversions
- Repeat until we have an optimal schedule with 0 inversions
- This is the solution found by the earliest deadline first algorithm


## Result

- Earliest Deadline First algorithm constructs a schedule that minimizes the maximum lateness


## Homework Scheduling

- How is the model unrealistic?


## Extensions

- What if the objective is to minimize the sum of the lateness?
- EDF does not work
- If the tasks have release times and deadlines, and are non-preemptable, the problem is NP-complete
- What about the case with release times and deadlines where tasks are preemptable?


## Optimal Caching

- Caching problem:
- Maintain collection of items in local memory
- Minimize number of items fetched


## Caching example


$A, B, C, D, A, E, B, A, D, A, C, B, D, A$

## Optimal Caching

- If you know the sequence of requests, what is the optimal replacement pattern?
- Note - it is rare to know what the requests are in advance - but we still might want to do this:
- Some specific applications, the sequence is known
- Register allocation in code generation
- Competitive analysis, compare performance on an online algorithm with an optimal offline algorithm


## Farthest in the future algorithm

- Discard element used farthest in the future


$$
A, B, C, A, C, D, C, B, C, A, D
$$

## Correctness Proof

- Sketch
- Start with Optimal Solution O
- Convert to Farthest in the Future Solution F-F
- Look at the first place where they differ
- Convert O to evict F-F element
- There are some technicalities here to ensure the caches have the same configuration .. .


## Subsequence Testing

- Is $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m}$ a subsequence of $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n}$ ?
- e.g. is $A, B, C, D, C, B, A$ a subsequence of A, A, C,B,A,B,C,B,D,B,D,C,B,C,B,A,B,A

| A | B | C | D | C | B | A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| A | A | C | B | A | B | C | B | D | B | D | C | B | C | B | A | B | A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Friday



