

NAME: _____

CSE 421
Introduction to Algorithms
Sample Midterm Exam Fall 2014

DIRECTIONS:

- Answer the problems on the exam paper.
- You are allowed one cheat sheet.
- Justify all answers with proofs, unless the facts you need have been proved in class or in the book.
- If you need extra space use the back of a page
- You have 50 minutes to complete the exam.
- Please do not turn the exam over until you are instructed to do so.
- Good Luck!

1	/25
2	/25
3	/25
4	/25
Total	/100

1. (25 points, 5 each) For each of the following problems answer **True** or **False** and BRIEFLY JUSTIFY your answer.

(a) $n^{2.1} = O(n^2 \log n)$.

False. $n^{0.1}$ grows faster than $\log n$, as we discussed in class.

(b) There is a polynomial time algorithm for deciding whether a graph is bipartite or not.

True. We can use breadth first search to check whether a graph is bipartite or not.

(c) If an undirected connected graph G has a unique heaviest weight edge e , then e cannot be part of any minimum spanning tree.

False. If the edge is the only edge that connects a particular vertex, it must be included in every spanning tree.

(d) If all edges in a graph have weight 1, then there is an $O(m + n)$ time algorithm to find the minimum spanning tree, where m is the number of edges and n is the number of vertices.

True. In this case all spanning trees have the same weight. So we can use breadth first search to find a spanning tree.

(e) If $T(n) \leq 10T(n/3) + n^3$, $T(1) = 1$, then $T(n) = O(n^3)$. True. By the master theorem, since $3^3 > 10$, $T(n) = O(n^3)$.

2. (25 points) A perfect matching of an undirected graph on $2n$ vertices is a matching of size n , namely n edges such that each vertex is part of exactly one edge. Give a polynomial time algorithm that takes a tree on $2n$ vertices as input and finds a perfect matching in the tree, if such a matching exists. HINT: Give a greedy algorithm that tries to match a leaf in each step. *Solution:* To find the perfect matching, proceed as follows:

```

Input: A tree  $T$ .
Result: A perfect matching in the tree, if one exists.
Set  $M$  to be an empty set;
while  $T$  has vertices in it do
    if  $T$  has a vertex  $\ell$  with  $\text{deg}(\ell) = 1$  then
        Let  $p$  be the neighbor of  $\ell$  ;
        Add  $\{p, \ell\}$  to  $M$ ;
        Delete the vertices  $p, \ell$  from  $T$ ;
    else
        Output “no matching”;
    end
end
Output  $M$ ;

```

Algorithm 1: Perfect Matching Algorithm for Trees

Analysis: The algorithm obviously runs in polynomial time because each time we remove a leaf from the tree. So, we can continue this only $O(n)$ times. We prove correctness by induction. Predicate: $P(2n)$ = “For every acyclic graph T with $2n$ vertices the above algorithm finds a perfect matching in T iff T has a perfect matching”. Note that we do not prove the predicate for trees, and instead we prove it for acyclic subgraphs. This is because after removing the nodes p, ℓ from the tree in the algorithm it may not remain a tree. However, it will remain acyclic.

Base Case: If $2n = 2$ either we have an empty graph with no edges (so no perfect matching) or the graph has an edge and that edge is the perfect matching and the algorithm outputs it.

IH: Suppose for some $P(2(n - 1))$ holds.

IS: We need to prove $P(n)$. Given an acyclic subgraph T . Note that every connected component of T is a tree, and it must have a leaf, if it has at least one edge. Let’s call a leaf of T , ℓ , and let p be the unique neighbor of ℓ in T . Observe that if T has a perfect matching then ℓ must be matched to p in that matching. This is because ℓ has just one edge incident to it and it cannot be matched to any other vertex.

Let $T' = T - p - \ell$. Note that T' is also acyclic because by removing vertices/edges we do not introduce cycles. Also, observe that T' has $2(n - 1)$ vertices, so by IH the algorithm finds a perfect matching in T' iff such a perfect matching exists. Now, we prove the correctness of the algorithm for T . If T' has a perfect matching M' , then $M' \cup \{p, \ell\}$ is a perfect matching in T and we succeed. If T has a perfect matching M , then $\{p, \ell\} \in M$, so $M - \{p, \ell\}$ is a perfect matching of T' and we succeed by IH.

3. (25 points) A contiguous subsequence of a list S is a subsequence made up of consecutive elements of S . For instance, if S is

$$5, 15, -30, 10, -5, 40, 10,$$

then $15, -30, 10$ is a contiguous subsequence but $5, 15, 40$ is not. Give a polynomial time algorithm that takes n numbers as input, and outputs the contiguous sequence of maximum sum.

Solution: The problem only asks for a polynomial time algorithm. For all interval $[x_i, \dots, x_j]$ sum up all the numbers in the interval and take the maximum over all possible intervals.

Since, there are at most n^2 many intervals and we can compute the sum of numbers in each interval in time $O(n)$ the above algorithm runs in time $O(n^3)$ which is a polynomial in n .

4. (25 points) Given *sorted* array of n distinct integers, arranged in increasing order $A[1, n]$, you want to find out whether there is an index i for which $A[i] = i$. Give an algorithm that runs in time $O(\log n)$ for this problem. HINT: Consider the algorithm that compares $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil]$ and $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, and uses that comparison to recurse on either the first half or the second half of the array. Prove that if $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil] > \lceil n/2 \rceil$, such an i cannot be in last $n - \lceil n/2 \rceil$ coordinates, and if $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil] < \lceil n/2 \rceil$, then such an i cannot be in the first $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ coordinates. *Solution:*

```

Input: A sorted array  $A$ 
Result:  $i$  such that  $A[i] = i$ , if such an  $i$  exists
Let  $k = 1, j = n$ ;
while  $j - k > 1$  do
    Set  $\ell = \lfloor \frac{j+k}{2} \rfloor$ ;
    if  $A[\ell] = \ell$  then
        | Output  $\ell$ .
    else if  $A[\ell] > \ell$  then Set  $j = \ell$ ;
    ;
    else Set  $k = \ell$ ;
    ;
end
if  $A[k] = k$  then
    | Output  $k$ ;
else if  $A[j] = j$  then Output  $j$  ;
;
else Output "No such index";
;

```

Algorithm 2: Binary Search

Let $P(m)$ be given a sorted array $A[1, n]$ of n distinct number in increasing order and an interval $[k, j]$ such that $j - k = m$ our code finds an index $A[i] = i$ in the range $k \leq i \leq j$ if it exists.

Base Case: $P(0)$ holds; in this case $k = j$ so we simply check whether $A[j] = j$.

IH: Assume for some $m \geq 1$, $P(\ell)$ holds for any $\ell < m$.

IS: We prove $P(m)$. Given $[k, j]$ with $j - k = m$, let $\ell = \frac{k+j}{2}$. if $A[\ell] > \ell$, then it must be the case that any index i with $A[i] = i$ is in the interval $[k, \ell]$; therefore our code correctly reduces the interval to $[k, \ell]$ This is because for all $j \geq \ell$,

$$A[j] \geq j - \ell + A[\ell] > j - \ell + \ell = j.$$

In the first inequality we have used that A is sorted array of distinct integers and in the second one we used that $A[\ell] > \ell$.

Similarly, if $A[\ell] < \ell$, it must be the case that the index we want is in the interval $[\ell, j]$. In the first case we find the index i by $P(\ell - k)$ and in the second case we find it by $P(j - \ell)$.

Runtime: Because each time we halve the size of the interval we are looking for, the runtime satisfies: $T(n) \leq T(n/2) + O(1)$. Thus $T(n) \leq O(\log n)$.