## **CSE 421Introduction to Algorithms**

## **Lecture 14: Dynamic ProgrammingBellman-Ford**

I EN SCHOOL

#### **Shortest Paths allowing negative-cost edges**

#### **Shortest path problem:**

**Given:** a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with edge weights  $c_{vw}$  (possibly negative) and vertices  $s, t \in V$ .

**Find:** a shortest path in  $\boldsymbol{G}$  from  $\boldsymbol{s}$  to node  $\boldsymbol{t}$ .

**Sample Application:** Nodes represent agents in a financial setting and  $\boldsymbol{c}_{vw}$  is cost of a three contexts of the set of a three contexts of the set of transaction in which we buy from agent  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  and sell immediately to  $\boldsymbol{w}.$ 



#### **Shortest Paths: Failed Attempts**

Why not Dijkstra's Algorithm? Can fail if negative edge costs.



Dijkstra begins with  $S = \{s\}$  and  $d(s) = 0$ . Next step would add  $\boldsymbol{t}$  to  $\boldsymbol{s}$  at distance  $\boldsymbol{1}$ , though actual minimum distance from  $\bm{s}$  to  $\bm{t}$  is  $-\bm{1}.$ 

Adding a constant to every edge cost to make them  $\geq 0$ ? Also fails.



**Problem:** Paths can have different lengths so adding a fixed amount per edge changes relative costs.

Original shortest path is **s-v-w-t** with cost **3**.

After adjustment, shortest path is **s-u-t**.



#### **Shortest Paths: Negative Cost Cycles**

**Negative cost cycle:**



**Observation:** (1) If some path from *s* to *t* contains a negative cost cycle, there does not exist a shortest  $\boldsymbol{s\text{-}t}$  path.



The path can go around the cycle  *more times and get even lower<br>cost, the limit of nath costs is*  $-\infty$ cost, the limit of path costs is  $-\infty$ .

### **Shortest Paths: Negative Cost Cycles**

**Observation:** (1) If some path from **s** to **t** contains a negative cost cycle, there does not exist a shortest  $\boldsymbol{s\text{-}t}$  path.

(2) If the graph  $\bm{G}$  has no negative cycles then a shortest  $\bm{s}\text{-}\bm{t}$  path must<br>. have at most  $n-1$  edges.

If not, there would be a repeated vertex which would create a cycle that could be removed without decreasing the cost.





#### **Shortest Paths: Dynamic Programming**

**Defn: OPT** $(i, v)$  = length of shortest  $v$ - $t$  path  $P$  using at most  $i$  edges.

**Case 1:**  $P$  uses at most  $i-1$  edges.

• In this case  $\mathsf{OPT}(\bm{i},\bm{\nu}) = \mathsf{OPT}(\bm{i-1},\bm{\nu})$ 

**Case 2: P** uses exactly i edges.

• if  $(v, w)$  is first edge, then **OPT** uses  $(v, w)$ , and then selects the best  $w$ - $t$  path using at most  $i-1$  edges

$$
\text{OPT}(i, v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } v = t \\ \min(\text{OPT}(i - 1, v), \min_{(v, w) \in E} c_{vw} + \text{OPT}(i - 1, w) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

**By observation:** if no negative cost cycles,  $\mathsf{OPT}(n-1,\nu)$  = length of shortest  $\nu\text{-}t$  path.

#### **Shortest Paths: Implementation**

```
Shortest-Path(G, t) {
foreach node v ∈V
OPT[0, v] ← ∞OPT[0, t] ←0for i = 1 to n-1
    foreach node v ∈V

OPT[i, v] ← OPT[i-1, v]
       foreach edge (v, w) ∈E

OPT[i, v] ← min { OPT[i, v], cvw + OPT[i-1, w] }
} Total:
```
 $n-1$  iterations of outer loop

Two inner loops together touch each directed edge once

> :  $O(\bm{n}\bm{m})$  time  $\mathit{O}(\mathit{\mathbf{n}}^{2})$  space

To find the shortest paths, maintain a "successor" pointer for each vertex that gives the next vertex on the current shortest path to  $t$ .

### **Shortest Paths: Practical Improvements**

Practical improvements:

- Maintain only one array  $\mathsf{OPT}[v]$  = shortest  $v\text{-}t$  path that we have found so far.
- No need to check edges of the form  $(v, w)$  unless **OPT**[ $w$ ] changed in previous iteration.

**Theorem:** Throughout the algorithm,  $\mathsf{OPT}[v]$  is length of some  $v$ - $t$  path, and after  $i$  rounds of updates, the value  $\mathsf{OPT}[v]$  is no larger than the length of shortest  $\boldsymbol{v\text{-}t}$  path using at most  $\boldsymbol{i}$  edges.

Overall impact.

```
Space: O(m + n).
```
Running time: Still  $\bm{o}(\bm{mn})$  worst case, but substantially faster in practice.

#### **Bellman-Ford: Efficient Implementation**

```
Push-Based-Shortest-Path(G, s, t) {foreach node v ∈ V {
      OPT[v] ← ∞
successor[v] ← φ}
OPT[t] = 0; oldupdated ← {t} 
   for i = 1 to n-1 {
      updated ← φ
foreach node w ∈ V {
       if (w is in oldupdated) {
          foreach node v such that (v, w) ∈ E {
             \texttt{if} \quad (\texttt{OPT}[v] > c_{vw} + \texttt{OPT}[w])OPT[v] ← cvw + OPT[w]
                successor[v] ← w
updated ← updated ∪ {v}
             }}}
if updated = φ, stop.
      else oldupdated ← updated
   }}
```














### **Shortest paths with negative costs on a DAG**

Edges only go from lower to higher-numbered vertices

- Update distances in reverse order of topological sort
- Only one pass through vertices required
- $\bm O(n + \bm m)$  time



## **Distance Vector Protocol**

PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL **SCIENCE & ENGINEERING** 

#### **Bellman-Ford Application: Distance Vector Protocol**

Application domain: Communication networks

- Node <sup>≈</sup> router
- Edge ≈ direct communication link
- Cost of  $edge \approx$  delay on link.

Edge costs are non-negative, why not use Dijkstra's algorithm?

• Dijkstra's algorithm requires global information in the network

Advantages of Bellman-Ford approach:

- It only uses only local knowledge of neighboring nodes.
- No need for synchronization: We don't expect routers to run in lockstep. The order in which each **foreach** loop executes in not important. Moreover, the Bellman-Ford algorithm still converges even if updates are asynchronous!

### **Distance Vector Protocol**

#### **Distance vector protocol:**

- Each router maintains a vector of shortest path lengths to every other node (distances) and the first hop on each path (directions).
- Algorithm: each router performs *n* separate computations, one for each potential destination node.
- "Routing by rumor."

**Examples:** RIP, Xerox XNS RIP, Novell's IPX RIP, Cisco's IGRP, DEC's DNA Phase IV, AppleTalk's RTMP.

**Caveat:** Edge costs may *change* during algorithm (or fail completely).



"counting to infinity" problem



### **Path Vector Protocols**

#### **Link state routing:**

- Each router also stores the entire path.
- Based on Dijkstra's algorithm.
- Avoids "counting-to-infinity" problem and related difficulties.
- Requires significantly more storage.

Examples: Border Gateway Protocol (BGP), Open Shortest Path First (OSPF).

# **Negative Cycles in a Graph**

**PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL** *RISCIENCE & ENGINEERING* 

### **Detecting Negative Cycles**

**Lemma:** If every vertex in  $G$  can reach  $t$  and  $\mathsf{OPT}(n, v) = \mathsf{OPT}(n - 1, v)$  for all  $v$ , then  $G$  has no negative cycles.

**Proof:** This would be a fixed point of recurrence that computes  $\mathsf{OPT}(i,\nu)$  correctly for every  $i$ . Vertices on negative cycles that can reach  $\boldsymbol{t}$  couldn't possibly have a fixed point.

**Lemma:** If  $\mathsf{OPT}(n, v) < \mathsf{OPT}(n-1, v)$  for some v, then shortest path from v to t with length  $\leq n$ contains a cycle  $\boldsymbol{W}.$  Moreover  $\boldsymbol{W}$  has negative cost.

**Proof:** (By contradiction)

 $\textsf{Since }\textsf{OPT}({\boldsymbol{n}},{\boldsymbol{\nu}})<\textsf{OPT}({\boldsymbol{n}}-1,{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$ , paths  ${\boldsymbol{P}}$  with cost  $\textsf{OPT}({\boldsymbol{n}},{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$  have exactly  ${\boldsymbol{n}}$  edges.

By pigeonhole principle, such a  $\boldsymbol{P}$  must contain a directed cycle  $\boldsymbol{W}.$ 

Deleting  $W$  yields a  $v$ - $t$  path with  $< n$  edges  $\Rightarrow$   $W$  has negative cost.



### **Detecting Negative Cycles**

**Theorem:** Can detect negative cost cycles in  $O(mn)$  time.

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Algorithm:}}\;$  Add new node  $\boldsymbol{t}$  and connect all nodes to  $\boldsymbol{t}$  with  $\boldsymbol{0}$ -cost edge.

 $\mathsf{Check}\; \mathsf{if}\; \mathsf{OPT}({\boldsymbol{n}},{\boldsymbol{\nu}})=\mathsf{OPT}({\boldsymbol{n}}-{\boldsymbol{1}},{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$  for all vertices  ${\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ 

- if yes, then no negative cycles
- $\bullet\,$  if no, then extract cycle from shortest path from  $\boldsymbol{v}$  to  $\boldsymbol{t}$





### **Detecting Negative Cycles: Application**

**Currency conversion:** Given *n* currencies and exchange rates between pairs of currencies, is there an arbitrage opportunity?

**Remark:** High speed trading makes fastest algorithm very valuable!



### **Detecting Negative Cycles: Summary**

Run Bellman-Ford on graph with

- extra node  $t$ .
- early stopping for up to  $n$  iterations (instead of  $n 1$ ).
- successor variables

Fact: upon termination, successor variables trace a negative cycle if one exists...

















