## CSE 421

Algorithms
Richard Anderson
Autumn 2015
Lecture 2

## Office Hours

- Richard Anderson, CSE 582
- Monday, 2:30-3:30; Friday, 2:30-3:30.
- Cyrus Raschtchian
- Friday, 9:00-10:30
- Yeuqi Sheng
- TBD
- Erin Yoon
- TBD
- Kuai Yu
- TBD



## Announcements

- Homework 1, due Wednesday Oct 7
- in class, paper turn in
- pay attention to making explanations clear and understandable
- Reading
- Chapter 1, Sections 2.1, 2.2



## Formal Problem

- Input
- Preference lists for $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$
- Preference lists for $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}$
- Output
- Perfect matching M satisfying stability property:

If $\left(m^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \in M$ and $\left(m^{\prime \prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in M$ then
( $m^{\prime}$ prefers $w^{\prime}$ to $w^{\prime \prime}$ ) or ( $w^{\prime \prime}$ prefers $m^{\prime \prime}$ to $m^{\prime}$ )

## Algorithm

Initially all $m$ in $M$ and $w$ in $W$ are free
While there is a free $m$
$w$ highest on m's list that $m$ has not proposed to if $w$ is free, then match $(m, w)$ else
suppose $\left(m_{2}, w\right)$ is matched
if $w$ prefers $m$ to $m_{2}$
unmatch $\left(m_{2}, w\right)$
match ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{w}$ )


## Does this work?

- Does it terminate?
- Is the result a stable matching?
- Begin by identifying invariants and measures of progress
- m's proposals get worse (have higher m-rank)
- Once w is matched, $w$ stays matched
- w's partners get better (have lower w-rank)

Claim: If an $m$ reaches the end of its list, then all the w's are matched

When the algorithms halts, every w is matched Why?

The resulting matching is stable
Suppose
$\left(m_{1}, w_{1}\right) \in M,\left(m_{2}, w_{2}\right) \in M$
$m_{1}$ prefers $w_{2}$ to $w_{1}$


How could this happen?

## Result

- Simple, $O\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ algorithm to compute a stable matching
- Corollary
- A stable matching always exists


## A closer look

Stable matchings are not necessarily fair

| $m_{1}:$ | $w_{1}$ | $w_{2}$ | $w_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $m_{2}:$ | $w_{2}$ | $w_{3}$ | $w_{1}$ |  |
| $m_{3}:$ | $w_{3}$ | $w_{1}$ | $w_{2}$ |  |
| $w_{1}:$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{3}$ | $m_{1}$ |  |
| $w_{2}:$ | $m_{3}$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ |  |
| $w_{3}:$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{3}$ |  |
| many stable matchings can you find? |  |  |  |  |

## M-rank and W-rank of matching

- m-rank: position of matching w in preference list
- M-rank: sum of mranks
- w-rank: position of matching m in preference list
- W-rank: sum of w-
$m_{1}: w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}$ $m_{2}: w_{1} w_{3} w_{2}$ $m_{3}: w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}$
$w_{1}: m_{2} m_{3} m_{1}$
$w_{2}: m_{3} m_{1} m_{2}$
$w_{3}: m_{3} m_{1} m_{2}$


What is the M-rank?

- Prove algorithm is computing something mores specific
- Show property of the solution - so it computes a specific stable matching
ranks

What is the W-rank?

## Suppose there are n m's, and n w's

- What is the minimum possible M-rank?
- What is the maximum possible M-rank?
- Suppose each $m$ is matched with a random w , what is the expected M -rank?


## Random Preferences

Suppose that the preferences are completely random

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}: w_{8} w_{3} w_{1} w_{5} w_{9} w_{2} w_{4} w_{6} w_{7} w_{10} \\
& m_{2}: w_{7} w_{10} w_{1} w_{9} w_{3} w_{4} w_{8} w_{2} w_{5} w_{6} \\
& \ldots \\
& w_{1}: m_{1} m_{4} m_{9} m_{5} m_{10} m_{3} m_{2} m_{6} m_{8} m_{7} \\
& w_{2}: m_{5} m_{8} m_{1} m_{3} m_{2} m_{7} m_{9} m_{10} m_{4} m_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

If there are n m's and n w's, what is the expected value of the M-rank and the W-rank when the proposal algorithm computes a stable matching?

| Best choices for one side may be bad for the other |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Design a contiguration for | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : |
| problem of size 4: | $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ : |
| M proposal algorithm: | $\mathrm{m}_{3}$ : |
| get last chioce | $m_{4}$ |
| All w's get first choice, all m's | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ |
|  | $w_{3}{ }^{\text {i }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{w}_{*}{ }^{\text {s }}$ |

## But there is a stable second choice

| Design a configuration for | $m_{1}:$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| problem of size 4: |  |
| M proposal algorithm: |  |
| All m's get first choice, all w's |  |
| get last choice |  |
| W proposal algorithm: | $m_{2}$ : |
| $\quad$All w's get first choice, all m's <br> get last choice | $m_{4}$ : |
| There is a stable matching <br> where everyone gets their <br> second choice | $w_{2}$ : |
|  | $w_{3}$ : |
|  | $w_{4}:$ |

## What is the run time of the Stable Matching Algorithm?

Initially all m in M and win W are free While there is a free $m \quad$ Executed at most $n^{2}$ times $w$ highest on m's list that $m$ has not proposed to if $w$ is free, then match ( $m, w$ ) else
suppose $\left(m_{2}, w\right)$ is matched
if $w$ prefers $m$ to $m_{2}$
unmatch ( $\mathrm{m}_{2}, \mathrm{w}$ )
match ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{w}$ )

## What does it mean for an algorithm to be efficient?

## Key ideas

- Formalizing real world problem
- Model: graph and preference lists
- Mechanism: stability condition
- Specification of algorithm with a natural operation
- Proposal
- Establishing termination of process through invariants and progress measure
- Under specification of algorithm
- Establishing uniqueness of solution

