

CSE 42 I: Review

Larry Ruzzo

Complexity, I

Asymptotic Analysis

Best/average/**worst** cases

Upper/Lower Bounds

Big O, Theta, Omega

definitions; intuition

Analysis methods

loops

recurrence relations

common data structures, subroutines

Graph Algorithms

Graphs

Representation (edge list/adjacency matrix)

Breadth/depth first search

Connected components

Shortest paths/bipartiteness/2-Colorability

DAGS and topological ordering

DFS/articulation points/biconnected components

Examples

Greedy

Interval Scheduling Problems (3)

Huffman Codes

Examples where greedy fails (stamps/change, scheduling, knap, RNA,...)

Examples

Divide & Conquer

Merge sort

Counting Inversions

Closest pair of points

Integer multiplication (Karatsuba)

Matrix multiplication (Strassen)

Powering

Design Paradigms

Greedy

emphasis on correctness arguments, e.g. stay ahead, structural characterizations, exchange arguments

Divide & Conquer

recursive solution, superlinear work, balanced subproblems, recurrence relations, solutions, Master Theorem

Dynamic Programming

recursive solution, redundant subproblems, few do all in careful order and tabulate; OPT table (usually far superior to “memoization”)

Examples

Dynamic programming

Fibonacci

Making change/Stamps, Knapsack

Weighted Interval Scheduling

RNA

String Alignment

OPT function

Examples & Concepts

Flow and matching

Residual graph, augmenting paths, max-flow/min-cut, Ford-Fulkerson and Edmonds-Karp algorithms, (preflow-push), integrality, reductions to flow, e.g. bipartite matching

Complexity, II

P vs NP

Big-O and poly vs exponential growth

Definition of NP – hints/certificates and verifiers

Example problems from slides, reading & hw

SAT, 3-SAT, circuit SAT, vertex cover, quadratic Diophantine equations, clique, independent set, TSP, Hamilton cycle, coloring, max cut, knapsack

$P \subseteq NP \subseteq Exp$ (and worse)

Definition(s) of (polynomial time) reduction

$SAT \leq_p$ e.g., IndpSet, Knap, Ham, 3color: how, correctness, \leq_p , implications

Definition of NP-completeness

NP-completeness proofs

2x, 1.5x approximations to Euclidean TSP

And see how relevant
it is to your daily life!

Classic Nintendo Games are (NP-)Hard

Greg Aloupis*

Erik D. Demaine†

Alan Guo†‡

March 9, 2012

Abstract

We prove NP-hardness results for five of Nintendo's largest video game franchises: Mario, Donkey Kong, Legend of Zelda, Metroid, and Pokémon. Our results apply to Super Mario Bros. 1, 3, Lost Levels, and Super Mario World; Donkey Kong Country 1–3; all Legend of Zelda games except Zelda II: The Adventure of Link; all Metroid games; and all Pokémon role-playing games. For Mario and Donkey Kong, we show NP-completeness. In addition, we observe that several games in the Zelda series are PSPACE-complete.

Final Exam Mechanics

Closed book, 1 pg notes (8.5x11, 2 sides, handwritten)

(no bluebook needed; scratch paper may be handy; calculators unnecessary)

Comprehensive: All topics covered

assigned reading

slides

homework & solutions

Some Typical Exam Questions

Give $O(\)$ bound on $17n^*(n-3+\log n)$, or on code `{for i=1 ...}`

True/False: If X is $O(n^2)$, then it's rarely more than $n^3 + 14$ steps.

Explain why a given greedy alg is/isn't correct

Give a run time recurrence for a recursive alg, or solve a simple one

Simulate any of the algs we've studied

Give an alg for problem X , maybe a variant of one we've studied, or prove it's in NP

Understand parts of correctness proof for an algorithm or reduction

Implications of NP-completeness

Reductions

NP-completeness proofs



~~Hell's library~~ → 421 Final

Good Luck!