CSE 421 Algorithms

Richard Anderson

Autumn 2016

Lecture 7

Announcements

- Reading
  - For today, sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.4
  - For next week, sections 4.5, 4.7, 4.8
- Homework 3 is available
  - Random out-degree one graph
    - What does it look like

Highlight from last lecture: Topological Sort Algorithm

While there exists a vertex v with in-degree 0

Output vertex v

Delete the vertex v and all out going edges



Greedy Algorithms

Greedy Algorithms

- Solve problems with the simplest possible algorithm
- The hard part: showing that something simple actually works
- Pseudo-definition
  - An algorithm is Greedy if it builds its solution by adding elements one at a time using a simple rule

Scheduling Theory

- Tasks
  - Processing requirements, release times, deadlines
- Processors
- Precedence constraints
- Objective function
  - Jobs scheduled, lateness, total execution time

Interval Scheduling

- Tasks occur at fixed times
- Single processor
- Maximize number of tasks completed

- Tasks {1, 2, . . . N}
- Start and finish times, s(i), f(i)

What is the largest solution?



Greedy Algorithm for Scheduling

Let T be the set of tasks, construct a set of independent tasks I, A is the rule determining the greedy algorithm

```
I = { }
While (T is not empty)
        Select a task t from T by a rule A
        Add t to I
        Remove t and all tasks incompatible with t from T
```

Simulate the greedy algorithm for each of these heuristics

Schedule earliest starting task



Schedule shortest available task



Schedule task with fewest conflicting tasks



Greedy solution based on earliest finishing time

Example 1



Example 2



Example 3



Theorem: Earliest Finish Algorithm is Optimal

- Key idea: Earliest Finish Algorithm stays ahead
- Let A = $\{i_1, . . ., i_k\}$ be the set of tasks found by EFA in increasing order of finish times
- Let B = $\{j_1, . . ., j_m\}$ be the set of tasks found by a different algorithm in increasing order of finish times
- Show that for $r \le \min(k, m)$, $f(i_r) \le f(j_r)$

Stay ahead lemma

- A always stays ahead of B, $f(i_r) <= f(j_r)$
- Induction argument
  - $f(i_1) <= f(j_1)$
  - If $f(i_{r-1}) <= f(j_{r-1})$ then $f(i_r) <= f(j_r)$

Completing the proof

- Let A = $\{i_1, . . ., i_k\}$ be the set of tasks found by EFA in increasing order of finish times
- Let O = $\{j_1, . . ., j_m\}$ be the set of tasks found by an optimal algorithm in increasing order of finish times
- If k < m, then the Earliest Finish Algorithm stopped before it ran out of tasks

Scheduling all intervals

- Minimize number of processors to schedule all intervals



How many processors are needed for this example?



Prove that you cannot schedule this set of intervals with two processors



Depth: maximum number of intervals active



Algorithm

- Sort by start times
- Suppose maximum depth is d, create d slots
- Schedule items in increasing order, assign each item to an open slot

- Correctness proof: When we reach an item, we always have an open slot

Scheduling tasks

- Each task has a length t_i and a deadline d_i
- All tasks are available at the start
- One task may be worked on at a time
- All tasks must be completed

- Goal minimize maximum lateness
  - Lateness = $f_i - d_i$ if $f_i >= d_i$

Example

Determine the minimum lateness