CSE 421: Algorithms

## Winter 2014

Lecture 15: RNA secondary structure, sequence alignment
Reading:
Sections 6.3-6.7

review: least squares


## segmented least squares

## Least Squares

- Given a set $P$ of $n$ points in the plane $p_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots, p_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ with $x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n}$ determine $a$ line $L$ given by $y=a x+b$ that optimizes the totaled 'squared error'
$\operatorname{Error}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{P})=\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{a} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{b}\right)^{2}$
- A classic problem in statistics
- Optimal solution is known (see text for closed form) Call this line( $\mathbf{P}$ ) and its error error( $\mathbf{P}$ )
segmented least squares
What if data seems to follow a piece-wise linear model?


## segmented least squares



## segmented least squares

- What if data seems to follow a piece-wise linear model?
- Number of pieces to choose is not obvious
- If we chose $\mathrm{n}-1$ pieces we could fit with 0 error - Not fair
- Add a penalty of $\mathbf{C}$ times the number of pieces to the error to get a total penalty
- How do we compute a solution with the smallest possible total penalty?


## segmented least squares



## segmented least squares

## Recursive idea

- If we knew the point $p_{j}$ where the last line segment began then we could solve the problem optimally for points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j}$ and combine that with the last segment to get a global optimal solution Let OPT(i) be the optimal penalty for points $\left\{\mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}$ Total penalty for this solution would be

$$
\operatorname{Error}\left(\left\{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{j}}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}\right)+\mathbf{C}+\operatorname{OPT}(\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1})
$$

## segmented least squares



## segmented least squares

## Recursive idea

- We don't know which point is $p_{J}$

But we do know that $1 \leq j \leq n$
The optimal choice will simply be the best among these possibilities

- Therefore:


## segmented least squares

Recursive idea

- We don't know which point is $p_{j}$

But we do know that $1 \leq j \leq n$
The optimal choice will simply be the best among these possibilities

- Therefore:

```
OPT(n)
    = min 1<j\leqn {Error({\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{p}}{j}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{p}}{n}{}})+\boldsymbol{C}+\textrm{OPT}(\boldsymbol{j}-\mathbf{1})}
```

dynamic programming solution

```
SegmentedLeastSquares(n)
    array OPT[0,..,n], Begin[1,..,n]
    OPT[0]}\leftarrow
    for i=1 to n
    OPT[i]\leftarrowError{(p
    Begin[i]\leftarrow1
    for j=2 to l-1
        e}\leftarrow\operatorname{Error{(\mp@subsup{p}{j}{\prime},\ldots,\mp@subsup{p}{i}{\prime})}+C+OPT[j-1]
        if e<OPT[i] then
            OPT[i]}\leftarrow
            Begin[i]}\leftarrow
        endif
    endfor
    endfor
    return(OPT[n])
```

- Given:
- integer W (knapsack size)
$-n$ object sizes $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$
- Find:
- Subset $S$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} x_{i} \leq W$ but $\sum_{i \in S} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ is as large as possible
recursive algorithm
- Let $K(n, W)$ denote the problem to solve for $W$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$
- For $\mathbf{n}>0$,
- The optimal solution for $K(n, W)$ is the better of the optimal solution for either
$K(n-1, W)$ or $x_{n}+K\left(n-1, W-x_{n}\right)$
- For $n=0$
$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{W})$ has a trivial solution of an empty set $\mathbf{S}$ with weight 0
recursive algorithm
- Let $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{n}, \mathbf{W})$ denote the problem to solve for $W$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$


## recursive calls

Recursive calls on list ...,3, 4, 7


## common sub-problems

- Only sub-problems are $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{i}, \mathrm{w})$ for
- i = 0,1, $\ldots$, n
$-\mathrm{w}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{w}$
- Dynamic programming solution
- Table entry for each K(i,w)

OPT - value of optimal soln for first i objects and weight w
belong flag - is $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ a part of this solution?

- Initialize OPT[0,w] for w=0,...,W
- Compute all OPT[i,*] from OPT[i-1,*] for $i>0$
dynamic knapsack algorithm

```
for w=0 to \mathbf{w};\mathbf{OPT[0,w]}\leftarrow\mathbf{0};\mathrm{ end for}
for i=1 to n do
    for w=0 to W do
        OPT[i,w]\leftarrowOPT[i-1,w] Time O(nW)
        belong[l,w]\leftarrow0
        if w}\geq\mp@subsup{x}{i}{}\mathrm{ then
            val }\leftarrow\mp@subsup{x}{i}{}+\mathrm{ OPT [i-1,w-x
            if val>OPT[i,w] then
                OPT[i,w]\leftarrowval
                belong[i,w]}\leftarrow
        end for
end for
return(OPT[n,W])
```

sample execution on $2,3,4,7$ with $W=15$

## saving space

- To compute the value OPT of the solution only need to keep the last two rows of OPT at each step
- What about determining the set S ?
- Follow the belong flags O(n) time
- What about space?


## three steps to dynamic programming

- Formulate the answer as a recurrence relation or recursive algorithm
- Show that the number of different values of parameters in the recursive algorithm is "small" - e.g., bounded by a low-degree polynomial
- Specify an order of evaluation for the recurrence so that you already have the partial results ready when you need them.


## RNA secondary structure
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## RNA secondary structure

- RNA: sequence of bases
- String over alphabet $\{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{G}, \mathrm{U}\}$


## U-G-U-A-C-C-G-G-U-A-G-U-A-C-A

- RNA folds and sticks to itself like a zipper
- A bonds to U
- C bonds to G
- Bends can't be sharp
- No twisting or criss-crossing
- How the bonds line up is called the RNA secondary structure
another view



## RNA secondary structure

- Input: String $\mathrm{X}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \in\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{U}\}^{*}$
- Output: Maximum size set $\mathbf{S}$ of pairs (i,j) such that
$-\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}=\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{U}\}$ or $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}=\{\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{G}\}$
- The pairs in $S$ form a matching
- $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}-4$ (no sharp bends)
- No crossing pairs

If $(\mathbf{i}, \mathrm{j})$ and ( $\mathbf{k}, \mathrm{l})$ are in S then it is not the case that they cross as in $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{j}<1$

## recursive solution

Try all possible matches for the last base

$\operatorname{OPT}(1 . . \mathrm{j})=\operatorname{MAX}\left(\operatorname{OPT}(1 . . \mathrm{j}-1), 1+\mathrm{MAX}_{\mathrm{k}=1 . . \mathrm{j}-5}\right.$ (OPT(1..k-1)+OPT(k+1..j-1))

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { matches } \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \text { Doesn't start at } 1
$$

General form:

```
OPT(i..j)=MAX(OPT(i..j-1),
    1+MAX 
        xk
```


## RNA secondary structure

- 2D Array OPT(i,j) for i<j represents optimal \# of matches entirely for segment $i . . j$
- For $\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{i} \leq 4$ set OPT(i,j)=0 (no sharp bends)
- Then compute OPT(i, j$)$ values when $\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{i}=5,6, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1$ in turn using recurrence.
- Return OPT(1,n)
- Total of $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time
- Can also record matches along the way to produce S
- Algorithm is similar to the polynomial-time algorithm for ContextFree Languages based on Chomsky Normal Form from 322
- Both use dynamic programming over intervals
- Given:
- Two strings of characters $A=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ and $B=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{m}$
- Find:
- The minimum number of edit steps needed to transform A into B where an edit can be:
- insert a single character
- delete a single character
- substitute one character by another
applications
- "diff" utility - where do two files differ
- Version control \& patch distribution save/send only changes
- Molecular biology
- Similar sequences often have similar origin and function
- Similarity often recognizable despite millions or billions of years of evolutionary divergence
- Sequence Alignment
- Insert corresponds to aligning with a "-" in the first string Cost $\delta$ (in our case 1)
- Delete corresponds to aligning with a "-" in the second string
Cost $\delta$ (in our case 1)
- Replacement of an aby abcorresponds to a mismatch Cost $\alpha_{a b}$ (in our case 1 if $a \neq b$ and 0 if $a=b$ )
- In Computational Biology this alignment algorithm is attributed to Smith \& Waterman

Growth of GenBank


## recursive solutions

- Sub-problems: Edit distance problems for all prefixes of $A$ and $B$ that don't include all of both A and B
- Let $D(i, j)$ be the number of edits required to transform $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{i}$ into $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{j}$
- Clearly $D(0,0)=0$


## recursive algorithm $D(n, m)$

```
if n=0 then
    return (m)
else if m=0 then
    return(n)
else
    if }\mp@subsup{a}{n}{}=\mp@subsup{b}{m}{}\mathrm{ then
        replace-cost }\leftarrow
    else
        replace-cost }\leftarrow
    endif
    return(min{ D(n-1,m)+1,
        D(n, m-1) + 1,
        D(n-1,m-1) + replace-cost })
```


## computing $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})$

- Imagine how best sequence handles the last characters $a_{n}$ and $b_{m}$
- If best sequence of operations
- deletes $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ then $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})=\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{n}-1, m)+\mathbf{1}$
- inserts $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$ then $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})=\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}-\mathbf{1})+\mathbf{1}$
- replaces $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ by $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$ then $D(n, m)=D(n-1, m-1)+1$
- matches $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}$ then $D(n, m)=D(n-1, m-1)$
dynamic programming


|  | 0 | $\mathbf{A}$ | G | A 3 | C | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | T | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | G 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

example run with AGACATTG and GAGTTA


|  |  | A | G | A | C | A | T | T | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Q | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| > | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| > | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

example run with AGACATTG and GAGTTA

|  |  | A | G | A | C | A |  |  | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Q | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| $\rightarrow$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Q | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $\rightarrow$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| > | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |


example run with AGACATTG and GAGTTA

|  |  | A | G | A | C | A | T | T | T | G |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0 \leqslant 1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant 3 \leqslant 4 \leqslant 5 \leqslant 6 \leqslant 7 \leqslant 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | , | . | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| > | 2 | 1 | -2 | 1 | +2 | 3 | -4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Q | 3 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 3 | -4 | 4 | -5 | 5 | 5 |
| $\xrightarrow{-1}$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - 3 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| $\cdots$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 4 |
| - | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | -4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |

