

### **CSE 421 Algorithms**

Richard Anderson Autumn 2019 Lecture 2

### Announcements

- · It's on the web.
- · Homework due Wednesdays
  - HW 1, Due Wednesday, October 2, 1:30 pm
  - It's on the web
  - Submit solutions on canvas
  - pay attention to making explanations clear and understandable
- · You should be on the course mailing list
  - But it will probably go to your uw.edu account

### Course Mechanics

- Homework
  - Due Wednesdays
  - About 5 problems, sometimes programming
  - Target: 1 week turnaround on grading
- Exams (In class)
  - Midterm, Wednesday, October 30, 2019
  - Final, Monday, December 9, 2:30-4:20 pm
- Approximate grade weighting - HW: 50, MT: 15, Final: 35
- Course web
  - Slides, Handouts
- Instructor Office hours (CSE2 344):
  - Monday 2:40-3:30, Wednesday 2:40-3:30







### Stable Matching: Formal **Problem**

- - Preference lists for  $m_1, \, m_2, \, ..., \, m_n$
  - Preference lists for w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>, ..., w<sub>n</sub>
- - Perfect matching M satisfying stability property (e.g., no instabilities):

For all m', m", w', w" If  $(m', w') \in M$  and  $(m'', w'') \in M$  then (m' prefers w' to w") or (w" prefers m" to m')

### Idea for an Algorithm

m proposes to w

If w is unmatched, w accepts

If w is matched to m2

If w prefers m to m2, w accepts m, dumping m2 If w prefers m<sub>2</sub> to m, w rejects m

Unmatched m proposes to the highest w on its preference list that it has not already proposed to

### Algorithm

Initially all m in M and w in W are free While there is a free m

w highest on m's list that m has not proposed to if w is free, then match (m, w)

suppose (m2, w) is matched if w prefers m to m<sub>2</sub> unmatch (m2, w) match (m, w)

# Example m<sub>1</sub>: w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub> m<sub>2</sub>: w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>3</sub> w<sub>2</sub> m<sub>3</sub>: w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub> w<sub>1</sub>: m<sub>2</sub> m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub>: m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub> m<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub>: m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub> m<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub>: m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub> m<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub>: m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub>, m<sub>2</sub>, m<sub>3</sub>, m<sub>1</sub>, m<sub>3</sub>, m<sub>1</sub>

### Does this work?

- · Does it terminate?
- Is the result a stable matching?
- Begin by identifying invariants and measures of progress
  - m's proposals get worse (have higher m-rank)
  - Once w is matched, w stays matched
  - w's partners get better (have lower w-rank)

Claim: If an m reaches the end of its list, then all the w's are matched

Claim: The algorithm stops in at most n<sup>2</sup> steps

When the algorithms halts, every w is matched

Hence, the algorithm finds a perfect matching

### The resulting matching is stable

Suppose

$$(m_1, w_1) \in M, (m_2, w_2) \in M$$
  
 $m_1$  prefers  $w_2$  to  $w_1$ 



How could this happen?

### Result

- Simple, O(n²) algorithm to compute a stable matching
- Corollary
  - A stable matching always exists

### A closer look

Stable matchings are not necessarily fair

m<sub>1</sub>: w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub> m<sub>2</sub>: w<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub> w<sub>4</sub>

m<sub>3</sub>: w<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub> w<sub>1</sub>

w<sub>3</sub>: m<sub>1</sub> m<sub>2</sub> m<sub>3</sub>

How many stable matchings can you find?

### $(m_1)$

 $(w_1)$ 





### Algorithm under specified

- · Many different ways of picking m's to propose
- · Surprising result
  - All orderings of picking free m's give the same result
- · Proving this type of result
  - Reordering argument
  - Prove algorithm is computing something mores specific
    - Show property of the solution so it computes a specific stable matching

### M-rank and W-rank of matching

- m-rank: position of matching w in preference list
- M-rank: sum of m-ranks
- w-rank: position of matching m in preference list
- W-rank: sum of w-ranks

m<sub>1</sub>: w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub> m<sub>2</sub>: w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>3</sub> w<sub>2</sub> m<sub>3</sub>: w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub> w<sub>3</sub> w<sub>1</sub>: m<sub>2</sub> m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub>: m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub> m<sub>2</sub>

w<sub>3</sub>: m<sub>3</sub> m<sub>1</sub> m<sub>2</sub>



What is the M-rank?

What is the W-rank?

### Suppose there are n m's, and n w's

- What is the minimum possible M-rank?
- · What is the maximum possible M-rank?
- Suppose each m is matched with a random w, what is the expected M-rank?

### Random Preferences

Suppose that the preferences are completely random

 $\begin{array}{l} m_1: \, w_8 \,\, w_3 \,\, w_1 \,\, w_5 \,\, w_9 \,\, w_2 \,\, w_4 \,\, w_6 \,\, w_7 \,\, w_{10} \\ m_2: \,\, w_7 \,\, w_{10} \,\, w_1 \,\, w_9 \,\, w_3 \,\, w_4 \,\, w_8 \,\, w_2 \,\, w_5 \,\, w_6 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ w_1: \, m_1 \,\, m_4 \,\, m_9 \,\, m_5 \,\, m_{10} \,\, m_3 \,\, m_2 \,\, m_6 \,\, m_8 \,\, m_7 \\ w_2: \,\, m_5 \,\, m_8 \,\, m_1 \,\, m_3 \,\, m_2 \,\, m_7 \,\, m_9 \,\, m_{10} \,\, m_4 \,\, m_6 \end{array}$ 

If there are n m's and n w's, what is the expected value of the M-rank and the W-rank when the proposal algorithm computes a stable matching?

### Stable Matching Algorithms

- · M Proposal Algorithm
  - Iterate over all m's until all are matched
- · W Proposal Algorithm
  - Change the role of m's and w's
  - Iterate over all w's until all are matched

# Best choices for one side may be bad for the other

### But there is a stable second choice

m<sub>1</sub>: Design a configuration for problem of size 4: m<sub>2</sub>: M proposal algorithm: m<sub>3</sub>: All m's get first choice, all w's get last choice W proposal algorithm: All w's get first choice, all m's get last choice  $w_1$ : There is a stable matching  $W_2$ : where everyone gets their second choice W<sub>3</sub>:  $W_4$ :

# What is the run time of the Stable Matching Algorithm?

Initially all m in M and w in W are free
While there is a free m

Executed at most n² times

While there is a free m

If w is free, then match (m, w)

else

suppose (m₂, w) is matched

if w prefers m to m₂

unmatch (m₂, w)

match (m, w)

### O(1) time per iteration

- Find free m
- · Find next available w
- If w is matched, determine m<sub>2</sub>
- Test if w prefer m to m<sub>2</sub>
- · Update matching

What does it mean for an algorithm to be efficient?

## Key ideas

- Formalizing real world problem
  - Model: graph and preference lists
    Mechanism: stability condition
- Specification of algorithm with a natural operation
  - Proposal
- Establishing termination of process through invariants and progress measure
- Under specification of algorithm
- Establishing uniqueness of solution