# **CSE 421Introduction to Algorithms**

**Lecture 8: Divide and Conquer**

I EN SCHOOL

# **Algorithm Design Techniques**

### **Divide & Conquer**

- Divide instance into subparts.
- Solve the parts recursively.
- Conquer by combining the answers

To truly fit Divide & Conquer

- each sub-part should be at most a constant fraction of the size of the original input instance
	- e.g. Mergesort, Binary Search, Quicksort (sort of), etc.

### **Binary search for roots (bisection method)**



**Given:**

• Continuous function  $f$  and two points  $a < b$  with  $f(a) \le 0$  and  $f(b) > 0$ 

### **Find:**

• Approximation within  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  of  $\boldsymbol{c}$  s.t.  $f(\boldsymbol{c}) = \boldsymbol{0}$  and  $\boldsymbol{a} < \boldsymbol{c} < \boldsymbol{b}$ 

# **Bisection method**

```
Bisection(<mark>a, b, ɛ</mark>)
 if (b - a) \leq \varepsilon then
       return(\boldsymbol{a})else {c \leftarrow (a + b)/2if f(c) \leq 0 then
              return(Bisection(c, b, ɛ))
      elsereturn(Bisection(a, c, ɛ))
}
```
# **Time Analysis**

At each step we halved the size of the interval

- It started at size  $\bm{b}-\bm{a}$
- $\bullet$  It ended at size  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

So # of calls to  $f$  is  $\log_2\left( (b-a)/\varepsilon \right)$ 

# **Old Favorites**

### **Binary search:**

- One subproblem of half size plus one comparison
- Recurrence\* for time in terms of # of comparisons
	- $T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$  for  $n \ge 2$
	- $T(1) = 0$
- Solving shows that  $T(n) = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$

### **Mergesort:**

- Two subproblems of half size plus merge cost of  $n-1$  comparisons
- Recurrence\* for time in terms of # of comparisons
	- $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + n 1$  for  $n \geq 2$
	- $T(1) = 0$
- Roughly  $\bm{n}$  comparisons at each of  $\log_2 \bm{n}$  levels of recursion so  $\bm{T}(\bm{n})$  is roughly  $\bm{n} \log_2 \bm{n}$

\*We will implicitly assume that every input to  $\boldsymbol{T}(\cdot)$  is  $\,$  rounded up to the nearest integer.

# **Euclidean Closest Pair**

**Given:**

• A sequence of  $\boldsymbol{n}$  points  $\boldsymbol{p}_1,...,\boldsymbol{p}_n$  $\pmb{n}$  $_n$  with real coordinates  $% \mathcal{M}(n)$  in  $\boldsymbol{d}$  dimensions ( $\mathbb{R}^d$  $\binom{a}{ }$ 

**Find:** 

• A pair of points  $\boldsymbol{p_i}, \boldsymbol{p_j}$  s.t. the Euclidean distance  $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{p_i}, \boldsymbol{p_j})$  is minimized

What is the first algorithm you can think of?

• Try all  $\Theta(\boldsymbol{n}^{\textbf{2}}$ <sup>2</sup>) possible pairs

Can we do better if dimension  $\bm{d}=\bm{1}$  ?

### **Closest Pair in 1 Dimension**



Algorithm:

- Sort points so  $\boldsymbol{p}_\mathbf{1}$  $1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_n$  $\boldsymbol{n}$
- Find closest adjacent pair  $\boldsymbol{p}_{i\boldsymbol{\cdot}}\, \boldsymbol{p}_{i+1}$ .

Running time:  $O(n \log n)$ 

What about  $\boldsymbol{d} = 2$  ?



### Sorting on 1<sup>st</sup> coordinate doesn't work

- No single direction to sort points to guarantee success!
- Let's try divide & conquer…
- How might we divide the points so that each subpart is a constant factor smaller?



How might we divide the points so that each subpart is a constant factor smaller?

#### Split using median  $x$ -coordinate!

• each subpart has size  $\bm{n}/\bm{2}.$ 

#### Conquer:

• Solve both size  $n/2$  subproblems recursively

Recombine to get overall answer?

- Take the closer of the two answers?
	- •works here but….



How might we divide the points so that each subpart is a constant factor smaller?

#### Split using median  $x$ -coordinate!

• each subpart has size  $\bm{n}/\bm{2}.$ 

#### Conquer:

• Solve both size  $n/2$  subproblems recursively

Recombine to get overall answer?

- Take the closer of the two answers?
	- •…but not always!



Need to worry about pairs across the split!

New idea to handle them

- Let  $\delta$  be the distance of the closest pair in the 2 subparts
- •This pair is a candidate
- Only need to check width  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  band •either side of the medianWithin that band …
	- only need to compare each point with the other points in the

rectangle of height  $\delta$  above it. How many points can that be?



How many points can there be in that  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  by  ${\bf 2} \boldsymbol{\delta}$  rectangle?

**Key idea:** We know that no pair on either side is closer than  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  apart so there can't be too many!

- •• Each of the 8 squares of side  $\delta/2$  can contain at most 1 point!
	- •Because diagonal has length  $< \delta$
- So….only need to compare each point with the next 7 points above it to guarantee you'll find a partner closer than  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  in the rectangle if there is one!

### **Fleshing out the algorithm:**

Divide:

- At top level we need median  $\bm{x}$  coordinate to split points  $\theta(n \log n)$  total
- At next level down we'll need median  $\bm{x}$  coordinate for each side
- Might as well sort all points by  $\pmb{x}$  coordinate up front to get all medians at once!

Conquer: Solve the two sub-problems to get two candidate pairs

Recombine:

- $\bullet\,$  Choose closer candidate pair and let its distance be  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  $\mathcal{O}(1)$
- Select  $\boldsymbol{B}$  = all points in band with x coordinates within  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  of median
- Sort  $B$  by  $y$  coordinate  $\lfloor$  May involve repeated work for different calls
- Compare each point in  $\bm{B}$  with next  $\bm{7}$  points and update if closer pair found.  $\bm{\mathit{O}}(\bm{n})$

over all calls

 $2T(n/2)$ 

 $O(n \log n)$ 

 $O(n)$ 

### **Fleshing out the algorithm: A better version:**



Total runtime = Preprocessing time + Divide and Conquer time

Let  $T(n)$  be Divide and Conquer time:

Recurrence:

- $T(n) \leq 2 T(n/2) + O(n)$  for  $n \geq 3$
- $T(2) = 1$

Solution:  $\bm{T}(\bm{n})$  is  $\bm{O}(\bm{n} \log \bm{n}).$ 

With preprocessing, total runtime is  $\bm{O}(\bm{n} \log \bm{n})$ .

# **Sometimes two sub-problems aren't enough**

More general divide and conquer

- You've broken the problem into  $\boldsymbol{a}$  different sub-problems
- Each has size at most  $\bm{n}/\bm{b}$
- The cost of break-up and recombining sub-problem solutions is  $O(\bm{n^k})$ 
	- "cost at the top level"

Recurrence

- $T(n) = a \cdot T(n/b) + O(n^k)$  for  $n \ge b$
- $T$  is constant for inputs  $< b$ .
	- For solutions correct up to constant factors no need for exact base case

### **Solving Divide and Conquer Recurrence**

**Master Theorem:** Suppose that  $\bm{T}(\bm{n}) = \bm{a}\!\cdot\!\bm{T}(\bm{n}/\bm{b}) + O(\bm{n}^{\bm{k}})$  $\binom{k}{0}$  for  $n > b$ .

- If  $a < b^k$  then  $\bm{T}(\bm{n})$  is  $O(\bm{n}^k)$ 
	- Cost is dominated by work at top level of recursion
- If  $\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b^k}$  then  $\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{n})$  is  $O(\boldsymbol{n^k}\log \boldsymbol{n})$ 
	- Total cost is the same for all  $\log_b n$  levels of recursion
- If  $a > b^k$  then  $\bm{T}(\bm{n})$  is  $O(\bm{n}^{\log_{\bm{b}}\bm{a}})$  $\binom{a}{ }$ 
	- Note that  $\log_{\boldsymbol{b}}\boldsymbol{a} > \boldsymbol{k}$  in this case
	- Cost is dominated by total work at lowest level of recursion

Binary search:  $\bm{a} = \bm{1}$ ,  $\bm{b} = \bm{2}$ ,  $\bm{k} = \bm{0}$  so  $\bm{a} = \bm{b}^{\bm{k}}$ : Solution:  $\mathit{O}\big(\bm{n^0} \text{log} \, \bm{n}\big) = \mathit{O}(\text{log} \, \bm{n})$ 

**Mergesort:**  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1$  so  $a = b^k$ : Solution:  $O(n^1 \log n) = O(n \log n)$ 

# **Proving Master Theorem for**  $T(n) = a \cdot T(n/b) + c \cdot n^k$

Write  $\boldsymbol{d} = \lceil \log_{\boldsymbol{b}} \boldsymbol{n} \rceil$  so  $\boldsymbol{n} \leq \boldsymbol{b^d}$ 



# **Proving Master Theorem for**  $T(n) = a \cdot T(n/b) + c \cdot n^k$

Write  $\boldsymbol{d} = \lceil \log_{\boldsymbol{b}} \boldsymbol{n} \rceil$  so  $\boldsymbol{n} \leq \boldsymbol{b^d}$ 



#### **total work**

If  $\boldsymbol{a} < \boldsymbol{b}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$  sum of geometric series with biggest term  $\mathit{O}(\bm{n^k})$  $\binom{k}{k}$ 

If  $\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$  sum of  $O(\log n)$ terms each  $O(n^{\bm{k}}$  $\binom{k}{k}$ 

If  $a > b^k$  sum of geometric series with biggest term  $O(\boldsymbol{a}^{\log_{\boldsymbol{b}}\boldsymbol{n}})$ 

Claim:  $a^{\log_b n} = n^{\log_b a}$ **Proof:** Take  $\log_{\bm{b}}$  of both sides