

Thm: Greedy is optimal for job scheduling. [scheduler max # of compatible jobs].

Pf [Greedy is ahead].

$$f(i_1) \leq f(i_2) \leq \dots \leq f(i_k)$$

greedy

Goal: $k \geq m$.

$$f(j_1) \leq f(j_2) \leq \dots \leq f(j_m)$$

OPT

[Careful OPT is not necessarily uniq].

Lem: For all $r \geq 1$, $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$.

Pf of Lem: Use induction.

Base Case $r=1$. \checkmark First job in greedy has smallest finishing time.

Iff. Supp for some $r \geq 1$, $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$.

IS. To show $f(i_{r+1}) \leq f(j_{r+1})$.

We know $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r) \leq s(j_{r+1}) \Rightarrow j_{r+1}$ is comp with i_r .

So j_{r+1} is a feasible option for Greedy when scheduling i_{r+1} .

$$\Rightarrow f(i_{r+1}) \leq f(j_{r+1}).$$

\square

If $k < m$, j_{k+1} is compatible with i_k BC $f(i_k) \leq f(j_k) \leq s(j_{k+1})$.

So Greedy should schedule j_{k+1} . contradiction!

Thm: Greedy uses minimum # of classes.

Pf. Supp Greedy uses d classes.

Goal $d \leq \text{depth} \leq \text{OPT}$

\uparrow To show: enough to exhibit a vertical line which crosses d classes.

Look at time t , that greedy allocates d -th classroom.



Direction: $\sum_{\text{uses}} \geq \text{depth}$
classrooms



At this time we had allocated $d-1$ classrooms, and they were all occupied. A new job arrives at time t , so $t+\epsilon$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$ gives a line crossing d open intervals. \square