

CSE 421

Dynamic Programming /

Longest Path in a DAG, Longest Increasing
Subsequence, Shortest Paths with
Negative weights

Yin Tat Lee

Sequence Alignment

Sequence Alignment

Given two strings x_1, \dots, x_m and y_1, \dots, y_n find an alignment with minimum number of mismatch and gaps.

An alignment is a set of ordered pairs $(x_{i_1}, y_{j_1}), (x_{i_2}, y_{j_2}), \dots$ such that $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \dots$

Example: CTACCG VS. TACATG.

Sol: We aligned

$x_2-y_1, x_3-y_2, x_4-y_3, x_5-y_4, x_6-y_6$.

So, the cost is 3.

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5		x_6	
C	T	A	C	C	-	G	
	-	T	A	C	A	T	G
		y_1	y_2	y_3	y_4	y_5	y_6

DP for Sequence Alignment

Let $OPT(i, j)$ be min cost of aligning x_1, \dots, x_i and y_1, \dots, y_j

Case 1: OPT matches x_i, y_j

- Then, pay mis-match cost if $x_i \neq y_j$ + min cost of aligning x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} and y_1, \dots, y_{j-1} i.e., $OPT(i-1, j-1)$

Case 2: OPT leaves x_i unmatched

- Then, pay gap cost for x_i + $OPT(i-1, j)$

Case 3: OPT leaves y_j unmatched

- Then, pay gap cost for y_j + $OPT(i, j-1)$

$$M[i, j] = \min((x_i=y_j ? 0:1) + M[i-1, j-1], \\ 1 + M[i-1, j], \\ 1 + M[i, j-1])$$

Shortest Path




Figure 6.17 A graph-based picture of sequence alignment.

How to recover the alignment?

Hint: bidirectional search.

Lesson

Advantage of a bottom-up DP:

It is much easier to optimize the space.

By the way, edit distance

- can be computed in $O\left(\frac{n^2}{\log^2 n}\right)$ (1980).
- can be approximated in $O(n^{1+\varepsilon})$ (~2010).
- cannot be solved in $O(n^{2-\delta})$ exactly (2015).

Longest Path in a DAG


Longest Path in a DAG

Goal: Given a DAG G , find the longest path.

Recall: A directed graph G is a DAG if it has no cycle.

This problem is NP-hard for general directed graphs:

- It has the Hamiltonian Path as a special case




DP for Longest Path in a DAG

Q: What is the right **ordering**?


Remember, we have to use that G is a DAG, ideally in defining the ordering

We saw that every DAG has a **topological sorting**
So, let's use that as an ordering.



DP for Longest Path in a DAG

Suppose we have labelled the vertices such that (i, j) is a directed edge only if $i < j$.



Let $OPT(j)$ = length of the longest path ending at j

Suppose $OPT(j)$ is $(i_1, i_2), (i_2, i_3), \dots, (i_{k-1}, i_k), (i_k, j)$, then

Obs 1: $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq j$.

Obs 2: $(i_1, i_2), (i_2, i_3), \dots, (i_{k-1}, i_k)$ is the longest path ending at i_k .

$$OPT(j) = 1 + OPT(i_k).$$

DP for Longest Path in a DAG

Suppose we have labelled the vertices such that (i, j) is a directed edge only if $i < j$.

Let $OPT(j)$ = length of the longest path ending at j

$$OPT(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{If } j \text{ is a source} \\ 1 + \max_{i:(i,j) \text{ an edge}} OPT(i) & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Outputting the Longest Path

Let G be a DAG given with a topological sorting: For all edges (i, j) we have $i < j$.

Initialize Parent[j]=-1 for all j.

Compute-OPT(j){

if (in-degree(j)==0)

return 0

if (M[j]==empty)

 M[j]=0;

for all edges (i, j)

if (M[j] < 1+Compute-OPT(i))

 M[j]=1+Compute-OPT(i)

 Parent[j]=i

return M[j]

}


Let M[k] be the maximum of M[1], ..., M[n]

While (Parent[k]!=-1)

 Print k

 k=Parent[k]

Record the entry that
we used to compute OPT(j)



Exercise:
Longest Increasing Subsequence

Longest Increasing Subsequence

Given a sequence of numbers

Find the longest increasing subsequence

41, 22, 9, 15, 23, 39, 21, 56, 24, 34, 59, 23, 60, 39, 87, 23, 90



41, 22, **9, 15, 23**, 39, 21, 56, **24, 34, 59**, 23, **60**, 39, **87**, 23, **90**

DP for LIS

Let $OPT(j)$ be the longest increasing subsequence ending at j .

Observation: Suppose the $OPT(j)$ is the sequence

$$x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}, x_j$$

Then, $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}$ is the longest increasing subsequence ending at x_{i_k} , i.e., $OPT(j) = 1 + OPT(i_k)$

$$OPT(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{If } x_j > x_i \text{ for all } i < j \\ 1 + \max_{i: x_i < x_j} OPT(i) & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Alternative Soln: This is a special case of Longest path in a DAG:
Construct a graph $1, \dots, n$ where (i, j) is an edge if $i < j$ and $x_i < x_j$.


Shortest Paths with Negative Edge Weights

Shortest Paths with Neg Edge Weights

Given a weighted directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and a source vertex s , where the weight of edge (u,v) is $c_{u,v}$ **(that can be negative)**

Goal: Find the shortest path from s to all vertices of G .

Recall that Dijkstra's Algorithm fails when weights are negative




Impossibility on Graphs with Neg Cycles

Observation: No solution exists if G has a negative cycle.

This is because we can minimize the length by going over the cycle again and again.

So, suppose G does not have a negative cycle.



DP for Shortest Path (First Attempt)

Def: Let $OPT(v)$ be the length of the shortest $s - v$ path

$$OPT(v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v = s \\ \min_{u:(u,v) \text{ an edge}} OPT(u) + c_{u,v} & \end{cases}$$

The formula is correct. But it is not clear how to compute it.

DP for Shortest Path

Def: Let $OPT(v, i)$ be the length of the shortest $s - v$ path with **at most i edges**.

Let us characterize $OPT(v, i)$.

Case 1: $OPT(v, i)$ path has less than i edges.

- Then, $OPT(v, i) = OPT(v, i - 1)$.

Case 2: $OPT(v, i)$ path has exactly i edges.

- Let $s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}, v$ be the $OPT(v, i)$ path with i edges.
- Then, s, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} must be the shortest $s - v_{i-1}$ path with at most $i - 1$ edges. So,

$$OPT(v, i) = OPT(v_{i-1}, i - 1) + c_{v_{i-1}, v}$$

DP for Shortest Path

Def: Let $OPT(v, i)$ be the length of the shortest $s - v$ path with **at most i edges**.

$$OPT(v, i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v = s \\ \infty & \text{if } v \neq s, i = 0 \\ \min(OPT(v, i - 1), \min_{u:(u,v) \text{ an edge}} OPT(u, i - 1) + c_{u,v}) & \end{cases}$$

So, for every v , $OPT(v, ?)$ is the shortest path from s to v .

But how long do we have to run?

Since G has no negative cycle, it has at most $n - 1$ edges. So, $OPT(v, n - 1)$ is the answer.

Bellman Ford Algorithm

```

for v=1 to n
  if v ≠ s then
    M[v, 0]=∞
M[s, 0]=0.
  
```

```

for i=1 to n-1
  for v=1 to n
    M[v, i]=M[v, i-1]
    for every edge (u, v)
      M[v, i]=min(M[v, i], M[u, i-1]+cu,v)
  
```

Complexity	Author
$O(n^4)$	Shimbel (1955) [30]
$O(Wn^2m)$	Ford (1956) [14]
* $O(nm)$	Bellman (1958) [1], Moore (1959) [25]
$O(n^{\frac{3}{2}}m \log W)$	Gabow (1983) [9]
$O(\sqrt{nm} \log(nW))$	Gabow and Tarjan (1989) [10]
* $O(\sqrt{nm} \log(W))$	Goldberg (1993) [12]
* $\tilde{O}(Wn^\omega)$	Sankowski (2005) [27] Yuster and Zwick (2005) [35]
* $\tilde{O}(m^{10/7} \log W)$	Cohen, Madry, Sankowski, Vladu (2016)

Table 1: The complexity results for the SSSP problem with negative weights (* indicates asymptotically the best bound for some range of parameters).

Running Time: $O(nm)$

Can we test if G has negative cycles?

Yes, run for $i=1 \dots 3n$ and see if the $M[v, n-1]$ is different from $M[v, 3n]$

DP Techniques Summary

Recipe:

- Follow the natural induction proof.
- Find out additional assumptions/variables/subproblems that you need to do the induction
- Strengthen the hypothesis and define w.r.t. new subproblems

Dynamic programming techniques.

- Whenever a problem is a special case of an NP-hard problem an ordering is important:
- Adding a new variable: knapsack.
- Dynamic programming over intervals: RNA secondary structure.

Top-down vs. bottom-up:

- Different people have different intuitions
- Bottom-up is useful to optimize the memory

More Questions on Shortest Path