# CSE 421 Introduction to Algorithms

# Lecture 10: Divide and Conquer Median, Quicksort

## Today

Divide and conquer examples

- Simple, randomized median algorithm
  - Expected O(n) time
- Surprising deterministic median algorithm
  - Worst case O(n) time
- Expected time analysis for randomized QuickSort
  - Expected  $O(n \log n)$  time

### **Order problems: Find the** *k*<sup>th</sup> **smallest**

**Runtime measures** 

- # of machine instructions
- # of comparisons
- 1<sup>st</sup> Smallest = Minimum
  - *0(n)* time
  - *n* 1 comparisons
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Smallest
  - Still **O**(**n**) time and comparisons...

### **Median and Selection**

- Median:  $k^{\text{th}}$  smallest for k = n/2
- Easily computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time with sorting.

**Q:** How can Median be solved in O(n) time?

A: Use divide and conquer ...

- But Median for a smaller set isn't a natural subproblem for Median.
- Idea: Generalize Median so natural subproblems are of the same type.

#### Selection:

Given: A (multi-)set *S* of *n* numbers, and an integer *k*.

**Find:** The  $k^{\text{th}}$  smallest number in **S**.

### Linear Time Divide and Conquer for Selection

General idea:

 Use a linear amount of work to reduce\* Selection for a set of size n to Selection for a set that is a *constant factor smaller* than n.

Recurrence

• T(n) = T(n/b) + O(n) for some b > 1.

Apply the Master Theorem for a = 1, k = 1, and b > 1

• Since  $a^k = 1 < b$  solution is O(n).

\*The value of k will also change to some k' for the recursive call.

### **General Recursive Selection**

```
Select(k, S)

Choose element x from S "pivot"

S_L \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y < x\}

S_E \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y = x\} O(n) time to partition

S_G \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y > x\}

if |S_L| \ge k

return Select(k, S_L)

else if |S_L| + |S_E| \ge k

return x

else

return Select(k - |S_L| - |S_E|, S_C)
```

### Implementing: "Choose element x ..."

Select(*k*, *S*)

Choose element x from S  $S_L \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y < x\}$   $S_E \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y = x\}$   $S_G \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y > x\}$ if  $|S_L| \ge k$ return Select $(k, S_L)$ else if  $|S_L| + |S_E| \ge k$ return x

else

return Select $(k - |S_L| - |S_E|, S_G)$ 

Want to choose an x so that  $\max(|S_L|, |S_G|)$  is as small as possible. That is, want x near the middle. Two algorithms:

- QuickSelect
  - Choose *x* at random
  - Good average case performance
- BFPRT Algorithm
  - Choose x by a complicated, but linear time method guaranteeing good split
  - Good worst case performance

**W** PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL of computer science & engineering

## **QuickSelect: Random Choice of Pivot**

#### **QuickSelect:**

• Run Select always choosing the pivot element *x* uniformly at random from among the elements of *S*.

**Theorem: QuickSelect** has expected runtime O(n).

**Proof:** Let T(n) be the expected runtime of QuickSelect on worst-case input sets S of size n and integer k.

(The only randomness in the expectation is in the random choices of the algorithm.)

### **QuickSelect: Random Choice of Pivot**

#### Consider a call to Select(k, S) and sorted order of elements in S

Elements of **S** listed in sorted order



With probability  $\geq 1/2$  pivot x is good

- For any good pivot the recursive call has subproblem size  $\leq 3n/4$
- After 2 calls QuickSelect has expected problem size  $\leq 3n/4$

So T(n) = T(n/b) + O(n) for  $b = 4/3 > 1 \implies \text{Expected } O(n)$  time

### Blum-Floyd-Pratt-Rivest-Tarjan Algorithm

**QuickSelect** requires randomness to find a good pivot and is only good on the average.

The **BFPRT Algorithm** *always* finds a good pivot that will guarantee to leave a sub-problem of size  $\leq 3n/4$ . Here is how it works...

- Split S into n/5 sets of size 5.
- Sort each set of size 5 and choose the median of that set as its representative.
- Compute the median of those n/5 representatives. Another recursion!
- Let the pivot **x** be that median.

Why does it work...?

#### **BFPRT, Step 1: Construct sets of size 5, sort each set**

Input:

13, 15, 32, 14, 95, 5, 16, 45, 86, 65, 62, 41, 81, 52, 32, 32, 12, 73, 25, 81, 47, 8, 69, 9, 7, 81, 18, 25, 42, 91, 64, 98, 96, 91, 6, 51, 21, 12, 36, 11, 11, 9, 5, 17, 77

	13	5	62	32	47	81	64	51	11
Group:	15	16	41	12	8	18	98	21	9
	32	45	81	73	69	25	96	12	5
	14	86	52	25	9	42	91	36	17
	95	65	32	81	7	91	6	11	77
	95	86	81	81	69	91	98	51	77
Court oo olo	32	65	62	73	47	81	96	36	17
Sort each group:	15	45	52	32	9	42	91	21	11
group.	14	16	41	25	8	25	64	12	9
	13	5	32	12	7	18	6	11	5

 $O(\mathbf{n})$ 

**W** PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL of computer science & engineering

Column medians:

95	86	81	81	69	91	98	51	77
32	65	62	73	47	81	96	36	17
15	45	52	32 25	9	42	91	21	11
14	16	41	25	8	25	64	12	9
13	5	32	12	7	18	6	11	5

T(n/5)

#### Imagining rearranging columns by column median

95	86	81	81	69	91	98	51	77
32	65	62	73	47	81	96	36	17
15	45	52	32	9	42	91	21	11
14	16	41	25	8	25	64	12	9
13	5	32	12	7	18	6	11	5

Column medians:

95	86	81	81	69	91	98	51	77
32	65	62	73	47	81	96	36	17
15	45	52	32 25	9	42	91	21	11
14	16	41	25	8	25	64	12	9
13	5	32	12	7	18	6	11	5

T(n/5)

#### Imagining rearranging columns by column medians

95	51	77	69	81	91	98	86	81
32	36	17	47	73	81	96	65	62
15	21	11	9	32	42	91	45	52
14	12	9	8	25	25	64	16	41
13	11	5	7	12	18	6	5	32

Column medians:

95	86	81	81	69	91	98	51	77
32	65	62	73	47	81	96	36	17
15	45	52	32	9	42	91	21	11
14	16	41	25	8	25	64	12	9
13	5	32	12	7	18	6	11	5

T(n/5)

#### Choose $\boldsymbol{x}$ to be that median of medians

	95	51	77	69	81	91	98	86	81
	32	36	17	47	73	81	96	65	62
Not in <b>S<sub>G</sub></b>	15	21	11	9	32	42	91	45	52
	14	12	9	8	25	25	64	16	41
Size $\geq n/4$	13	11	5	7	12	18	6	5	32

Column medians:

95	86	81	81	69	91	98	51	77
32	65	62	73	47	81	96	36	17
15	45	52	32	9	42	91	21	11
14	16	41	25	8	25	64	12	9
13	5	32	12	7	18	6	11	5

T(n/5)

#### Choose $\boldsymbol{x}$ to be that median of medians

95	51	77	69	81	91	98	86	81	Not in <b>S<sub>L</sub></b>
32	36	17	47	73	81	96	65	62	Sizo > m/4
15	21	11	9	32	42	91	45	52	Size $\geq n/4$
14	12	9	8	25	25	64	16	41	
13	11	5	7	12	18	6	5	32	$ S_L ,  S_G  \leq$

**3***n* 

## **BPFRT Recurrence**

Choose partitioning element  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

• T(n/5) + O(n)

Partitioning based on  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

• 0(**n**)

Cost of recursive subproblem

• T(3n/4)

Recurrence

• T(n) = T(3n/4) + T(n/5) + O(n)

Why is the solution  $O(\mathbf{n})$ ?

### **Solution to** T(n) = T(3n/4) + T(n/5) + cn is O(n)

Key property of recurrence:

- 3/4 + 1/5 < 1
- Sum is **19/20**

Cost at top level is *cn*; so at other levels, linear in the sum of problem sizes

- Sum of problem sizes decreases by 19/20 factor per level of recursion
- Total cost is geometric series with ratio < 1 and largest term *cn*
- Solution is O(n).

## QuickSort

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{QuickSort}(S) \\ & \text{if } |S| \leq 1 \text{ return } S \\ & \text{Choose element } x \text{ from } S \quad \text{``pivot''} \\ & S_L \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y < x\} \\ & S_E \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y = x\} \\ & S_G \leftarrow \{y \in S \mid y > x\} \\ & \text{return } [\text{QuickSort}(S_L), S_E, \text{QuickSort}(S_G)] \end{aligned}$ 

## QuickSort

**Pivot selection** 

- Choose the median
  - T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + O(n)  $O(n \log n)$
- Choose arbitrary element
  - Worst case  $O(n^2)$ 
    - Element might be smallest, so one subproblem has size n-1
  - Average case  $O(n \log n)$  similar to QuickSelect analysis
- Choose random pivot
  - Expected time  $O(n \log n)$

We'll give an analysis for this bound ...

### **Expected Runtime for QuickSort: "Global analysis"**

Runtime is proportional to # of comparisons

• Count comparisons for simplicity

Master theorem kind of analysis won't work ...

Instead, use a clever global analysis:

- Number elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  based on final sorted order
- Let  $p_{ij}$  = Probability that QuickSort compares  $a_i$  and  $a_j$

Expected number of comparisons:

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n p_{ij}$ 

### **Expected Runtime for QuickSort: "Global analysis"**

**Lemma:** For i < j we have  $p_{ij} \le \frac{2}{j-i+1}$ .

- **Proof:** If  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are compared then it must be during the call when they end up in different subproblems
  - Before that, they aren't compared to each other
  - After they aren't compared to each other

During this call they are only compared if one of them is the pivot

All elements between  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are also in the call:

- $\Rightarrow$  set has size at least j i + 1 in this call
- Probability one of the 2 is chosen as pivot is  $\leq 2/(j i + 1)$ .

### **Expected Runtime for QuickSort: "Global analysis"**

**Lemma:** For i < j we have  $p_{ij} \le \frac{2}{j-i+1}$ .

Expected number of comparisons:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} p_{ij} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{2}{j-i+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+1} \frac{2}{k+1} \quad \text{for } k = j-i \\ &< 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \\ &< 2 n H_n \end{split}$$

 $= 2 n \ln n + O(n) \le 1.387 n \log_2 n$ 

Harmonic series sum:  $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ 

Fact:  $H_n = \ln n + O(1)$ 

## **QuickSort in Practice (Nonrandom)**

Separating out set  $S_E$  of elements equal to the pivot is important

- Use 4-finger algorithm instead of 2-finger algorithm for partitioning
  - Collect equal elements at each end and swap to middle at end of partitioning (saves a lot on size of recursive set sizes)
- If *n* is very small use InsertionSort instead (also good if set is nearly sorted)
- Small n
  - choose middle element of subarray as pivot
- Medium *n* 
  - choose median of 3 elements as pivot
- Large *n* 
  - consider 9 elements in 3 groups of 3; choose median of medians as pivot