

#### **Linear Programs**

Yin Tat Lee

# Linear Programming

Optimize a linear function subject to linear inequalities.

$$\max c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$$
  
subjects to  
$$a_{i1} x_1 + a_{i2} x_2 + \dots + a_{in} x_n \le b_i$$
  
for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ 

Example:  $\min y$  subjects to  $x + y \leq 5$   $x + 5y \geq 0$  $x - 2y \geq -2$ 



# **Applications of Linear Programming**

Generalizes: Ax=b, shortest path, max-flow, matching, minimum spanning tree, Dynamic Decision Problem, ...

#### Why significant?

- We can solve linear programming in polynomial time.
- We can model many practical problems with a linear model and solve it with linear programming

#### Linear Programming in Practice:

- There are very fast implementations: CPLEX, Gorubi, ....
- CPLEX can solve LPs with millions of variables/constraints in seconds

# Example 1: Diet Problem

Suppose you want to schedule a diet for yourself. There are four category of food: veggies, meat, fruits, and dairy. Each category has its own (p)rice, (c)alories and (h)appiness per pound:

|           | veggies | meat           | fruits         | dairy          |
|-----------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| price     | $p_v$   | $p_m$          | $p_f$          | $p_d$          |
| calorie   | $C_v$   | C <sub>m</sub> | C <sub>f</sub> | C <sub>d</sub> |
| happiness | $h_v$   | $h_m$          | $h_f$          | $h_d$          |

Linear Modeling: Consider a linear model: If we eat 0.5lb of meat and 0.2lb of fruits we will be  $0.5 h_m + 0.2 h_f$  happy

- You should eat at most 2000 calories to be healthy
- You can spend 20 dollars a day on food.

Goal: Maximize happiness?

# Diet Problem by LP

- You should eat at most 2000 calories to be healthy
- You can spend 20 dollars a day on food.
- Goal: Maximize happiness?

|           | veggies | meat           | fruits         | dairy          |
|-----------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| price     | $p_{v}$ | $p_m$          | $p_f$          | $p_d$          |
| calorie   | $C_v$   | C <sub>m</sub> | C <sub>f</sub> | C <sub>d</sub> |
| happiness | $h_v$   | $h_m$          | $h_f$          | $h_d$          |

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & x_v h_v + x_m h_m + x_f h_f + x_d h_d \\ s.t. & x_v p_v + x_m p_m + x_f p_f + x_d p_d \leq 20 \\ & x_v c_v + x_m c_m + x_f c_f + x_d c_d \leq 2000 \\ & x_v, x_m, x_f, x_d \geq 0 \end{array}$$

#pounds of veggies, meat, fruits, dairy to eat per day

# Diet Problem by LP

George Stigler (graduated from UW, a 1982 Nobel Laureate in economics) studied this.

See the precise linear program here.

Annual Foods (in 1944 money): Wheat Flour (Enriched): \$10.8 Liver (Beef): \$0.69 Cabbage: \$4.09 Spinach: \$1.83 Navy Beans, Dried: \$22.3 (In today's dollar, 1.6 dollar per day)

#### Foie Linéaire à la Stigler



# How to Design an LP?

- Define the set of variables
- Put constraints on your variables,
  - should they be nonnegative?
- Write down the constraints
  - If a constraint is not linear try to approximate it with a linear constraint
- Write down the objective function
  - If it is not linear approximation with a linear function
- Decide if it is a minimize/maximization problem

# **Example 2: Max Flow**

Define the set of variables

• For every edge e let  $x_e$  be the flow on the edge e

Put constraints on your variables

•  $x_e \ge 0$  for all edge e (The flow is nonnegative)

Write down the constraints

- $x_e \le c(e)$  for every edge e, (Capacity constraints)
- $\sum_{e \text{ out of } v} x_e = \sum_{e \text{ in to } v} x_e \quad \forall v \neq s, t \text{ (Conservation constraints)}$

Write down the objective function

•  $\sum_{e \text{ out of } s} x_e$ 

Decide if it is a minimize/maximization problem

• max

## Example 2: Max Flow

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} x_e \\ s.t. & \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} x_e = \sum_{e \text{ in to } v} x_e & \forall v \neq s, t \\ & x_e \leq c(e) & & \forall e \\ & x_e \geq 0 & & \forall e \end{array}$$

## **Example 3: Min Cost Flow**

Suppose we can route 100 gallons of water from *s* to *t*. But for every pipe edge *e* we have to pay p(e) for each gallon of water that we send through *e*.

Goal: Send 100 gallons of water from s to t with minimum possible cost

$$\min \sum_{e \in E} p(e) \cdot x_{e}$$
s.t. 
$$\sum_{e \text{ out of } v} x_{e} = \sum_{e \text{ in to } v} x_{e} \quad \forall v \neq s, t$$

$$\sum_{e \text{ out of } s} x_{e} = 100$$

$$x_{e} \leq c(e) \qquad \forall e$$

$$x_{e} \geq 0 \qquad \forall e$$

## **Example 4: Circuit Evaluation**

Given a circuit *C* with inputs  $x_i$ . Goal: Output the result of the circuit.



# **Example 4: Circuit Evaluation**

Define the set of variables

- One variable for each input
- One variable for each circuit to denote its output Put constraints on your variables
- "Input = Input"
- All variables between 0 and 1
- Write down the constraints
- For each gate, write down the inequalities like last slide Write down the objective function

• 0

Decide if it is a minimize/maximization problem

• max/min

In a sense, every algorithm can be expressed as linear program!

# **Feasibility Problem**

When there is no objective (namely, 0), any solution satisfies all inequalities is an answer.

Note that feasibility version is not easier.

Reduction: Suppose we want to solve  $\min c^{\top}x$  subjects to  $Ax \le b$ . It is same as  $\min 0$  subjects to  $Ax \le b$ ,  $c^{\top}x \le OPT$ .

# Why can't we solve 3SAT?

Instead of setting the input variables, can we simply set the output variables to 1?

```
Problem:
If both x_1 and x_2 is {0,1},
Then y is x_1 or x_2.
```

However, if we only specify y, A feasible point can be fractional.

e.g. For y = 1. One solution is  $x_1 = x_2 = 1/2$ .



 $y \ge x_1$   $y \ge x_2$   $y \le x_1 + x_2$  $y \le 1$ 

This shows we can solve "fractional 3SAT" using LP.

# **Integer Programming**

$$\max c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$$
  
subjects to  
$$a_{i1} x_1 + a_{i2} x_2 + \dots + a_{in} x_n \le b_i$$
  
for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$   
 $x_i$  are integer

We can write 3SAT as an integer programming (IP).

So, "IP" is NP-complete.

# **Universality of Linear Programs**

NC = the set of decision problems decidable in  $O(\log^{O(1)} n)$  time using polynomial many computers.

Theorem: If "LP" is in NC, then P is in NC.

#### Proof:

```
Given a decision problem A in P.
```

In practice, we can often solve linear program in  $O(\log^2 n)$  parallel time.

We can write A as a circuit of polynomial size.

Then, we can write it as a linear program.

If we can solve linear program in  $O(\log^{O(1)} n)$  parallel time,

Then, we can decide A in  $O(\log^{O(1)} n)$  parallel time.

# **Difficulty of Linear Programs**

- Before 1979, some believed general linear programs can't be even solved efficiently.
- Now, we still cannot solve it exactly and efficiently.
   (one of the 18 unsolved problems in mathematics by Smale)
- We can solve it approximately (aka, finding x with  $Ax \le b + \epsilon$ ) in

 $n^{O(1)}\log(1/\epsilon)$ .





## Going back to basic: verification

Given some *x*, how can we tell if it is an optimal solution?