#### CSE 421 Algorithms

Richard Anderson Winter 2019 Lecture 7

#### Announcements


- Reading
  - For today, sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.4
  - For friday, sections 4.5, 4.7, 4.8
- Homework 3 is available
  - Random Interval Graphs

#### Highlight from last lecture: Topological Sort Algorithm

While there exists a vertex v with in-degree 0

Output vertex v

Delete the vertex v and all out going edges





#### **Greedy Algorithms**

### Greedy Algorithms

- Solve problems with the simplest possible algorithm
- The hard part: showing that something simple actually works
- Pseudo-definition
  - An algorithm is Greedy if it builds its solution by adding elements one at a time using a simple rule

### Scheduling Theory

- Tasks
  - Processing requirements, release times, deadlines
- Processors
- Precedence constraints
- Objective function

- Jobs scheduled, lateness, total execution time

#### Interval Scheduling

- Tasks occur at fixed times
- Single processor
- Maximize number of tasks completed

- Tasks {1, 2, . . . N}
- Start and finish times, s(i), f(i)

#### What is the largest solution?

#### **Greedy Algorithm for Scheduling**

Let T be the set of tasks, construct a set of independent tasks I, A is the rule determining the greedy algorithm

 $\mathsf{I}=\{ \ \}$ 

While (T is not empty)

Select a task t from T by a rule A

Add t to I

Remove t and all tasks incompatible with t from T

## Simulate the greedy algorithm for each of these heuristics

Schedule earliest starting task

Schedule shortest available task

Schedule task with fewest conflicting tasks

## Greedy solution based on earliest finishing time

Example 1	
	 -
Example 2	
Example 3	 

# Theorem: Earliest Finish Algorithm is Optimal

- Key idea: Earliest Finish Algorithm stays ahead
- Let  $A = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$  be the set of tasks found by EFA in increasing order of finish times
- Let  $B = \{j_1, \ldots, j_m\}$  be the set of tasks found by a different algorithm in increasing order of finish times
- Show that for  $r \le \min(k, m)$ ,  $f(i_r) \le f(j_r)$

#### Stay ahead lemma

- A always stays ahead of B,  $f(i_r) \le f(j_r)$
- Induction argument

$$-f(i_1) <= f(j_1)$$

- If 
$$f(i_{r-1}) \le f(j_{r-1})$$
 then  $f(i_r) \le f(j_r)$ 

#### Completing the proof

- Let  $A = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$  be the set of tasks found by EFA in increasing order of finish times
- Let  $O = \{j_1, \ldots, j_m\}$  be the set of tasks found by an optimal algorithm in increasing order of finish times
- If k < m, then the Earliest Finish Algorithm stopped before it ran out of tasks

#### Scheduling all intervals

Minimize number of processors to schedule all intervals

## How many processors are needed for this example?

#### Prove that you cannot schedule this set of intervals with two processors

## Depth: maximum number of intervals active

### Algorithm

- Sort by start times
- Suppose maximum depth is d, create d slots
- Schedule items in increasing order, assign each item to an open slot

Correctness proof: When we reach an item, we always have an open slot

# What happens on "Random" sets of intervals

- Given n random intervals
  - What is the expected number independent intervals
  - What is the expected depth

# What is a random set of intervals


- Method 1:
  - Each interval assigned random start position in [0, 1]
  - Each interval assigned a random end length in [0,1]
- Method 2:
  - Start with the array [1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5]
  - Randomly permute it [2, 1, 4, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 3, 5]
  - Index of the first j is the start of interval j, and the index of the second j is the end of interval j

#### Scheduling tasks


- Each task has a length t<sub>i</sub> and a deadline d<sub>i</sub>
- All tasks are available at the start
- One task may be worked on at a time
- All tasks must be completed

Goal minimize maximum lateness

-Lateness =  $f_i - d_i$  if  $f_i \ge d_i$ 



#### Determine the minimum lateness



-								