### **CSE 421Introduction to Algorithms**

### **Lecture 18: Applications/Extensions of Network Flow**

I EN SCHOOL

#### **Announcements**

The next week:

- Today: HW6 out, due Wed Nov 13.
- Thursday: Section covering Network Flow
- Friday: More Network Flow Applications
- Monday: Veteran's Day Holiday

#### **Recall: Bipartite Matching using Network Flow**

Add new source**s** pointing to left set, new sink **t** pointed to by right set. Direct all edges from left to right with capacity 1. Compute MaxFlow.



#### **More Bipartite Matching using Network Flow**

It also works if we have no capacity limit on the edges of the input graph  $G$  since we can never get more than 1 unit of flow to these edges and flows are integral w.l.o.g.



#### **Bipartite Matching using Network Flow**

Add new source**s** pointing to left set, new sink **t** pointed to by right set. Direct edges left to right; new edges have capacity1. Compute MaxFlow.



#### **Min Cut in Bipartite Flow Graph**

Vertices of  $G$  involved in Min Cut (one per edge crossing the cut) is a minimum size set of vertices of that blocks all flow from **<sup>s</sup>** to **t**.





#### **The Minimum Vertex Cover Problem**

- **Defn**: A set of vertices C is a *vertex cover* of an undirected graph  $\textbf{\textit{G}}=(\textbf{\textit{V}}, \textbf{\textit{E}})$  iff every edge is touched by some vertex in  $\textbf{\textit{C}}$ .
- The set  $\boldsymbol{V}$  is a vertex cover of  $\boldsymbol{G}.$
- **Problem**: Given  $G$ , find as small a vertex cover of  $G$  as possible.
- When  $\boldsymbol{G}$  is bipartite the Min Cut in our flow graph will let us find one.

#### **Vertex Covers Block Flows from s to t.**

C is a vertex cover of G iff all flow from s to t must go through C.





#### **Minimum Vertex Cover for Bipartite Graphs**

So... vertices of  $G$  involved in Min Cut (one per edge crossing the cut) form a minimum vertex cover of  $\boldsymbol{G}.$ 





#### **Perfect Matching**

 $\textbf{Defn: } \textsf{A}$  matching  $\textit{\textbf{M}} \subseteq \textit{\textbf{E}}$  is perfect iff every vertex is in some edge.

**Q:** When does a bipartite graph have a perfect matching?

- Clearly we must have  $|\bm{L}| = |\bm{R}|.$
- What other conditions are necessary?
- What conditions are sufficient?



#### **Perfect Matching**

**Notation:** For S be a set of vertices let  $N(S)$  be the set of vertices adjacent to nodes in  $\boldsymbol{S}$  (the "neighborhood of  $\boldsymbol{S}$ ").

**Observation:** If a bipartite graph  $G = (L \cup R, E)$  has a perfect matching, then  $|N(\bm{S})|\geq |\bm{S}|$  for all subsets  $\bm{S}\subseteq \bm{L}.$ 

**Proof:** Each node in  $\boldsymbol{S}$  has to be matched to a different node in  $\boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{S})$ .

**Hall's Theorem** say this is the only condition we need: If there is no<br>nextest matching than there is same subset  $S \subseteq I$  with  $\vert M(S) \vert \geq \vert S \vert$ perfect matching then there is some subset  $\boldsymbol{S} \subseteq \boldsymbol{L}$  with  $|\boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{S})| < |\boldsymbol{S}|.$ 

#### **Hall's Theorem Proof**



#### **Matching in General Graphs?**





#### **Matching: Best Running Times**

Bipartite matching running times?

- Generic augmenting path:  $\bm{\mathit{O}}(\bm{mn})$ .
- Shortest augmenting path:  $O(mn^{1/2})$ .
- Until very recently these were the best...
- Recent algorithms for maxflow give  $O(\bm{m^{1+o(1)}})$  time with high probability.

General matching?

- Augmenting paths don't work
- [Edmonds 1965] Added notion of "blossoms" for first polytime algorithm  $\,O(\bm{n^4})$ 
	- One of the most famous/important papers in the field: "Paths, Trees, and Flowers"
- [Micali-Vazirani 1980, 2020] Tricky data structures and analysis.  $|O(mn^{1/2})|$

# **Disjoint Paths**



**Defn:** Two paths in a graph are edge-disjoint iff they have no edge in common.

**Disjoint path problem: Given:** a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  and two vertices s and t. **Find:** the maximum # of edge-disjoint  $\bm{s}\text{-}\bm{t}$  simple paths in  $\bm{G}.$ 

**Application:** Routing in communication networks.



**Defn:** Two paths in a graph are edge-disjoint iff they have no edge in common.

**Disjoint path problem: Given:** a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  and two vertices s and t. **Find:** the maximum # of edge-disjoint simple  $\bm{s}$ - $\bm{t}$  paths in  $\bm{G}.$ 

**Application:** Routing in communication networks.



MaxFlow for edge-disjoint paths

- Delete edges into  $\bm{s}$  or out of  $\bm{t}$
- Assign capacity **1** to every edge
- Compute MaxFlow

**Theorem:** MaxFlow <sup>=</sup> # edge-disjoint paths



MaxFlow for edge-disjoint paths

- Delete edges into  $\bm{s}$  or out of  $\bm{t}$
- Assign capacity **1** to every edge
- Compute MaxFlow

**Theorem:** MaxFlow <sup>=</sup> # edge-disjoint paths

**Proof:** ≥**:** Assign flow 1 to each edge in the set of paths



MaxFlow for edge-disjoint paths

- Delete edges into  $\bm{s}$  or out of  $\bm{t}$
- Assign capacity **1** to every edge
- Compute MaxFlow



#### **Theorem:** MaxFlow <sup>=</sup> # edge-disjoint paths

- **Proof:** ≥**:** Assign flow 1 to each edge in the set of paths
	- ≤: Consider any integral maximum flow  $\boldsymbol{f}$  on  $\boldsymbol{G}$

By integrality, each edge with flow has flow 1.

Remove any directed cycles in  $f$ with flow; still have a maxflow.

Greedily choose  $\boldsymbol{s\text{-}t}$  paths, one by one, removing candidate flow edge after using it.

Paths are simple since no directed cycles.  $\blacksquare$ 



#### **Network Connectivity**

**Defn:** A set of edges  $F \subseteq E$  in  $G = (V, E)$  disconnects  $t$  from  $s$  iff every  $s$ - $t$  path uses at least one edge in  $\bm{F}.$  (Equivalently, removing all edges in  $\bm{F}$  makes  $\bm{t}$  unreachable.)

**Network Connectivity: Given:** a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  and two nodes s and t, **Find:** minimum # of edges whose removal disconnects  $\boldsymbol{t}$  from  $\boldsymbol{s}.$ 



Min # of disconnecting edges: **2**No  $\bm{s}$ - $\bm{t}$  path remains.

#### **Edge-Disjoint Paths and Network Connectivity**

#### **Menger's Theorem:** Maximum # of edge-disjoint  $s$ -*t* paths  $=$  Minimum # of edges whose removal disconnects  $\boldsymbol{t}$  from  $\boldsymbol{s}$ .

**Proof:** Choose maximum set of MaxFlow edge-disjoint <mark>*s-t* paths.</mark>



Disconnecting set needs

- $\geq 1$  edge from each path
- $=$  MaxFlow  $=$  MinCut edges.

Edges out of minimum cut is a disconnecting set of size MinCut



#### **Edge-Disjoint Paths in Undirected Graphs**

Both # of edge-disjoint paths and disconnecting sets make sense for an undirected graph  $\textbf{\textit{G}}=(\textit{V},\textit{E})$ , too.  $\,$  Same ideas work:

• Replace each undirected edge  $\{u, v\}$  with directed edges  $(u, v)$  and  $(v, u)$  to get directed graph  $\boldsymbol{G}' = (\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{E}')$  and run directed graph algorithm on  $\boldsymbol{G}'.$ 



- After removing directed flow cycles, flow can use only one of  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$  or  $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{u}).$
- Include edge  $\{{\boldsymbol u}, {\boldsymbol v}\}$  on a path if either one is used in directed version.

The same idea works in general for Network Flow on undirected graphs:

• Remove flow cycles: **uv7**/ 9**3**/9**u**9**vuv4**/99**uv**99

## **Circulation with Demands**

**G. ALLEN SCHOOL** PAL **CE & ENGINEERING** 

#### **Circulation with Demands**

- Single commodity, directed graph  $G=(V,E)$
- Each node  $\bm v$  has an associated demand  $\bm d(\bm v)$ 
	- Needs to receive an amount of the commodity: demand  $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{v}}) > |\mathbf{0}|$
	- Supplies some amount of the commodity: "demand"  $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) < \boldsymbol{0}$  (amount =  $|\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{\nu})|$ )
- Each edge  $e$  has a capacity  $c(e) \ge 0$ .
- Nothing lost:  $\sum_{v} d(v) = 0$ .

**Defn:** A circulation for  $(G, c, d)$  is a flow function  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  meeting all the capacities,  $0 \le f(e) \le c(e)$ , and demands:  $\sum_{e \text{ into } v} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} f(e) = d(v)$ .

**Circulation with Demands:** Given  $(G, c, d)$ , does it have a circulation? If so, find it.

#### **Circulation with Demands**

**Defn:** Total supply  $\boldsymbol{D} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}: \; \boldsymbol{d}}$ Necessary condition:  $\sum_{\mathcal{V}: \; \bm{d}(\mathcal{V}) > \bm{0}} \bm{d}(\mathcal{V}) = \bm{D}$  $d(v)$   $<$  0  $|\bm{d}(\bm{\nu})| = -\sum_{\bm{\nu}: \, \bm{d}(\bm{\nu}) < \bm{0}} \bm{d}(\bm{\nu}).$  $d(v) > 0$  $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{v}}) = \boldsymbol{D}$  (no supply is lost)





- Add new source  $\bm{s}$  and sink  $\bm{t}$ .
- Add edge  $(\bm{s}, \bm{\nu})$  for all supply nodes  $\bm{\nu}$  with capacity  $|\bm{d}(\bm{\nu})|$ .
- Add edge  $(\nu, t)$  for all demand nodes  $\nu$  with capacity  $\boldsymbol{d}(\nu)$ .



• Compute MaxFlow.



- MaxFlow  $\leq D$  based on cuts out of  $\bm{s}$  or into  $\bm{t}$ .
- If MaxFlow  $= D$  then all supply/demands satisfied.



Circulation = flow on original edges

Circulations only need integer flows



When does a circulation not exist? MaxFlow  $< D$  iff MinCut  $< D$ .



When does a circulation not exist? MaxFlow  $< D$  iff MinCut  $< D$ .

Equivalent to excess supply on "source" side of cut smaller than cut capacity.





#### **Some general ideas for using MaxFlow/MinCut**

- If no source/sink, add them with appropriate capacity depending on application
- Sometimes can have edges with no capacity limits
	- Infinite capacity (or, equivalently, very large integer capacity)
- Convert undirected graphs to directed ones
- Can remove unnecessary flow cycles in answers
- Another idea:
	- $\bullet\,$  To use them for vertex capacities  $\boldsymbol{c}_v$ 
		- Make two copies of each vertex  $\boldsymbol{v}$  named  $\boldsymbol{v_{in}}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{v_{out}}$



