# **CSE421 Algorithms**

Sequence Alignment

# Sequence Alignment

What

Why

A Dynamic Programming Algorithm

## Sequence Similarity: What

GGACCA

TACTAAG

TCCAAT

## Sequence Similarity: What

GGACCA

TACTAAG |:|:|: TCC-AAT

## Sequence Similarity: Why

#### Bio

Most widely used comp. tools in biology New sequence always compared to data bases

# Similar sequences often have similar origin or function

Recognizable similarity after 10<sup>8</sup> –10<sup>9</sup> yr DNA sequencing & assembly

#### Other

spell check/correct, diff, svn/git/..., plagiarism, ...

# **Terminology**

```
String: ordered list of letters TATAAG
Prefix: consecutive letters from front empty, T, TA, TAT, ...
Suffix: ... from end empty, G, AG, AAG, ...
Substring: ... from ends or middle empty, TAT, AA, ...
Subsequence: ordered, nonconsecutive
```

TT, AAA, TAG, ...

## Sequence Alignment

**Defn:** An *alignment* of strings S, T is a pair of strings S', T' (with dashes) s.t.

(1) 
$$|S'| = |T'|$$
, and ( $|S| = "length of S")$ 

(2) removing all dashes leaves S, T

## Alignment Scoring

a c b c d b

c a d b d

- c a d b - d -

-1 2 -1 -1 2 -1 2 -1

Value = 
$$3*2 + 5*(-1) = +1$$

The *score* of aligning (characters or dashes) x & y is  $\sigma(x,y)$ .

Value of an alignment  $\sum_{i=1}^{|S'|} \sigma(S'[i], T'[i])$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|S'|} \sigma(S'[i], T'[i])$$

An optimal alignment: one of max value

# Alignment by Dynamic Programming?

#### Common Subproblems?

Plausible: probably re-considering alignments of various small substrings unless we're careful.

#### **Optimal Substructure?**

Plausible: left and right "halves" of an optimal alignment probably should be optimally aligned (though they obviously interact a bit at the interface).

(Both made rigorous below.)

# Optimal Substructure (In More Detail)

Optimal alignment *ends* in 1 of 3 ways: last chars of S & T aligned with each other last char of S aligned with dash in T last char of T aligned with dash in S (never align dash with dash;  $\sigma(-, -) < 0$ )

In each case, the *rest* of S & T should be *optimally* aligned to each other

# Optimal Alignment in O(n²) via "Dynamic Programming"

Input: S, T, |S| = n, |T| = m

Output: value of optimal alignment

Easier to solve a "harder" problem:

V(i,j) = value of optimal alignment of S[1], ..., S[i] with T[1], ..., T[j] for all  $0 \le i \le n$ ,  $0 \le j \le m$ .

#### **Base Cases**

V(i,0): first i chars of S all match dashes

$$V(i,0) = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \sigma(S[k],-)$$

V(0,j): first j chars of T all match dashes

$$V(0,j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sigma(-,T[k])$$

### **General Case**

Opt align of S[1], ..., S[i] vs T[1], ..., T[j]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sim \sim \sim S[i] \\ \sim \sim \sim T[j] \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sim \sim \sim S[i] \\ \sim \sim \sim - \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} \sim \sim \sim - \\ \sim \sim \sim T[j] \end{bmatrix}$$
Opt align of  $S_1 \dots S_{i-1} & K \\ T_1 \dots T_{j-1} & V(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} V(i-1,j-1) + \sigma(S[i],T[j]) \\ V(i-1,j) + \sigma(S[i],-) \\ V(i,j-1) + \sigma(-,T[j]) \end{cases}$ 

for all  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $1 \le j \le m$ .

## Calculating One Entry

$$V(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} V(i-1,j-1) + \sigma(S[i],T[j]) \\ V(i-1,j) + \sigma(S[i],-) \\ V(i,j-1) + \sigma(-,T[j]) \end{cases}$$

$$V(i-1,j-1) \qquad V(i-1,j)$$

$$V(i,j-1) \qquad V(i,j)$$

	j	0	1	2	3	4	5				
<u>i</u>			С	a	d	b	d	←T			
0		0	-1,	-2	-3	-4	-5				
1	a	-1	·								
2	С	-2		C	Sc	Score(c,-) = -1					
3	b	-3									
4	О	-4									
5	d	-5									
6	b	-6									



	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	
i			С	a	d	b	d	←T
0		0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	
1	a	-1						
2	О	-2						
3	b	-3		Sco	ore(-,a	n) = -1		
4	С	-4					ī	
5	d	-5						
6	b	-6						



	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	
<u>i</u>			С	a	d	b	d	←T
0		0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	
1	а	-1						
2	С	-2						
3	Q	-3						
4	С	-4	_	- Sc	ore(-,c	(a) = -1		
5	d	-5	-1		` 			
6	b	-6						



	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	
<u>i</u>			С	a	d	b	d	←T
0		0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	
1	a	-1	-1	1				
2	O	-2						
3	b	-3						<b>-2</b>
4	С	-4				σ(a,	a)=+2	$\sigma(-,a)=-1$
5	d	-5				σ(a	-)=-1	1 -3 ca-
6	b	-6				1	<b></b>	-2 1 ca
	1			1				aa

# Example

	j	0	1	2	3	4	5
<u>i</u>			С	a	d	b	d
0		0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5
1	a	-1	-1	1			
2	С	-2	1				
3	b	-3					
4	С	-4					
5	p	-5					
6	b	-6					

 $\leftarrow T$ 

Time = O(mn)



	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	
i			С	a	d	b	d	←
0		0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	
1	a	-1	-1	1	0	-1	-2	
2	С	-2	1	0	0	-1	-2	
3	b	-3	0	0	-1	2	1	
4	С	-4	-1	-1	-1	1	1	
5	р	-5	-2	-2	1	0	3	
6	b	-6	-3	-3	0	3	2	



## Finding Alignments: Trace Back

Arrows = (ties for) max in V(i,j); 3 LR-to-UL paths = 3 optimal alignments

	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	
<u>i</u>			С	a	d	b	d	←T
0		0	<del>-</del> 1	-2	-3	-4	-5	
1	a	<u>-1</u>	-1	1	0	-1	-2	
2	С	-2	1	0	0	-1	-2	
3	b	-3	0	0	-1	2	1	
4	С	-4	-1	(-1)	-1	1,	1	
5	d	-5	-2	-2	1,	0	3	
6	b	-6	-3	-3	0	3	2	
	Ŝ							

## **Complexity Notes**

Time = O(mn), (value and alignment)

Space = O(mn)

Easy to get value in Time = O(mn) and Space = O(min(m,n))

Possible to get value and alignment in Time = O(mn) and Space = O(min(m,n)) but tricky.

## Significance of Alignments

Is "42" a good score? Compared to what?

Usual approach: compared to a specific "null model", such as "random sequences"

Interesting stats problem; much is known

#### **Variations**

### Local Alignment

- Preceding gives *global* alignment, i.e. full length of both strings;
- Might well miss strong similarity of part of strings amidst dissimilar flanks

### Gap Penalties

10 adjacent spaces cost 10 x one space?

### Many others

Similarly fast DP algs often possible

## Summary: Alignment

- Functionally similar proteins/DNA often have recognizably similar sequences even after eons of divergent evolution
- Ability to find/compare/experiment with "same" sequence in other organisms is a huge win
- Surprisingly simple scoring works well in practice: score positions separately & add, usually w/ fancier gap model like affine
- Simple dynamic programming algorithms can find *optimal* alignments under these assumptions in poly time (product of sequence lengths)
- This, and heuristic approximations to it like BLAST, are workhorse tools in molecular biology

# Summary: Dynamic Programming

#### Keys to D.P. are to

- a) identify the subproblems (usually repeated/overlapping)
- b) solve them in a careful order so all small ones solved before they are needed by the bigger ones, and
- c) build table with solutions to the smaller ones so bigger ones just need to do table lookups (*no* recursion, despite recursive formulation implicit in (a))
- d) Implicitly, optimal solution to whole problem devolves to optimal solutions to subproblems