

CSE 421: Introduction to Algorithms

Stable Matching

Shayan Oveis Gharan

Propose-And-Reject Algorithm [Gale-Shapley'62]

```
Initialize each side to be free.
while (some company is free and hasn't proposed to every
applicant) {
    Choose such a c
    a = 1st woman on c's list to whom c has not yet proposed
    if (a is free)
        assign c and a
    else if (a prefers c to her current c')
        assign c and a, and c' to be free
    else
        a rejects c
}
```

First step: Properties of Algorithm

Observation 1: Companies propose to Applicants in decreasing order of preference.

Observation 2: Each company proposes to each applicant at most once

Observation 3: Once an applicant is matched, she never becomes unmatched; she only "trades up."

1) Termination

Claim. Algorithm terminates after $\leq n^2$ iterations of while loop.

Proof. Observation 2: Each company proposes to each applicant at most once.

Each company makes at most n proposals

So, there are only n^2 possible proposals. ■

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
Vmware	A	B	C	D	E
Walmart	B	C	D	A	E
Xfinity	C	D	A	B	E
Yamaha	D	A	B	C	E
Zoom	A	B	C	D	E

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
Amy	W	X	Y	Z	V
Brenda	X	Y	Z	V	W
Claire	Y	Z	V	W	X
Diane	Z	V	W	X	Y
Erika	V	W	X	Y	Z

$n(n-1) + 1$ proposals required

2) Correctness: Output is Perfect matching

Claim. All Companies and Applicants get matched.

Proof. (by contradiction)

Suppose, for sake of contradiction, that c_1 is not matched upon termination of algorithm.

Then some applicant, say a_1 , is not matched upon termination.

By Observation 3 (only trading up, never becoming unmatched), a_1 was never proposed to.

But, c_1 proposes to everyone, since it ends up unmatched.



2) Correctness: Stability

Claim. No unstable pairs.

Proof. (by contradiction)

Suppose c, a is an unstable pair: each prefers each other to the partner in Gale-Shapley matching S^* .

Case 1: c never proposed to a .

$\Rightarrow c$ prefers its S^* partner to a .

$\Rightarrow c, a$ is stable.

Obs1: companies propose in decreasing order of preference

Case 2: c proposed to a .

$\Rightarrow a$ rejected c (right away or later)

$\Rightarrow a$ prefers her S^* partner to c .

$\Rightarrow c, a$ is stable.

Obs3: applicants only trade up

In either case c, a is stable, a contradiction.



Summary

Stable matching problem: Given n companies and n applicants, and their preferences, find a stable matching if one exists.

- **Gale-Shapley algorithm:** Guarantees to find a stable matching for **any** problem instance.
- **Q:** How to implement GS algorithm efficiently?
- **Q:** If there are multiple stable matchings, which one does GS find?
- **Q:** How many stable matchings are there?

Efficient Implementation

We describe $O(n^2)$ time implementation. This is linear in input size.

Representing company and applicant:

Assume companies are named $1, \dots, n$.

Assume applicants are named $n+1, \dots, 2n$.

Data Structure:

Maintain a list of free company, e.g., in a queue.

Maintain two arrays **applicant[c]**, and **company[a]**.

- set entry to **0** if unmatched
- if **c** matched to **a** then **applicant[c]=a** and **company[a]=c**

Companies proposing:

For each company, maintain a list of applicants, ordered by preference.

Maintain an array **count[c]** that counts the number of proposals made by company **c**.

Efficient Implementation

Applicants rejecting/accepting.

Does applicant **a** prefer **c** to **c'**?

For each applicant, create **inverse** of preference list of companies.

Constant time access for each query after **$O(n)$** preprocessing per applicant. **$O(n^2)$** total preprocessing cost.

a_i	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	8 th
Pref	8	3	7	1	4	5	6	2

a_i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Inverse	4 th	8 th	2 nd	5 th	6 th	7 th	3 rd	1 st


```
for i = 1 to n
  for j = 1 to n
    inverse[i][pref[i][j]] = j
```

a_i prefers company **3** to **6**

since **inverse[i][3]=2 < 7=inverse[i][6]**

Summary

Stable matching problem: Given n companies and n applicants, and their preferences, find a stable matching if one exists.

- **Gale-Shapley algorithm:** Guarantees to find a stable matching for **any** problem instance.
- **Q:** How to implement GS algorithm efficiently? 
- **Q:** If there are multiple stable matchings, which one does GS find?
- **Q:** How many stable matchings are there?

Understanding the Solution

Q. For a given problem instance, there may be several stable matchings. Do all executions of Gale-Shapley yield the same stable matching? If so, which one?

An instance with two stable matchings:

- $(c_1, a_1), (c_2, a_2)$.
- $(c_1, a_2), (c_2, a_1)$.

	1 st	2 nd
c_1	a_1	a_2
c_2	a_2	a_1

	1 st	2 nd
a_1	c_2	c_1
a_2	c_1	c_2

Company Optimal Assignments

Definition: Company c is a **valid partner** of applicant a if there exists some stable matching in which they are matched.

Company-optimal matching: Each company receives the best **valid** partner (according to his preferences).

- Not that each company receives its most favorite applicant.

Example

Here

$\text{Valid-partner}(c_1) = \{a_1, a_2\}$

$\text{Valid-partner}(c_2) = \{a_1, a_2\}$

$\text{Valid-partner}(c_3) = \{a_3\}$.

Company-optimal matching $\{c_1, a_1\}, \{c_2, a_2\}, \{c_3, a_3\}$

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
c_1	a_1	a_2	a_3
c_2	a_2	a_1	a_3
c_3	a_1	a_2	a_3

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
a_1	c_2	c_1	c_3
a_2	c_1	c_2	c_3
a_3	c_1	c_2	c_3

Company Optimal Assignments

Definition: Company c is a **valid partner** of applicant a if there exists some stable matching in which they are matched.

Company-optimal matching: Each company receives the best **valid** partner (according to its preferences).

- Not that each company receives its most favorite applicant.

Claim: **All** executions of GS yield a company-optimal matching, which is a stable matching!

- So, output of GS is unique!!
- No reason a priori to believe that company-optimal matching is perfect, let alone stable.

Company Optimality

S

(c, a)

(c', a')

...

Claim: GS matching \mathbf{S}^* is company-optimal.

Proof: (by contradiction)

Suppose some company is paired with someone other than its best partner. Companies propose in decreasing order of preference
 \Rightarrow some company is rejected by a valid partner.

Let c be the **first** such rejection, and let a be its best valid partner.

Let \mathbf{S} be a stable matching where c and a are matched.

In building \mathbf{S}^* , when c is rejected, a is assigned to a company, say c' whom she prefers to c .

Let c' be a' partner in \mathbf{S} .

In building \mathbf{S}^* , c' is not rejected by any valid partner at the point when c is rejected by a . Thus, c' prefers a to a' .

But a prefers c' to c .

Thus (c', a) is unstable in \mathbf{S} .

since this is the first rejection by a valid partner



Man Optimality Summary

Company-optimality: In version of GS where companies propose, each company receives the best **valid** partner.

a is a valid partner of c if there exist some stable matching where c and a are paired

Q: Does company-optimality come at the expense of the applicants?

Applicant Pessimality

Applicant-pessimal assignment: Each applicant receives the worst **valid** partner.

Claim. GS finds **applicant-pessimal** stable matching \mathbf{S}^* .

Proof.

Suppose (c, a) matched in \mathbf{S}^* , but c is not the worst valid partner for a .

There exists stable matching \mathbf{S} in which a is paired with a company, say c' , whom she likes less than c .

Let a' be c partner in \mathbf{S} .

c prefers a to a' .  **company-optimality of \mathbf{S}^***

Thus, (c, a) is an unstable in \mathbf{S} .



Summary

- **Stable matching problem:** Given n men and n women, and their preferences, find a stable matching if one exists.
- **Gale-Shapley algorithm** guarantees to find a stable matching for **any** problem instance.
- **GS algorithm** finds a stable matching in **$O(n^2)$** time. ✓
- **GS algorithm** finds man-optimal woman pessimal matching ✓
- **Q:** How many stable matching are there?

Lessons Learned

- Powerful ideas learned in course.
 - Isolate underlying structure of problem.
 - Create useful and efficient algorithms.
- Potentially deep social ramifications. [\[legal disclaimer\]](#)
 - Always try to propose first!

How many stable Matchings?

We already show every instance has at least 1 stable matchings.

There are instances with about 2.24^n stable matchings for

[Karlin-O-Weber'17]: Every instance has at most 131072^n stable matchings

[Palmer-Palvolgyi'20]: Every instance has at most 4.47^n stable matchings

[Research-Question]:

Is there an “efficient” algorithm that chooses a uniformly random stable matching of a given instance.

Extensions: Matching Residents to Hospitals

Companies \approx hospitals, Applicants \approx med school residents.

- **Variant 1:** Some participants declare others as unacceptable.
- **Variant 2:** Unequal number of companies and applicants.
e.g. A resident not interested in Cleveland
- **Variant 3:** A hospital wants to hire multiple residents

An analogous version of GS algorithm works!