

#### **Dynamic Programming**

Shayan Oveis Gharan

#### **RNA Secondary Structure**

# RNA Secondary Structure (Formal)

Secondary structure. A set of pairs  $S = \{ (b_i, b_j) \}$  that satisfy: [Watson-Crick.]

- S is a *matching* and
- each pair in S is a Watson-Crick pair: A-U, U-A, C-G, or G-C.

[No sharp turns.]: The ends of each pair are separated by at least 4 intervening bases. If  $(b_i, b_j) \in S$ , then i < j - 4. [Non-crossing.] If  $(b_i, b_i)$  and  $(b_k, b_l)$  are two pairs in S, then we cannot

[Non-crossing.] If  $(b_i, b_j)$  and  $(b_k, b_l)$  are two pairs in S, then we cannot have i < k < j < l.

Free energy: Usual hypothesis is that an RNA molecule will maximize total free energy.

Goal: Given an RNA molecule  $B = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$ , find a secondary structure S that maximizes the number of base pairs.

#### Secondary Structure (Examples)





# **DP: First Attempt**

First attempt. Let OPT(n) = maximum number of base pairs in a secondary structure of the substring  $b_1b_2...b_n$ .

Suppose  $b_n$  is matched with  $b_t$  in OPT(n). What IH should we use? match  $b_t$  and  $b_n$ 



#### Difficulty: This naturally reduces to two subproblems

- Finding secondary structure in  $b_1, \dots, b_{t-1}$ , i.e., OPT(t-1)
- Finding secondary structure in  $b_{t+1}, \dots, b_{n-1}$ , ???

### **DP: Second Attempt**

Definition: OPT(i, j) = maximum number of base pairs in a secondary structure of the substring  $b_i, b_{i+1}, ..., b_j$ The most important part of a correct DP; It fixes IH

Case 1: If  $j - i \leq 4$ .

• OPT(i, j) = 0 by no-sharp turns condition.

Case 2: Base  $b_j$  is not involved in a pair.

• OPT(i, j) = OPT(i, j-1)

Case 3: Base  $b_i$  pairs with  $b_t$  for some  $i \le t < j - 4$ 

- non-crossing constraint decouples resulting sub-problems
- $OPT(i,j) = \max_{t:b_i \text{ pairs with } b_t} \{ 1 + OPT(i,t-1) + OPT(t+1,j-1) \}$

#### **Recursive Code**

Does this code terminate? What are we inducting on?

#### **Formal Induction**

Let OPT(i, j) = maximum number of base pairs in a secondary structure of the substring  $b_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_j$ 

Base Case: OPT(i,j) = 0 for all i, j where  $|j - i| \le 4$ .

IH: For some  $\ell \ge 4$ , Suppose we have computed OPT(i, j) for all i, j where  $|i - j| \le \ell$ .

IS: Goal: We find OPT(i, j) for all i, j where  $|i - j| = \ell + 1$ . Fix i, j such that  $|i - j| = \ell + 1$ .

Case 1: Base  $b_j$  is not involved in a pair.

• OPT(i, j) = OPT(i, j-1) [this we know by IH since  $|i - (j - 1)| = \ell$ ]

Case 2: Base  $b_j$  pairs with  $b_t$  for some  $i \le t < j - 4$ 

•  $OPT(i,j) = \max_{t:b_i \text{ pairs with } b_t} \{ 1 + OPT(i,t-1) + OPT(t+1,j-1) \}$ 

We know by IH since difference  $\leq \ell$ 

#### Bottom-up DP

```
4
                                                               0
                                                                  0
                                                                     0
for k = 1, 2, ..., n-1
                                                            3
                                                               0
   for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1
                                                                 0
                                                         i
     j = i + k
                                                            2
                                                               0
     if (j-i <= 4)
                                                            1
       M[i,j]=0;
                                                                  7 8
                                                                        9
                                                               6
       else
          M[i,j]=M[i,j-1]
                                                                    j
          for t=i to j-5 do
            if (b_t, b_j \text{ is in } \{A-U, U-A, C-G, G-C\})
              M[i,j] = max(M[i,j], 1 + M[i,t-1] + M[t+1,j-1])
   return M[1, n]
}
```

Running Time:  $O(n^3)$ 



We may not always induct on i or w to get to smaller subproblems.

We may have to induct on |i - j| or i + j when we are dealing with more complex problems, e.g., intervals

#### Sequence Alignment

# Word Alignment

#### How similar are two strings?

ocurrance

occurrence



5 mismatches, 1 gap



1 mismatch, 1 gap



0 mismatches, 3 gaps

### **Edit Distance**

Edit distance. [Levenshtein 1966, Needleman-Wunsch 1970] Cost = # of gaps + #mismatches.

Applications.

- Basis for Unix diff and Word correct in editors.
- Speech recognition.
- Computational biology.



# Sequence Alignment

Given two strings  $x_1, ..., x_m$  and  $y_1, ..., y_n$  find an alignment with minimum number of mismatch and gaps.

An alignment is a set of ordered pairs  $(x_{i_1}, y_{j_1}), (x_{i_2}, y_{j_2}), \dots$  such that  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$  and  $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots$ 

**Example**: CTACCG VS. TACATG. Sol: We aligned  $x_2-y_1, x_3-y_2, x_4-y_3, x_5-y_4, x_6-y_6$ .

So, the cost is 3.



# **DP for Sequence Alignment**

Let OPT(i, j) be min cost of aligning  $x_1, \dots, x_i$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_j$ 

Case 1: OPT matches  $x_i, y_j$ 

• Then, pay mis-match cost if  $x_i \neq y_j$  + min cost of aligning  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}$  i.e., OPT(i-1, j-1)

Case 2: OPT leaves *x*<sub>i</sub> unmatched

• Then, pay gap cost for  $x_i + OPT(i - 1, j)$ 

Case 3: OPT leaves  $y_i$  unmatched

• Then, pay gap cost for  $y_j + OPT(i, j - 1)$ 

#### Bottom-up DP

Analysis:  $\Theta(mn)$  time and space. English words or sentences: m, n  $\leq 10,..,20$ . Computational biology: m = n = 100,000. 10 billions ops OK, but 40GB array?

# **Optimizing Memory**

If we are not using strong induction in the DP, we just need to use the last (row) of computed values.

# DP with O(m + n) memory

- Keep an Old array containing values of the last row
- Fill out the new values in a New array
- Copy new to old at the end of the loop



Advantage of a bottom-up DP:

It is much easier to optimize the space.

#### Longest Path in a DAG

# Longest Path in a DAG

Goal: Given a DAG G, find the longest path.

Recall: A directed graph G is a DAG if it has no cycle.

This problem is NP-hard for general directed graphs:

- It has the Hamiltonian Path as a special case



Q: What is the right ordering?

Remember, we have to use that G is a DAG, ideally in defining the ordering

We saw that every DAG has a topological sorting So, let's use that as an ordering.



Suppose we have labelled the vertices such that (i, j) is a directed edge only if i < j.



Let OPT(j) = length of the longest path ending at jSuppose in the longest path ending at j, last edge is (i, j). Then, none of the i + 1, ..., j - 1 are in this path since topological ordering. Furthermore the path ending at i must be the longest path ending at i,

OPT(j) = OPT(i) + 1.

Suppose we have labelled the vertices such that (i, j) is a directed edge only if i < j.

Let OPT(j) = length of the longest path ending at j

$$OPT(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{If } j \text{ is a source} \\ 1 + \max_{i:(i,j) \text{ an edge}} OPT(i) & 0.W. \end{cases}$$

Let G be a DAG given with a topological sorting: For all edges (i, j) we have i<j.

```
Compute-OPT(j) {
    if (in-degree(j)==0)
        return 0
    if (M[j]==empty)
        M[j]=0;
        for all edges (i,j)
            M[j] = max(M[j],1+Compute-OPT(i))
        return M[j]
}
Output max(M[1],...,M[n])
```

```
Running Time: O(n + m)
Memory: O(n)
Can we output the longest path?
```

# **Outputting the Longest Path**

```
Let G be a DAG given with a topological sorting: For all edges
(i, j) we have i<j.
Initialize Parent[j]=-1 for all j.
Compute-OPT(j) {
   if (in-degree(j)==0)
     return 0
   if (M[j]==empty)
     M[j]=0;
                                         Record the entry that
     for all edges (i,j)
                                     we used to compute OPT(j)
       if (M[j] < 1 + Compute - OPT(i))
         M[j]=1+Compute-OPT(i)
         Parent[j]=i
   return M[j]
}
Let M[k] be the maximum of M[1],...,M[n]
While (Parent[k]!=-1)
   Print k
   k=Parent[k]
```