# CSE 421 Introduction to Algorithms 

## Lecture 25: More NP-completeness

## NP-hardness \& NP-completeness

Notion of hardness we can prove that is useful unless $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N P}$ :

Defn: Problem $B$ is NP-hard iff every problem $A \in$ NP satisfies $A \leq_{P} B$.
This means that $\boldsymbol{B}$ is at least as hard as every problem in NP.

Defn: Problem $\boldsymbol{B}$ is NP-complete iff

- $B \in \mathbf{N P}$ and
- $B$ is NP-hard.

This means that $B$ is a hardest problem in NP.

$A \leq_{P} B$.

## Extent and Impact of NP-Completeness

Extent of NP-completeness. [Papadimitriou 1995]

- 6,000 citations per year (title, abstract, keywords).
- more than "compiler", "operating system", "database"
- Broad applicability and classification power.
- "Captures vast domains of computational, scientific, mathematical endeavors, and seems to roughly delimit what mathematicians and scientists had been aspiring to compute feasibly."

NP-completeness can guide scientific inquiry.

- 1926: Ising introduces simple model for phase transitions.
- 1944: Onsager solves 2D case in tour de force.
- 19xx: Feynman and other top minds seek 3D solution.
- 2000: Istrail proves 3D problem NP-complete.


## Cook-Levin Theorem and implications

Theorem [Cook 1971, Levin 1973]: 3SAT is NP-complete
Proof: See CSE 431.

Corollary: If 3 SAT $\leq_{P}$ B then B is NP-hard.

By the same kind of reasoning we have
Theorem: If $\mathrm{A} \leq_{P}$ B for some NP-hard A then B is NP-hard.

## NP-complete problems so far

So far:
3SAT $\rightarrow$ Independent-Set $\rightarrow$ Clique $\downarrow$

Vertex-Cover $\rightarrow$ 01-Programming $\rightarrow$ Integer-Programming

## Steps to Proving Problem B is NP-complete

- Show $B$ is in NP
- State what the hint/certificate is.
- Argue that it is polynomial-time to check.
- Show $B$ is NP-hard:
- State: "Reduction is from NP-hard Problem A"
- Show what the reduction function $f$ is.
- Argue that $f$ is polynomial time.
- Argue correctness in two directions:
- $x$ a YES for $\boldsymbol{A}$ implies $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a YES for $\boldsymbol{B}$
- Do this by showing how to convert a certificate for $x$ being YES for $A$ to a certificate for $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ being a YES for $\boldsymbol{B}$.
- $f(x)$ a YES for $B$ implies $x$ is a YES for $A$
- ... by converting certificates for $f(x)$ to certificates for $x$


## Reduction from a Special Case to a General Case

## Set-Cover:

Given a set $U$ (universe) of $m$ elements, a collection $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ of subsets of $U$, and an integer $\boldsymbol{k}$
Is there a sub-collection (the cover) of $\leq \boldsymbol{k}$ sets whose union is equal to $\boldsymbol{U}$ ?

Theorem: Set-Cover is NP-complete
Proof:

1. Set-Cover is in NP:
a) Certificate is a set $T \subseteq\{\mathbf{1}, \ldots, n\}$ defining a supposed cover.
b) Verifier outputs YES if $|T| \leq k$ and $\bigcup_{i \in T} S_{i}=U$; otherwise, answers No.

This computation is clearly polynomial-time

## Set-Cover is NP-complete

## Proof (continued):

2. Set-Cover is NP-hard


## Claim: Vertex-Cover $\leq_{P}$ Set-Cover

a) Reduction function $f$ takes and input a graph $G=(V, E)$ and integer $k$ and produces a universe $U$, sets $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n} \subseteq U$ and integer $k^{\prime}$ as follows:
$\boldsymbol{P} U=E$ (good idea since the objects being covered in Vertex-Cover are edges.)

- Write $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$ define $S_{i}$ to be the set of edges in $E$ that $v_{i}$ touches.
- $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{k}$.
b) Clearly function $f$ is polynomial time to compute.
c) Correctness ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Suppose that graph $G$ has a vertex cover $W$ of size $\leq \boldsymbol{k}$.

Define the set $T=\left\{i \mid v_{i} \in W\right\}$. Then $|T|=|W| \leq k$.
Also since $W$ is a vertex cover, $\bigcup_{i \in T} S_{i}=\left\{e \in E \mid\right.$ some $v_{i} \in W$ touches $\left.e\right\}=E=U$.
Therefore $U$ has set cover $T$ from $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ of size $\leq \boldsymbol{k}$.

## Set-Cover is NP-complete

## Proof (continued):

2. Set-Cover is NP-hard

## Claim: Vertex-Cover $\leq_{P}$ Set-Cover

a) Reduction function $f$ takes and input a graph $G=(V, E)$ and integer $k$ and produces a universe $U$, sets $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n} \subseteq U$ and integer $k^{\prime}$ as follows:

- $U=E$ (good idea since the objects being covered in Vertex-Cover are edges.)
- Write $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$ define $S_{i}$ to be the set of edges in $E$ that $v_{i}$ touches.
- $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{k}$.
b) c) ...
d) Correctness ( $\in$ ): Suppose that $U$ has a set cover $T$ from $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ of size $\leq \boldsymbol{k}$.

Define the set $W=\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in T\right\}$. Then $|W|=|T| \leq \boldsymbol{k}$.
Also since $T$ is a vertex cover, $U=E=\cup_{i \in T} S_{i}=\cup_{i \in T}\left\{e \in E \mid v_{i}\right.$ touches $\left.e\right\}$. But this is the same as $E=\cup_{v \in W}\{\boldsymbol{e} \in E \mid v$ touches $\boldsymbol{e}\}$, so graph $G$ has vertex cover $W$ of size $\leq \boldsymbol{k}$.

## Recall: Graph Colorability

Defn: A undirected graph $\boldsymbol{G}=(\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{E})$ is $\boldsymbol{k}$-colorable iff we can assign one of $k$ colors to each vertex of $V$ s.t. for every edge $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ has different colored endpoints, $\chi(\boldsymbol{u}) \neq \chi(v)$. "edges are not monochromatic"

Theorem: 3Color is NP-complete
Proof:

1. 3Color is in NP:

- We already showed this; the certificate was the coloring.

2. 3Color is NP-hard:

Claim: 3 SAT $\leq_{P} 3$ Color
We need to find a function $f$ that maps a 3CNF formula $F$ to a graph $G$ s.t. $F$ is satisfiable $\Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}$ is 3-colorable.

## 3 SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3Color

Start with a base triangle with vertices $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{F}$, and O .
We can assume that T, F, and O are the three colors used.

- Intuition: T and F will stand for true and false; $\mathbf{O}$ will stand for other.

To represent the properties of the 3CNF formula $F$ we will need both a Boolean variable part and a clause part.


Base Triangle

## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3Color



## Boolean variable part:

- For each Boolean variable add a triangle with two nodes labelled by literals as shown.
- Since both nodes are joined to node O and to each other, they must have opposite colors T and F in any 3 -coloring.
- So, any 3-coloring corresponds to a unique truth assignment.

Base Triangle

## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3Color



## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3Color

Clearly only polynomial-time to produce.


Clause Part

## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3Color



## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3Color

Suppose $F$ is satisfiable.

| Color variable part |
| :--- |
| using satisfying |
| assignment. |

Color outer vertices with F for $1^{\text {st }}$ true literal and the rest 0 .


## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3Color

Suppose $G$ is 3-colorable.
Literal joined to each outer F must be colored $T$.


Each clause has a literal that is T satisfying $F$

## More NP-completeness

Subset-Sum: (Decision version of Knapsack)
Given: $\boldsymbol{n}$ integers $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ and integer $W$
Is there a subset of the $\boldsymbol{n}$ input integers that adds up to exactly $W$ ?
$\boldsymbol{O}(n W)$ solution from dynamic programming but if $W$ and each $w_{i}$ can be $n$ bits long then this is exponential time.

Theorem: Subset-Sum is NP-complete

## Proof:

1. Subset-Sum is in NP:
a) Certificate is $\boldsymbol{n}$ bits representing a subset $S$ of $\{\mathbf{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}\}$.
b) Check that $\sum_{i \in S} w_{i}=W$.
2. Subset-Sum is NP-hard

Claim: 3SAT $\leq{ }_{P}$ Subset-Sum

## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ Subset-Sum

Given a 3-CNF formula $F$ with $m$ clauses and $n$ variables

- We will create an input for Subset-Sum with $2 m+2 n$ numbers that are $m+n$ digits long.
- We will ensure that no matter how we sum them there won't be any carries so each digit in the target $W$ will force a separate constraint.
- Instead of calling them $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 n+2 m}$ we will use mnemonic names:
- Two numbers for each variable $x_{i}$
- $t_{i}$ and $f_{i}$ (corresponding to $x_{i}$ being true or $x_{i}$ being false)
- Two extra numbers for each clause $C_{j}$
- $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ (two identical filler numbers to handle number of false literals in clause $C_{j}$ )
- We define them by giving their decimal representation...


## $3 S A T \leq{ }_{P}$ Subset-Sum

Boolean variable part: First $\boldsymbol{n}$ digit positions ensure that exactly one of $t_{i}$ or $f_{i}$ is included in any subset summing to $W$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & =\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \\
C_{2} & =\left(\neg x_{1} \vee x_{2} \vee \overline{x_{5}}\right) \\
C_{3} & =\left(\neg x_{3} \vee x_{4} \vee x_{7}\right) \\
C_{4} & =\left(\neg x_{1} \vee \neg x_{3} \vee x_{9}\right) \\
& \ldots \\
C_{m} & =\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{8} \vee x_{22}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clause part:
Three 1's in each digit position $j$ corresponding to the literals that would make clause $C_{j}$ true. Two extra 1's one can choose in each clause position to add up to 3 and match $W$ in case there are fewer than 3 satisfied literals per clause with satisfied assignment.

## 3SAT $\leq_{P}$ Subset-Sum



If some subset sums to $W$ must have exactly one of $t_{i}$ or $f_{i}$ for each $i$.
Set variable $x_{i}$ to true if $t_{i}$ used and false if $f_{i}$ used.
Must have three 1's in each clause digit column $j$ since things sum to W.

At most two of these can come from $a_{j}, b_{j}$ to one of these 1 's must come from the choices of the truth assignment which means that every clause $C_{j}$ is satisfied so $F$ is satisfiable.

## Some other NP-complete examples you should know

Hamiltonian-Cycle: Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$. Is there a cycle in $G$ that visits each vertex in $V$ exactly once?

Hamiltonian-Path: Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$. Is there a path $p$ in $G$ of length $n \mathbf{- 1}$ that visits each vertex in $V$ exactly once?

Same problems are also NP-complete for undirected graphs

Note: If we asked about visiting each edge exactly once instead of each vertex, the corresponding problems are called Eulerian-Cycle, Eulerian-Path and are polynomial-time solvable.

## Travelling-Salesperson Problem (TSP)

## Travelling-Salesperson Problem (TSP):

Given: a set of $n$ cities $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and distance function $d$ that gives distance $d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ between each pair of cities
What is the length of the shortest tour that visits all $n$ cities?

## DecisionTSP:

Given: a set of $n$ cities $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and distance function $d$ that gives distance $d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ between each pair of cities and a distance $D$

Is there a tour of total length at most $\boldsymbol{D}$ that visits all $\boldsymbol{n}$ cities?

## Hamiltonian-Cycle $\leq_{P}$ DecisionTSP

Define the reduction given $G=(\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{E})$ :

- Vertices $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ become cities
- Define $d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & \text { if }\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in E \\ 2 & \text { if not }\end{array}\right.$
- Distance $\boldsymbol{D}=|\boldsymbol{V}|$.

Claim: There is a Hamiltonian cycle in $G \Leftrightarrow$ there is a tour of length $|V|$

## NP-complete problems we've covered

3SAT $\rightarrow$ Independent-Set $\rightarrow$ Clique


```
        \downarrow
    Vertex-Cover }->\mathrm{ 01-Programming }->\mathrm{ Integer-Programming
```

        \(\downarrow\)
    Set-Cover
    3Color
    Subset-Sum
    Hamiltonian-Cycle \(\rightarrow\) DecisionTSP
    Hamiltonian-Path
    
## More Hard Computational Problems

- Aerospace engineering: optimal mesh partitioning for finite elements.
- Biology: protein folding.
- Chemical engineering: heat exchanger network synthesis.
- Civil engineering: equilibrium of urban traffic flow.
- Economics: computation of arbitrage in financial markets with friction.
- Electrical engineering: VLSI layout.
- Environmental engineering: optimal placement of contaminant sensors.
- Financial engineering: find minimum risk portfolio of given return.
- Game theory: find Nash equilibrium that maximizes social welfare.
- Genomics: phylogeny reconstruction.
- Mechanical engineering: structure of turbulence in sheared flows.
- Medicine: reconstructing 3-D shape from biplane angiocardiogram.
- Operations research: optimal resource allocation.
- Physics: partition function of 3-D Ising model in statistical mechanics.
- Politics: Shapley-Shubik voting power.
- Pop culture: Minesweeper consistency.
- Statistics: optimal experimental design.

