



## CSE 421 Algorithms

Richard Anderson  
Autumn 2016  
Lecture 8 – Greedy Algorithms II

## Announcements

- Midterm exam, October 31, 2016
  - In class, closed book



## Greedy Algorithms

- Solve problems with the simplest possible algorithm
- The hard part: showing that something simple actually works
- Today's problems (Sections 4.2, 4.3)
  - Homework Scheduling
  - Optimal Caching
  - Subsequence testing

## Highlights from Last Lecture



- Interval scheduling
  - Earliest Deadline First
  - Correctness proof: Stay ahead lemma
- Multiprocessor schedule
  - Available processor algorithm
  - Can always schedule with  $d$  processors, where  $d$  is the maximum number of intervals active at any time.

## Homework Scheduling

- Tasks to perform
- Deadlines on the tasks
- Freedom to schedule tasks in any order
- Can I get all my work turned in on time?
- If I can't get everything in, I want to minimize the maximum lateness

## Scheduling tasks

- Each task has a length  $t_i$  and a deadline  $d_i$
- All tasks are available at the start
- One task may be worked on at a time
- All tasks must be completed
- Goal minimize maximum lateness
  - Lateness =  $f_i - d_i$  if  $f_i \geq d_i$




### Greedy Algorithm

- Earliest deadline first
- Order jobs by deadline
- This algorithm is optimal

### Analysis

- Suppose the jobs are ordered by deadlines,  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$
- A schedule has an *inversion* if job  $j$  is scheduled before  $i$  where  $j > i$
- The schedule  $A$  computed by the greedy algorithm has no inversions.
- Let  $O$  be the optimal schedule, we want to show that  $A$  has the same maximum lateness as  $O$




### Lemma: There is an optimal schedule with no idle time

- It doesn't hurt to start your homework early!
- Note on proof techniques
  - This type of can be important for keeping proofs clean
  - It allows us to make a simplifying assumption for the remainder of the proof


### Lemma

- If there is an inversion  $i, j$ , there is a pair of adjacent jobs  $i', j'$  which form an inversion




### Interchange argument

- Suppose there is a pair of jobs  $i$  and  $j$ , with  $d_i \leq d_j$ , and  $j$  scheduled immediately before  $i$ . Interchanging  $i$  and  $j$  does not increase the maximum lateness.



### Proof by Bubble Sort



Determine maximum lateness

### Real Proof

- There is an optimal schedule with no inversions and no idle time.
- Let  $O$  be an optimal schedule  $k$  inversions, we construct a new optimal schedule with  $k-1$  inversions
- Repeat until we have an optimal schedule with 0 inversions
- This is the solution found by the earliest deadline first algorithm

### Result

- Earliest Deadline First algorithm constructs a schedule that minimizes the maximum lateness

### Homework Scheduling

- How is the model unrealistic?


## Extensions

- What if the objective is to minimize the sum of the lateness?
  - EDF does not work
- If the tasks have release times and deadlines, and are non-preemptable, the problem is NP-complete
- What about the case with release times and deadlines where tasks are preemptable?

## Optimal Caching

- Caching problem:
  - Maintain collection of items in local memory
  - Minimize number of items fetched

## Caching example



A, B, C, D, A, E, B, A, D, A, C, B, D, A

## Optimal Caching

- If you know the sequence of requests, what is the optimal replacement pattern?
- Note – it is rare to know what the requests are in advance – but we still might want to do this:
  - Some specific applications, the sequence is known
    - Register allocation in code generation
  - Competitive analysis, compare performance on an online algorithm with an optimal offline algorithm

## Farthest in the future algorithm

- Discard element used farthest in the future



A, B, C, A, C, D, C, B, C, A, D



## Correctness Proof

- Sketch
- Start with Optimal Solution O
- Convert to Farthest in the Future Solution F-F
- Look at the first place where they differ
- Convert O to evict F-F element
  - There are some technicalities here to ensure the caches have the same configuration . . .

## Subsequence Testing

- Is  $a_1a_2\dots a_m$  a subsequence of  $b_1b_2\dots b_n$  ?
  - e.g. S,A,G,E is a subsequence of S,T,U,A,R,T,R,E,G,E,S

## Greedy Algorithm for Subsequence Testing



Next week

