

CSE 421 Introduction to Algorithms

Depth First Search and Strongly Connected Components

W.L. Ruzzo, Winter 2004

1

Undirected Depth-First Search

■ It's not just for trees

```

DFS(v)
back edge { if v marked then return;
edge      { mark v; #v := ++count;
tree      { for all edges (v,w) do DFS(w);
edge
Main()
count := 0;
for all unmarked v do DFS(v);
    
```


NB: book uses decreasing

2

Undirected Depth-First Search

■ Key Properties:

1. No "cross-edges"; only tree- or back-edges
2. Before returning, DFS(v) visits all vertices reachable from v via paths through previously unvisited vertices



3

Directed Depth First Search


■ Algorithm: Unchanged

■ Key Properties:

2. Unchanged
- 1'. Edge (v,w) is:

As before	{	Tree-edge	if w unvisited
		Back-edge	if w visited, #w < #v, on stack
New	{	Cross-edge	if w visited, #w < #v, not on stack
		Forward-edge	if w visited, #w > #v


Note: Cross edges only go "Right" to "Left"



4

An Application:

G has a cycle \Leftrightarrow DFS finds a back edge
 \Leftarrow Clear.
 \Rightarrow Why can't we have something like this?:



5

Lemma 1

Before returning, dfs(v) visits w iff

- w is unvisited
- w is reachable from v via a path through unvisited vertices

Proof:


- dfs follows all direct out-edges
- call dfs recursively at each unvisited one
- by induction on path length, visits all

6


Strongly Connected Components

- **Defn:** G is *strongly connected* if for all u, v there is a (directed) path from u to v and from v to u .
[Equivalently:
there is a cycle through u and v .]
- **Defn:** a *strongly connected component* of G is a maximal strongly connected subgraph.

7



8



Note: collapsed graph is a DAG

9

Uses for SCC's

- **Optimizing compilers:**
 - SCC's in program flow graph = loops
 - SCC's in call graph = mutual recursion
- **Operating Systems:** If (u, v) means process u is waiting for process v , SCC's show deadlocks.
- **Econometrics:** SCC's might show highly interdependent sectors of the economy.
- Etc.

10

Directed Acyclic Graphs

- If we collapse each SCC to a single vertex we get a directed graph with no cycles
 - a **directed acyclic graph** or **DAG**
- Many problems on directed graphs can be solved as follows:
 - Compute SCC's and resulting DAG
 - Do one computation on each SCC
 - Do another computation on the overall DAG
 - Example: Spreadsheet evaluation

11

Two Simple SCC Algorithms

- u, v in same SCC iff there are paths $u \rightarrow v$ & $v \rightarrow u$
- ✓ Transitive closure: $O(n^3)$
- ✓ DFS from every u, v : $O(ne) = O(n^3)$

12

Goal:

- Find all Strongly Connected Components in linear time, i.e., time $O(n+e)$

(Tarjan, 1972)

13

Definition

The *root* of an SCC is the first vertex in it visited by DFS.

Equivalently, the root is the vertex in the SCC with the smallest DFS number.

14

Lemma 2

Exercise: show that each SCC is a *contiguous* subtree.

All members of an SCC are descendants of its root.

Proof:

- all members are reachable from all others
- so, all are reachable from its root
- all are unvisited when root is visited
- so, all are descendants of its root (Lemma 1)

15

Subgoal

- Can we identify some root?
- How about the root of the first SCC completely explored (returned from) by DFS?
- Key idea: no exit from first SCC**
(first SCC is leftmost "leaf" in collapsed DAG)

16

Definition




x is an *exit* from v (from v 's subtree) if

- x is not a descendant of v , but
- x is the head of a (cross- or back-) edge from a descendant of v (including v itself)

NOTE: $\#x < \#v$


Ex: node #1 cannot have an exit.

17



18

Lemma 3: Nonroots have exits



Idea: Follow cycle to root

If v is not a root, then v has an exit.

Proof:


- let r be root of v 's SCC
- r is a proper ancestor of v (Lemma 2)
- let x be the first vertex that is not a descendant of v on a path $v \rightarrow r$.
- x is an exit

Cor (contrapositive): If v has no exit, then v is a root.

NB: converse not true; some roots do have exits

19

Lemma 4



Idea: Exit \Rightarrow Bigger Cycle

If r is the first root from which dfs returns, then r has no exit


Proof (by contradiction):

- Suppose x is an exit
- let z be root of x 's SCC
- r not reachable from z , else in same SCC
- $\#z \leq \#x$ (z ancestor of x ; Lemma 2)
- $\#x < \#r$ (x is an exit from r)
- $\#z < \#r$, no $z \rightarrow r$ path, so return from z first
- Contradiction


20

How to Find Exits (in 1st component)

- All exits x from v have $\#x < \#v$
- Suffices to find any of them, e.g. min $\#$
- Defn:
 $LOW(v) = \min(\{ \#x \mid x \text{ an exit from } v \} \cup \{ \#v \})$
- Calculate inductively:
 $LOW(v) = \min$ of:
 - $\#v$
 - $\{ LOW(w) \mid w \text{ a child of } v \}$
 - $\{ \#x \mid (v,x) \text{ is a back- or cross-edge} \}$
- 1st root : $LOW(v)=v$



21



#	root	exits	LOW
1	1	-	-
2	2	-	-
3	3	-	3
4,5	3	3	3
6	3	3,5	3
7	3	5	5
8,9	3	7	7
10	10	2,8	-
11,12	10	10	-
13	13	-	-

1st root:
 $LOW(v)=v$


22

Finding Other Components

- Key idea: No exit from
 - 1st SCC
 - 2nd SCC, except maybe to 1st
 - 3rd SCC, except maybe to 1st and/or 2nd
 - ...

23

Lemma 3'



If v is not a root, then v has an exit.


Proof:

- let r be root of v 's SCC
- r is a proper ancestor of v (Lemma 2)
- let x be the first vertex that is not a descendant of v on a path $v \rightarrow r$.
- x is an exit

Cor: If v has no exit, then v is a root.

24

Lemma 4'



If r is the first root from which dfs returns, then r has no exit

Proof:

- Suppose x is an exit
- let z be root of x 's SCC
- r not reachable from z , else in same SCC
- $\#z \leq \#x$ (z ancestor of x ; Lemma 2)
- $\#x < \#r$ (x is an exit from r)
- $\#z < \#r$, no $z \rightarrow r$ path, so return from z first
- ~~Contradiction~~ i.e., x in first $(k-1)$

25

How to Find Exits (in k^{th} component)

- All exits x from v have $\#x < \#v$
- Suffices to find any of them, e.g. min #
- Defn:
 $LOW(v) = \min(\{ \#x \mid x \text{ an exit from } v \} \cup \{ \#v \})$
- Calculate inductively:
 $LOW(v) = \min$ of:
 - $\#v$
 - $\{ LOW(w) \mid w \text{ a child of } v \}$
 - $\{ \#x \mid (v,x) \text{ is a back- or cross-edge} \}$and x not in first $(k-1)$ components

26


SCC Algorithm

$\#v$ = DFS number
 $v.low$ = $LOW(v)$
 $v.scc$ = component #

```

SCC(v)
#v = vertex_number++; v.low = #v; push(v)
for all edges (v,w)
  if #w == 0 then
    SCC(w); v.low = min(v.low, w.low) // tree edge
  else if #w < #v && w.scc == 0 then
    v.low = min(v.low, #w) // cross- or back-edge
if #v == v.low then // v is root of new scc
  scc#++;
  repeat
    w = pop(); w.scc = scc#; // mark SCC members
  until w==v
  
```

27



#	root	exits	LOW
1	1	-	1
2	2	-	2
3	3	-	3
4,5	3	3	3
6	3	3,5	3
7	3	5	5
8,9	3	7	7
10	10	2,8	10
11,12	10	10	10
13	13	-	13

28

Complexity

- Look at every edge once
- Look at every vertex (except via in-edge) at most once
- Time = $O(n+e)$

29

Where to start

- Unlike undirected DFS, start vertex matters
- Add "outer loop":


```

mark all vertices unvisited
while there is unvisited vertex v do
  scc(v)
      
```
- Exercise: redo example starting from another vertex, e.g. #11 or #13 (which become #1)

30

