CSE 421
Introduction to Algorithms
Winter 2001

The Network Flow Problem

The Network Flow Problem



How much stuff can flow from s to t?

Net Flow: Formal Definition

Given:

- A digraph G = (V,E)
- Two vertices s,t in V (source & sink)
- A *capacity* c(u,v) ≥ 0 for each (u,v) ∈ E (and c(u,v) = 0 for all non-edges (u,v))

Find:

- A *flow function* f: V x V → R s.t., for all u,v:
  - f(u,v) ≤ c(u,v) [Capacity Constraint]
  - f(u,v) = -f(v,u) [Skew Symmetry]
  - if u ≠ s,t, f(u,V) = 0 [Flow Conservation]

Maximizing total flow |f| = f(s,V)

Notation: $f(X,Y) = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} f(x,y)$

Example: A Flow Function



f(s,u) = f(u,t) = 2

f(u,s) = f(t,u) = -2 *(Why?)*

f(s,t) = -f(t,s) = 0 *(In every flow function for this G. Why?)*

$$f(u,V) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u,v) = f(u,s) + f(u,t) = -2 + 2 = 0$$

Example: A Flow Function



- Not shown: f(u,v) if ≤ 0
- Note: max flow ≥ 4 since f is a flow function, with |f| = 4

Max Flow via a Greedy Alg?

While there is an s → t path in G

- Pick such a path, p
- Find c_p, the min capacity of any edge in p
- Subtract c_p from all capacities on p
- Delete edges of capacity 0



Max Flow via a Greedy Alg?

This does NOT always find a max flow:

If you pick s →b →a →t first,



Flow stuck at 2. But flow 3 possible.

A Brief History of Flow

#	year	discoverer(s)	bound
1	1951	Dantzig	$O(n^2 mU)$
2	1955	Ford & Fulkerson	$O(nmU)$
3	1970	Dinitz Edmonds & Karp	$O(nm^2)$
4	1970	Dinitz	$O(n^2 m)$
5	1972	Edmonds & Karp Dinitz	$O(m^2 \log U)$
6	1973	Dinitz Gabow	$O(nm \log U)$
7	1974	Karzanov	$O(n^3)$
8	1977	Cherkassky	$O(n^2 \sqrt{m})$
9	1980	Galil & Naamad	$O(nm \log^2 n)$
10	1983	Sleator & Tarjan	$O(nm \log n)$
11	1986	Goldberg & Tarjan	$O(nm \log(n^2/m))$
12	1987	Ahuja & Orlin	$O(nm + n^2 \log U)$
13	1987	Ahuja et al.	$O(nm \log(n\sqrt{\log U}/(m+2))$
14	1989	Cheriyan & Hagerup	$E(nm + n^2 \log^2 n)$
15	1990	Cheriyan et al.	$O(n^3 / \log n)$
16	1990	Alon	$O(nm + n^{8/3} \log n)$
17	1992	King et al.	$O(nm + n^{2+\epsilon})$
18	1993	Phillips & Westbrook	$O(nm(\log_{m/n} n + \log^{2+\epsilon} n))$
19	1994	King et al.	$O(nm \log_{m/(n \log n)} n)$
20	1997	Goldberg & Rao	$O(m^{3/2} \log(n^2/m) \log U)$ $O(n^{2/3} m \log(n^2/m) \log U)$

n = # of vertices
m= # of edges
U = Max capacity

Source: Goldberg & Rao, FOCS '97

Greed Revisited



Residual Capacity

- The *residual capacity* (w.r.t. f) of (u,v) is $c_f(u,v) = c(u,v) - f(u,v)$
- E.g.:



$c_f(s,b) = 7;$

$c_f(a,x) = 1;$

$c_f(x,a) = 3;$

$c_f(x,t) = 0$ (a saturated edge)

Residual Networks & Augmenting Paths

- The *residual network* (w.r.t. f) is the graph $G_f = (V,E_f)$, where

$$E_f = \{ (u,v) \mid c_f(u,v) > 0 \}$$

- An *augmenting path* (w.r.t. f) is a simple $s \to t$ path in G_f.

A Residual Network



residual network: the graph $G_f = (V,E_f)$, where $E_f = \{ (u,v) \mid c_f(u,v) > 0 \}$



An Augmenting Path



augmenting path:
a simple s → t path in G_f.



Lemma 1

If f admits an augmenting path p, then f is not maximal.

Proof: "obvious" -- augment along p by c_p, the min residual capacity of p's edges.

Augmenting A Flow



Proof of Lemma 1—Case 1

Let (u,v) be any edge in augmenting path. Note

$c_f(u,v) = c(u,v) - f(u,v) \geq c_p > 0$

Case 1: $f(u,v) \geq 0$:

Add forward flow



Proof of Lemma 1—Case 2

Let (u,v) be any edge in augmenting path. Note

$c_f(u,v) = c(u,v) - f(u,v) \geq c_p > 0$

Case 2: $f(u,v) \leq -c_p$:
$f(v,u) = -f(u,v) \geq c_p$

Cancel/redirect reverse flow



Proof of Lemma 1—Case 3

Let (u,v) be any edge in augmenting path. Note

$c_f(u,v) = c(u,v) - f(u,v) \geq c_p > 0$

Case 3: $-c_p \leq f(u,v) < 0$:

???



Proof of Lemma 1—Case 3

Let (u,v) be any edge in augmenting path. Note

$c_f(u,v) = c(u,v) - f(u,v) \geq c_p > 0$

Case 3: $-c_p \leq f(u,v) < 0$
$c_p \geq f(v,u) > 0$:

Both:
cancel/redirect reverse flow
and
add forward flow



Ford-Fulkerson Method

While G_f has an augmenting path, augment

Questions:
» Does it halt?
» Does it find a maximum flow?
» How fast?

Cuts

- A partition S,T of V is a *cut* if s ∈ S, t ∈ T
- *Capacity* of cut S,T is $c(S,T) = \sum_{u\in S, v\in T} c(u,v)$



Lemma 2

- For any flow f and any cut S,T,
  » the net flow across the cut equals the total flow, i.e., |f| = f(S,T), and
  » the net flow across the cut cannot exceed the capacity of the cut, i.e. f(S,T) ≤ c(S,T)
- Corollary:
  Max flow ≤ Min cut



Max Flow / Min Cut Theorem

For any flow f, the following are equivalent

(1) |f| = c(S,T) for some cut S,T (a min cut)
(2) f is a maximum flow
(3) f admits no augmenting path

Proof:

(1) ⇒ (2): corollary to lemma 2
(2) ⇒ (3): contrapositive of lemma 1

(3) ⇒ (1)



S = { u | ∃ an augmenting path from s to u }
T = V - S; s ∈ S, t ∈ T
For any (u,v) in S × T, ∃ an augmenting path from s to u, but not to v.
∴ (u,v) has 0 residual capacity:

(u,v) ∈ E ⇒ saturated f(u,v) = c(u,v)
(v,u) ∈ E ⇒ no flow f(u,v) = 0 = -f(v,u)

This is true for every edge crossing the cut, i.e.

$|f| = f(S,T) = \sum_{u\in S}\sum_{v\in T} f(u,v) =$
$\sum_{u\in S, v\in T, (u,v)\in E} f(u,v) = \sum_{u\in S, v\in T, (u,v)\in E} c(u,v) = c(S,T)$

Corollaries & Facts

- If Ford-Fulkerson terminates, then it's found a max flow.
- It will terminate if c(e) integer or rational (but may not if they're irrational).
- However, may take exponential time, even with integer capacities:



$c = 10^{99}$, say

Edmonds-Karp Algorithm

- Use a shortest augmenting path (via Breadth First Search in residual graph)
- Time: $O(n\ m^2)$

BFS / Shortest Path Lemmas

Distance from s is never reduced by:

- Deleting an edge
  proof: no new (hence no shorter) path created
- Adding an edge (u,v), provided v is nearer than u
  proof: BFS is unchanged, since v visited before (u,v) examined



Lemma 3

Let *f* be a flow, G_f the residual graph, and *p* a shortest augmenting path. Then no vertex is closer to *s* after augmentation along *p*.

Proof: Augmentation only deletes edges, adds back edges

Augmentation vs BFS



Theorem 2

The Edmonds-Karp Algorithm performs O(mn) flow augmentations

Proof:

{*u*,*v*} is critical on augmenting path *p* if it's closest to *s* having min residual capacity.

Won't be critical again until farther from *s*.

So each edge critical at most *n* times.

Augmentation vs BFS Level



Corollary

Edmonds-Karp runs in $O(nm^2)$

Flow Integrality Theorem

If all capacities are integers

» The max flow has an integer value

» Ford-Fulkerson method finds a max flow in which f(u,v) is an integer for all edges (u,v)



Bipartite Maximum Matching



Bipartite Graphs:

- G = (V,E)
- $V = L \cup R$ ($L \cap R = \emptyset$)
- $E \subseteq L \times R$

Matching:

- A set of edges $M \subseteq E$ such that no two edges touch a common vertex

Problem:

- Find a matching M of maximum size

Reducing Matching to Flow



Given bipartite G, build flow network N as follows:

- Add source s, sink t
- Add edges s → L
- Add edges R → t
- All edge capacities 1

Theorem: Max flow iff max matching

Reducing Matching to Flow

Theorem: Max matching size = max flow value



M → f? Easy – send flow only through M

f → M? Flow integrality Thm, + cap constraints

Notes on Matching

- Max Flow Algorithm is probably overly general here
- But most direct matching algorithms use "augmenting path" type ideas similar to that in max flow – See text & homework
- Time $mn^{1/2}$ possible via Edmonds-Karp