CSE 421 Intro to Algorithms Winter 2004

The Fraction Knapsack Problem: A Greedy Example

CSE 421, W '04, Ruzzo

Total

Fractional Knapsack

Given:

A knapsack of Capacity: \it{W}

n items with: Weights: $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$ Values: $v_1, v_1, ..., v_n$

Find:

 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$, maximizing $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$

Subject to: $0 \le \alpha_i \le 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i w_i = W$

[Note: "0-1 Knapsack" same, except α_i = 0 or 1.]

CSE 421, W '04, Ruzzo

Examples

Object |Weight| Value Liqueur-Filled Bon Bons \$12 Dark Chocolate Truffles 2 \$18 Milk Choc. Spring Surprise 3 \$24 α_{i} $\alpha_i v_i$ α_{i} $\alpha_i v_i \quad \alpha_i$ $\alpha_i v_i$ ВВ \$12 0 \$0 5/6 \$10 \$12 Т 2 \$18 \$18 5/6 \$15 \$18 1 1 SS \$24 \$24 5/6 \$20 2/3 \$16

\$42

\$45

\$46

Greedy Solution

 Order by decreasing value per unit weight (renumbering as needed)

$$\frac{v_1}{w_1} \ge \frac{v_2}{w_2} \ge \dots \ge \frac{v_n}{w_n}$$

 Take as much 1 as possible, then as much 2 as possible, ...

CSE 421, W '04, Ruzz

The Greedy Choice Pays

Claim 1: 3 an optimal solution with as much as possible of item 1 in the knapsack, namely $\min(w_1, W)$. Equivalently $\alpha_1 = \min(w_1, W)/w_1$.

Proof: Among all optimal solutions, let $\beta_1,\beta_2,...,\beta_n$ be one with maximum β_1 , but suppose (for the sake of contradiction) $\beta_1 < \alpha_1$. Since β has less of 1 than α , it must have more of something else, say j, i.e. $\beta_j > \alpha_j$. Form β' from β by carrying a little more 1 and less j, say $\epsilon = \min((\beta_j - \alpha_j)w_j, (\alpha_1 - \beta_1)w_1) > 0$. Then β' will not have a lower value than β , since $\epsilon(\nu_1/w_1 - \nu_1/w_1) \ge 0$, but $\beta_1' > \beta_1$, contradicting our choice of β . QED

CSE 421, W '04, Ruzzo

Optimal Sub-solutions

Claim 2: The best solution for any given α_1 has α_2 , ..., α_n equal to an optimal solution for the smaller knapsack problem having items 2, 3, ..., n and capacity W - $\alpha_1 w_1$.

Proof: If not, we could get a better solution.

CSE 421, W '04, Ruzzo

Keys to Greedy Algorithms

"Greedy Choice Property":

Making a locally optimal ("greedy") 1st step cannot prevent reaching a global optimum.

"Optimal Substructure":

The optimal solution to the problem contains optimal solutions to subproblems.

[E.g., see Claim 2. True of Dynamic Programming, too.]

CSE 421, W '04, Ruzzo

NOTE:

- Greedy algorithms are very natural for optimization problems, but
- they don't always work
- E.g., if you try greedy approach for 0-1 knapsack on the candy example, it will choose to take all of BB & T, for a total value of \$30, well below the optimal \$42
- So: Correctness proofs are important!

CSE 421, W '04, Ruzzo