CSE 421

Network Flows, Matching

Shayan Oveis Gharan

Max Flow Min Cut Theorem

Augmenting path theorem. Flow f is a max flow iff there are no augmenting paths.

Max-flow min-cut theorem. [Ford-Fulkerson 1956] The value of the max s-t flow is equal to the value of the min s-t cut.

Proof strategy. We prove both simultaneously by showing the TFAE:

(i) There exists a cut (A, B) such that v(f) = cap(A, B).

(ii) Flow f is a max flow.

(iii) There is no augmenting path relative to f.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) This was the corollary to weak duality lemma.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) We show contrapositive.

Let f be a flow. If there exists an augmenting path, then we can improve f by sending flow along that path.

Pf of Max Flow Min Cut Theorem

(iii) => (i)

No augmenting path for f => there is a cut (A,B): v(f)=cap(A,B)

- Let f be a flow with no augmenting paths.
- Let A be set of vertices reachable from s in residual graph.
- By definition of A, s $\in$ A.
- By definition of f, t $\notin$ A.

$$\begin{aligned} v(f) &= \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ in to } A} f(e) \\ &= \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e) \\ &= cap(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Running Time

Assumption. All capacities are integers between 1 and C.

Invariant. Every flow value $f(e)$ and every residual capacities $c_f(e)$ remains an integer throughout the algorithm.



Theorem. The algorithm terminates in at most $v(f^*) \leq nC$ iterations, if f^* is optimal flow.
Pf. Each augmentation increase value by at least 1.

Corollary. If C = 1, Ford-Fulkerson runs in O(mn) time.

Integrality theorem. If all capacities are integers, then there exists a max flow f for which every flow value f(e) is an integer.
Pf. Since algorithm terminates, theorem follows from invariant.

Never Change/Modify Ford-Fulkerson

Instead Reduce to Max-Flow/Min Cut

Edge Disjoint Paths

Edge Disjoint Paths Problem

Given a digraph G = (V, E) and two nodes s and t, find the max number of edge-disjoint s-t paths.

Def. Two paths are edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common.

Ex: communication networks.



Max Flow Formulation

Assign a unit capacitary to every edge. Find Max flow from s to t.



Thm. Max number edge-disjoint s-t paths equals max flow value.

Pf. $\leq$

Suppose there are k edge-disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$.

Set f(e) = 1 if e participates in some path P_i ; else set f(e) = 0.

Since paths are edge-disjoint, f is a flow of value k. ▪

Max Flow Formulation



Thm. Max number edge-disjoint s-t paths equals max flow value.

Pf. $\geq$ Suppose max flow value is k

Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow$ there exists 0-1 flow f of value k.

Consider edge (s, u) with f(s, u) = 1.

- by conservation, there exists an edge (u, v) with f(u, v) = 1
- continue until reach t, always choosing a new edge

This produces k (not necessarily simple) edge-disjoint paths. ▪

We can return to u so we can have cycles. But we can eliminate cycles if desired

Applications of Max Flow: Bipartite Matching

Maximum Matching Problem

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E).
A set $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
Goal: find a matching with largest cardinality.



Bipartite Matching Problem

Given an undirected bipartite graph $G = (X \cup Y, E)$
A set $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
Goal: find a matching with largest cardinality.



Bipartite Matching using Max Flow

Create digraph H as follows:

- Orient all edges from X to Y, and assign infinite (or unit) capacity.
- Add source s, and unit capacity edges from s to each node in L.
- Add sink t, and unit capacity edges from each node in R to t.



Bipartite Matching: Proof of Correctness

Thm. Max cardinality matching in G = value of max flow in H.

Pf. $\leq$

Given max matching M of cardinality k.

Consider flow f that sends 1 unit along each of k edges of M.

f is a flow, and has cardinality k. ▪



Bipartite Matching: Proof of Correctness

Thm. Max cardinality matching in G = value of max flow in H.

Pf. (of ≥) Let f be a max flow in H of value k.

Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow$ k is integral and we can assume f is 0-1.

Consider M = set of edges from X to Y with f(e) = 1.

- each node in X and Y participates in at most one edge in M
- |M| = k: consider s-t cut $(s \cup X, t \cup Y)$



Perfect Bipartite Matching

Perfect Bipartite Matching

Def. A matching $M \subseteq E$ is perfect if each node appears in exactly one edge in M.

Q. When does a bipartite graph have a perfect matching?

Structure of bipartite graphs with perfect matchings:

- Clearly we must have $|X| = |Y|$.
- What other conditions are necessary?
- What conditions are sufficient?

Perfect Bipartite Matching: N(S)



Def. Let S be a subset of nodes, and let N(S) be the set of nodes adjacent to nodes in S.

Observation. If a bipartite graph G has a perfect matching, then |N(S)| ≥ |S| for all subsets $S \subseteq X$.

Pf. Each $v \in S$ has to be matched to a unique node in N(S).



Cannot have a perfect matching

Marriage Theorem

Thm: [Frobenius 1917, Hall 1935] Let $G = (X \cup Y, E)$ be a bipartite graph with |X| = |Y|.

Then, G has a perfect matching iff $|N(S)| \geq |S|$ for all subsets $S \subseteq X$.

Pf. $\Rightarrow$

This was the previous observation.

If |N(S)| < |S| for some S, then there is no perfect matching.

Marriage Theorem

Pf. $\exists S \subseteq X$ s.t., $|N(S)| < |S| \Leftarrow$ G does not a perfect matching

Formulate as a max-flow and let (A, B) be the min s-t cut

G has no perfect matching => $v(f^*) < |X|$. So, $cap(A, B) < |X|$

Define $X_A = X \cap A, X_B = X \cap B, Y_A = Y \cap A$

Then, $cap(A, B) = |X_B| + |Y_A|$

Since min-cut does not use ∞ edges, $N(X_A) \subseteq Y_A$

$|N(X_A)| \leq |Y_A| = cap(A, B) - |X_B| = cap(A, B) - |X| + |X_A| < |X_A|$



Bipartite Matching Running Time

Which max flow algorithm to use for bipartite matching?

- Generic augmenting path: $O(m\ \mathrm{val}(f^*)) = O(mn)$.
- Capacity scaling: $O(m^2 \log C) = O(m^2)$.
- Shortest augmenting path: $O(m\ n^{1/2})$.

Non-bipartite matching.

- Structure of non-bipartite graphs is more complicated, but well-understood. [Tutte-Berge, Edmonds-Galai]
- Blossom algorithm: $O(n^4)$. [Edmonds 1965]
- Best known: $O(m\ n^{1/2})$. [Micali-Vazirani 1980]