

# CSE 421

# Algorithms

Richard Anderson

Autumn 2019

Lecture 7

# Announcements

- Reading
  - For today, sections 4.1, 4.2,
  - For Friday, sections 4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 4.8
- Homework 3 is available
  - Random Interval Graphs
- I'm back from Kyrgyzstan



# Stable Matching Results

- Averages of 5 runs
- Much better for M than W
- Why is it better for M?
- What is the growth of m-rank and w-rank as a function of n?

n	m-rank	w-rank
500	5.10	98.05
500	7.52	66.95
500	8.57	58.18
500	6.32	75.87
500	5.25	90.73
500	6.55	77.95
1000	6.80	146.93
1000	6.50	154.71
1000	7.14	133.53
1000	7.44	128.96
1000	7.36	137.85
1000	7.04	140.40
2000	7.83	257.79
2000	7.50	263.78
2000	11.42	175.17
2000	7.16	274.76
2000	7.54	261.60
2000	8.29	246.62

# Greedy Algorithms



# Greedy Algorithms

- Solve problems with the simplest possible algorithm
- The hard part: showing that something simple actually works
- Pseudo-definition
  - An algorithm is **Greedy** if it builds its solution by adding elements one at a time using a simple rule

# Scheduling Theory

- Tasks
  - Processing requirements, release times, deadlines
- Processors
- Precedence constraints
- Objective function
  - Jobs scheduled, lateness, total execution time

# Interval Scheduling

- Tasks occur at fixed times
- Single processor
- Maximize number of tasks completed



- Tasks  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$
- Start and finish times,  $s(i)$ ,  $f(i)$

# What is the largest solution?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



# Greedy Algorithm for Scheduling

Let  $T$  be the set of tasks, construct a set of independent tasks  $I$ ,  $A$  is the rule determining the greedy algorithm

$I = \{ \}$

While ( $T$  is not empty)


    Select a task  $t$  from  $T$  by a rule  $A$

    Add  $t$  to  $I$


    Remove  $t$  and all tasks incompatible with  $t$  from  $T$

# Simulate the greedy algorithm for each of these heuristics


Schedule earliest starting task



Schedule shortest available task




Schedule task with fewest conflicting tasks




# Greedy solution based on earliest finishing time


Example 1



Example 2



Example 3



# Theorem: Earliest Finish Algorithm is Optimal

- Key idea: Earliest Finish Algorithm stays ahead
- Let  $A = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  be the set of tasks found by EFA in increasing order of finish times
- Let  $B = \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$  be the set of tasks found by a different algorithm in increasing order of finish times
- Show that for  $r \leq \min(k, m)$ ,  $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$

# Stay ahead lemma


- A always stays ahead of B,  $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$
- Induction argument
  - $f(i_1) \leq f(j_1)$
  - If  $f(i_{r-1}) \leq f(j_{r-1})$  then  $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$

# Completing the proof


- Let  $A = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  be the set of tasks found by EFA in increasing order of finish times
- Let  $O = \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$  be the set of tasks found by an optimal algorithm in increasing order of finish times
- If  $k < m$ , then the Earliest Finish Algorithm stopped before it ran out of tasks

# Scheduling all intervals

- Minimize number of processors to schedule all intervals




How many processors are needed  
for this example?






Prove that you cannot schedule this set of intervals with two processors



Depth: maximum number of intervals active



# Algorithm

- Sort by start times
- Suppose maximum depth is  $d$ , create  $d$  slots
- Schedule items in increasing order, assign each item to an open slot
- Correctness proof: When we reach an item, we always have an open slot

# What happens on “Random” sets of intervals

- Given  $n$  random intervals
  - What is the expected number independent intervals
  - What is the expected depth

# What is a random set of intervals

- Method 1:
  - Each interval assigned random start position in  $[0.0, 1.0]$
  - Each interval assigned a random length in  $[0.0, 1.0]$
- Method 2:
  - Start with the array  $[1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5]$
  - Randomly permute it  $[2, 1, 4, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 3, 5]$
  - Index of the first  $j$  is the start of interval  $j$ , and the index of the second  $j$  is the end of interval  $j$


# Scheduling tasks

- Each task has a length  $t_i$  and a deadline  $d_i$
- All tasks are available at the start
- One task may be worked on at a time
- All tasks must be completed
  
- Goal minimize maximum lateness
  - Lateness =  $f_i - d_i$  if  $f_i \geq d_i$


# Example

Time

Deadline



2



4



Lateness 1



Lateness 3

# Determine the minimum lateness

Time

Deadline



6



4



5



12

