CSci 421 Introduction to Algorithms Homework Assignment 6 Due: Friday, 2 Mar 2001

- 1. (a) Draw the residual graph corresponding to the flow in figure 7.41, pg241. Is this flow maximum? Why or why not? If maximum, what is the corresponding min cut?
 - (b) Repeat part (a) assuming c(v, u) = 6 (instead of 4, as shown in the figure).
- 2. Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph with edge capacities given by $c : E \to \Re^+$ (the non-negative reals), $f : V \times V \to \Re$ be a flow on G (as defined in lecture; I think you'll find it simpler to work with than the definition on page 238). Let G_f be the residual graph induced by f. Finally let $g : V \times V \to \Re$ be a flow function on G_f (not G), and define $h : V \times V \to \Re$ to be f + g, i.e. for all $u, v \in V$, h(u, v) = f(u, v) + g(u, v).

Prove or disprove: h is a flow on G.

Note: I showed in lecture that this result is true in the special case where g sends a non-zero flow only along a single s-t path, so the question here is whether that generalizes to an arbitrary augmenting flow.

3. Note: In this prob. and the next, as in lecture, I use the terms *alternating* and *augmenting* path slightly differently from the book. A path is *alternating* with respect to a given matching M if its edges alternate between M and E - M. An *augmenting* path is an alternating path whose end points are both unmatched. Compare to the book's definition on page 236.

Let G be the bipartite graph shown in figure 7.37, page 236. Let M be the (non-maximum) matching $\{\{3, A\}, \{4, E\}, \{6, F\}\}$.

- (a) List 3 alternating paths that are *not* augmenting paths.
- (b) List *all* augmenting paths in G (with respect to M).
- (c) What is the smallest set of pairwise vertex-disjoint augmenting paths? What is the largest?
- (d) Let P be the augmenting path of length 3 containing {4, E}. Considering M and P to be sets of edges, M ⊕ P is their set theoretic symmetric difference: (M ∪ P) (M ∩ P). What set of edges is M' = M ⊕ P? Is it a matching?
- 4. Let G be any bipartite graph, M any matching in G, and P any augmenting path (with respect to M).
 - (a) Prove that $M' = M \oplus P$ is a matching.
 - (b) Show |M'| = |M| + 1. How is the set of matched vertices in M' related to the set of matched vertices in M and the set of vertices (incident to edges) in P?
 - (c) Give a counterexample to 4a if P is an arbitrary path, i.e. show that there is a graph G, matching M and path P such that $M \oplus P$ is not a matching. Is it true or false if P is an alternating path that is not an augmenting path? Prove or give a counterexample.
 - (d) Now suppose that there are *two* augmenting paths P and P' with respect to M, and that P and P' are vertexdisjoint. Show that P' also is an augmenting path with respect to the *augmented* matching (M ⊕ P), and similarly that P is augmenting with respect to (M ⊕ P'). What could you say about a case where there were, say, 17 pairwise disjoint paths P₁,..., P₁₇, all augmenting paths with respect to M? What, and how big, is M ⊕ P₁ ⊕ ... ⊕ P₁₇?
- 5. The Hopcroft-Karp bipartite matching algorithm sketched in class and the book needs a subroutine for the following problem: Given a directed acyclic graph G with a designated set U of vertices having indegree 0 (the *source* vertices) and a designated set V of vertices having outdegree 0 (the *sinks*), find a maximal set of pairwise vertex disjoint paths that go from some source to some sink. Give a linear time algorithm for this problem.

[Note that in the matching example the graph G has the additional property that, since it is produced by breadthfirst search, it is nicely *layered* — each vertex has been assigned a layer number with all sources on layer 0, all sinks on layer k for some fixed k, and all edges going from a layer i to the next layer i + 1. Although I confess I haven't given it much thought, I don't think this extra information is either necessary or particularly useful in solving the problem, BUT you may assume it if you find it helpful.]