

## Draw a picture of something from

 Seattle
## What is the run time of the Stable Matching Algorithm?

Initially all m in M and w in W are free
While there is a free $m$
Executed at most $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ times w highest on m's list that m has not proposed to if $w$ is free, then match ( $m, w$ ) else
suppose $\left(m_{2}, w\right)$ is matched
if $w$ prefers $m$ to $m_{2}$
unmatch $\left(m_{2}, w\right)$
match ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{w}$ )


## $O(1)$ time per iteration

- Find free m
- Find next available w
- If $w$ is matched, determine $m_{2}$
- Test if w prefer $m$ to $m_{2}$
- Update matching


## Definitions of efficiency

- Fast in practice
- Qualitatively better worst case performance than a brute force algorithm


## Polynomial time efficiency

- An algorithm is efficient if it has a polynomial run time
- Run time as a function of problem size
- Run time: count number of instructions executed on an underlying model of computation
$-\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})$ : maximum run time for all problems of size at most $n$


## Why Polynomial Time?

- Generally, polynomial time seems to capture the algorithms which are efficient in practice
- The class of polynomial time algorithms has many good, mathematical properties


## Ignoring constant factors

- Express run time as $O(f(n))$
- Emphasize algorithms with slower growth rates
- Fundamental idea in the study of algorithms
- Basis of Tarjan/Hopcroft Turing Award


## Polynomial Time

- Algorithms with polynomial run time have the property that increasing the problem size by a constant factor increases the run time by at most a constant factor (depending on the algorithm)


## Polynomial vs. Exponential Complexity

- Suppose you have an algorithm which takes n ! steps on a problem of size $n$
- If the algorithm takes one second for a problem of size 10, estimate the run time for the following problems sizes:

| 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

14
16 18 20

## Why ignore constant factors?

- Constant factors are arbitrary
- Depend on the implementation
- Depend on the details of the model
- Determining the constant factors is tedious and provides little insight


## Why emphasize growth rates?

- The algorithm with the lower growth rate will be faster for all but a finite number of cases
- Performance is most important for larger problem size
- As memory prices continue to fall, bigger problem sizes become feasible
- Improving growth rate often requires new techniques


## Formalizing growth rates

- $T(n)$ is $O(f(n))$
$\left[\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{Z}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}\right]$
- If sufficiently large $n, T(n)$ is bounded by a constant multiple of $f(n)$
- Exist $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{n}_{0}$, such that for $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{0}, \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{n})<\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{n})$
- $T(n)$ is $O(f(n))$ will be written as:
$T(n)=O(f(n))$
- Be careful with this notation


## Order the following functions in

 increasing order by their growth ratea) $n \log ^{4} n$
b) $2 n^{2}+10 n$
c) $2^{n / 100}$
d) $1000 n+\log ^{8} n$
e) $n^{100}$
f) $3^{n}$
g) $1000 \log ^{10} \mathrm{n}$
h) $n^{1 / 2}$
$T(n)$ is $O(f(n))$ if there exist $c, n_{0}$, such that for $n>n_{0}$ $T(n)<c f(n)$


## Lower bounds

- $T(n)$ is $\Omega(f(n))$
$-T(n)$ is at least a constant multiple of $f(n)$
- There exists an $n_{0}$, and $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})>\varepsilon \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n})$ for all $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{0}$
- Warning: definitions of $\Omega$ vary
- $T(n)$ is $\Theta(f(n))$ if $T(n)$ is $O(f(n))$ and $T(n)$ is $\Omega(f(n))$


## Useful Theorems

- If $\lim (f(n) / g(n))=c$ for $c>0$ then $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n})=\Theta(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}))$
- If $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ and $g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ then $f(n)$ is $O(h(n))$
- If $f(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ and $g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ then $f(n)+g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$


## Ordering growth rates

- For $\mathrm{b}>1$ and $\mathrm{x}>0$
$-\log ^{b} n$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)$
- For r > 1 and d > 0
$-n^{d}$ is $O\left(r^{n}\right)$

