CSE 417 Autumn 2025

Lecture 3: Proof Techniques

Nathan Brunelle

Concept check quizzes

Many students haven't done it yet 😟

Remember: unlimited submissions, and are marked "incomplete" until you get every question right.

One-time extension for everyone until 11:59pm tonight!

Be sure to get those in on time going forward.

Homework 1

HW 1 due this Friday at 11:59pm.

- Problem 1 (Grading ChatGPT): Read ChatGPT's response to a question about stable matchings, and explain where the LLM made mistakes.
- Problem 2 (Business profit): Write a super simple algorithm for a basic task, and prove its correctness (today's lecture!)

Todo

- Homework 1 due this Friday at 11:59pm
- Reading + Concept checks for each lecture!

Proof writing practice

Proof Techniques

- Claim: If property P is true, then property Q is true.
- **Direct proof:** Start with statement "P is true", then write down a sequence of consequences until reaching "Q is true".
- Indirect Proof (by contrapositive): Start with statement "Q is false", then write down a sequence of consequences until reaching "P is false".
- **Contradiction:** Start with the statement "P is true and W is false", then write a sequence of consequences until reaching a statement that is obvious impossible.
- Counterexample (for proving false): Give one thing that has property P but not Q.
- Cases: If there are multiple ways for property P to be true, you can consider each different way separately.

Let's Practice! (Example 1)

Example 1

• Claim: If n and m are both odd, then n+m is even.

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Start with: n and m are both odd	Start with: $n + m$ is odd	Start with: n, m , and $n + m$ are all odd	Find an example of odd n and m such that $n+m$ is
End with: $n + m$ is even	End with: at least one of n and m is even	End with: something that's clearly wrong	also odd.

- Do you think the statement is true or false?
- Which strategy seems easiest to you?

Example 1: Direct proof

Claim: If n and m are both odd, then n + m is even.

Proof: suppose n and m are both odd

Example 1: Indirect proof

Claim: If n and m are both odd, then n + m is even.

Proof: Suppose n + m is odd

Example 1: Proof by Contradiction

Claim: If n and m are both odd, then n + m is even.

Proof: suppose, towards reaching a contradiction, that n, m, and n+m are all odd

Let's Practice! (Example 2)

Example 2

• Claim: If n and m are both integers, then $n^2 - 4m \neq 2$

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Start with: n and m are both integers End with: $n^2 - 4m \neq 2$	Start with: $n^2 - 4m \neq 2$ End with: at least one of n and m is not an integer	Start with: n and m are integers such that $n^2 - 4m = 2$	Find an example of integers n and m such that $n^2 - 4m = 2$.
		End with: something that's clearly wrong	

- Do you think the statement is true or false?
- Which strategy seems easiest to you?

Example 2: Direct proof

Claim: If n and m are both integers, then $n^2 - 4m \neq 2$

Proof: suppose n and m are both integers

Example 2: Indirect proof

Claim: If n and m are both integers, then $n^2 - 4m \neq 2$

Proof: Suppose $n^2 - 4m = 2$

Example 2: Proof by Contradiction

Claim: If n and m are both integers, then $n^2 - 4m \neq 2$

Proof: suppose, towards reaching a contradiction, that we have integers n and m such that $n^2 - 4m = 2$

Let's Practice! (Example 3)

Example 3

• Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is even then n is even

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Start with: $4n^3 + 8$ is even	Start with: n is odd	Start with: n is odd and $4n^3 + 8$ is even	Find an example of an odd integer n such that $4n^3 + 8$
End with: n is even	End with: $4n^3 + 8$ is odd	End with: something that's clearly wrong	is even

- Do you think the statement is true or false?
- Which strategy seems easiest to you?

Example 3: Indirect proof

Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is even then n is even

Proof: Suppose *n* is even

Example 3: Counterexample

Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is even then n is even

Proof: let n = ???

Let's Practice! (Example 4)

Example 4

• Claim: If $n^3 + 5$ is odd then n is even

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Start with: $n^3 + 5$ is odd	Start with: n is odd	Start with: n and $n^3 + 5$ are both odd	Find an example of an odd integer n such that $n^3 + 5$
End with: n is even	End with: $n^3 + 5$ is even	End with: something that's clearly wrong	is odd

- Do you think the statement is true or false?
- Which strategy seems easiest to you?

Example 4: Direct proof

Claim: If $n^3 + 5$ is odd then n is even

Proof: suppose $n^3 + 5$ is odd

Example 4: Indirect proof

Claim: If $n^3 + 5$ is odd then n is even

Proof: Suppose *n* is odd

Example 4: Proof by Contradiction

Claim: If $n^3 + 5$ is odd then n is even

Proof: suppose, towards reaching a contradiction, that we have an even integer n such that $n^3 + 5$ is even

Let's Practice! (Example 5)

Example 5

• Claim: If nm is even then at least one of n and m is even

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Start with: nm is even End with: n is even or m is	Start with: both n and m are odd	Start with: <i>nm</i> is even and both of <i>n</i> and <i>m</i> are odd End with: something that's	Find an example of an odd integers n and m such that nm is even
even (or both)	End with: nm is even	clearly wrong	

- Do you think the statement is true or false?
- Which strategy seems easiest to you?

Example 5: Direct proof

Claim: If nm is even then at least one of n and m is even

Proof: suppose *nm* is even

Example 5: Indirect proof

Claim: If nm is even then at least one of n and m is even

Proof: Suppose n and m are both odd

Example 5: Proof by Contradiction

Claim: If nm is even then at least one of n and m is even

Proof: suppose, towards reaching a contradiction, that we have odd integers n and m such that nm is even

Let's Practice! (Example 6)

Example 6

• Claim: If $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is odd then both x and y are odd

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Start with: $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is odd	Start with: at least one of x and y is even	Start with: $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is odd and at least one of x or y is even	Find pair of integers x and y where at least one is even and $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is
End with: both x and y are odd	End with: $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is even	End with: something that's clearly wrong	odd

- Do you think the statement is true or false?
- Which strategy seems easiest to you?

Example 6: Direct proof

Claim: If $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is odd then both x and y are odd

Proof: suppose $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is odd

Example 6: Indirect proof

Claim: If $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is odd then both x and y are odd

Proof: Suppose that x is even or y is even

Example 6: Proof by Contradiction

Claim: If $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is odd then both x and y are odd

Proof: suppose, towards reaching a contradiction, a pair of integers x and y (not both odd) such that $x^2(y^2 - 2y)$ is even

Let's Practice! (Example 7)

Example 7

• Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is odd then n is even

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Start with: $4n^3 + 8$ is odd	Start with: n is odd	Start with: n and $4n^3 + 8$ are both odd	Find an example of an odd integer n such that $4n^3 + 8$
End with: n is even	End with: $4n^3 + 8$ is even	End with: something that's clearly wrong	is odd

- Do you think the statement is true or false?
- Which strategy seems easiest to you?

Example 7: Direct Proof

Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is odd then n is even

Proof: Suppose $4n^3 + 8$ is odd

Example 7: Indirect proof

Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is odd then n is even

Proof: Suppose *n* is even

Example 7: Proof by Contradiction

Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is odd then n is even

Proof: suppose, towards reaching a contradiction, that we have an odd integer n such that $4n^3 + 8$ is odd

Example 7: Counterexample

Claim: If $4n^3 + 8$ is even then n is even

Proof: let n = ???

Vacuous Truth

- If we have a claim of the form "If property P is true then property Q is true" and it is impossible for property P to be true, the entire statement is actually a true statement!
- We say that statement is "vacuously true"

Review: What is correctness?

Review: Correctness

Algorithm: A list of unambiguous instructions to solve a class of computational problems

An algorithm is correct for a given problem if it has:

- 1. Soundness: Running it never raises exceptions/errors
- 2. Termination: All loops terminate
- 3. Validity: The output meets the problem specification

Review: Selection sort (1/6)

Input: Array A[1 ... n] of numbers

Goal: A permutation of *A* that is sorted in decreasing order

- 1. for i = 1, ..., n do
- 2. Let A[j] be the maximum element of A[i ... n].
- 3. Swap A[i] and A[j].
- 4. return A

Review: Selection sort (2/6)

Q: Explain why "no exceptions" is true for this algorithm.

A: Two things:

- 1. Array access on i is within bounds because $1 \le i \le n$ (line 1).
- 2. Maximum element A[j] exists because $i \ge 1$, so A[1 ... i] is nonempty.

Note: The concept of "error" in pseudocode is broader than code: whenever you say "let x be the ...," make sure it exists!

Q: "loops terminate"?

A: For-loops always terminate!

Selection sort (3/6)

Q: What are some loop invariants that will help us show "meets specification"?

A: Here are some natural ideas:

- 1. After every iteration, array A is a permutation of the original.
- 2. After iteration i, subarray A[1 ... i] is sorted in decreasing order.

Selection sort (4/6)

1. After every iteration, array A is a permutation of the original.

Proof. Before the loop starts: A is unchanged.

After each iteration: By the previous iteration, *A* starts out as a permutation of the original array.

Because we only modify A by swapping elements, it remains a permutation of the original at the end of this iteration.

Selection sort (5/6)

2. After iteration i, subarray A[1 ... i] is sorted in decreasing order.

Proof. Before the loop starts: $A[1 \dots 0]$ is empty.

After each iteration: By the previous iteration, A[1 ... i - 1] starts out sorted in decreasing order.

To show $A[1 \dots i]$ ends up sorted, we need $A[i-1] \ge A[i]$.

(Then let's look at the code again to see what happens.)

Selection sort (6/6)

Input: Array A[1 ... n] of numbers

Goal: A permutation of A that is sorted in decreasing order

- 1. for i = 1, ..., n do
- 2. Let A[j] be the maximum element of A[i ... n].
- 3. Swap A[i] and A[j].
- 4. return A

Stuck because this iteration doesn't give any information about A[i-1]!

Instead, strengthen the loop invariant to know more about A[i-1].

Alternative invariant (1/3)

2. After iteration i, subarray A[1 ... i] each index j where $1 \le j \le i$ contains the jth largest element of A.

Proof. Before the loop starts: A[1 ... 0] is empty.

After each iteration: By the previous iteration, each index of j of A[1 ... i - 1] contains the jth largest element of A.

We need to prove that index *i* contains the *i*th largest element of *A* after iteration *i*.

Alternative invariant (2/3)

After each iteration: By the previous iteration, each index of j of A[1 ... i - 1] contains the jth largest element of A.

To prove that index i also contains the ith largest element of A after iteration i:

Lines 2 and 3 of the algorithm guarantee that index i will contain the largest element from A[i ... n]. This means that so long as that value is less than or equal to everything currently in the range A[1 ... i - 1] our invariant holds.

That statement is guaranteed by the previous iteration!

Alternative Invariant (3/3)

What we know now: Every index of i of A[1 ... n] contains the ith largest element of A.

What we need to show: At the end of our algorithm, *A* is in decreasing order.

Final step: Show that if every index of i of A[1 ... n] contains the ith largest element of A, then A is in decreasing order.

Final step

Claim: If every index of i of A[1 ... n] contains the ith largest element of A, then A is in decreasing order.

Assumption: every index of i of A[1 ... n] contains the ith largest element of A

Conclusion: *A* is in decreasing order

Direct Proof	Indirect Proof	Contradiction	Counterexample
Every index <i>i</i> contains he <i>i</i> th largest element	A is not in decreasing order	Every index <i>i</i> contains he <i>i</i> th largest element and <i>A</i> is not in decreasing order	Find a permutation of <i>A</i> that is not in decreasing order, but every index <i>i</i> contains he <i>i</i> th largest element

Indirect proof

Claim: If A is not in decreasing order then some index of i of A[1 ... n] does not contain the ith largest element of A

Proof: Suppose that A is not in decreasing order. This means that there is at least one pair of indices i + 1 and i such that A[i] < A[i + 1]. Select i so that this is the first such pair.

Since this is the first out-of-order pair, we can conclude that A[i] is smaller than or equal to all values in the range $A[1 \dots i-1]$, and so there are at least i-1 elements greater than or equal to A[i]. Since A[i] < A[i+1] as well, there are at least i elements greater than A[i], so A[i] is not the ith largest element of A.

Contradiction

Claim: If every index of i of A[1 ... n] contains the ith largest element of A, then A is in decreasing order.

Proof: We proceed by contradiction. Suppose we have a permutation of that is not in decreasing order, but every index i contains he ith largest element.

Because every index i contains the ith largest element, we know that there are not more than i-1 elements that are greater than A[i].

If A is not in decreasing order. This means that there is at least one pair of indices i + 1 and i such that A[i] < A[i + 1]. Select i so that this is the first such pair.

Since this is the first out-of-order pair, we can conclude that A[i] is smaller than or equal to all values in the range $A[1 \dots i-1]$, and so there are at least i elements greater than or equal to A[i]. Since A[i] < A[i+1] as well, there are at least i elements greater than A[i]. This contradicts the assumption that index i contains the ith largest element.

Proof writing tips

- Writing proofs often involves failing. If some path seems like a dead end, try at different approach!
- Start by first guessing whether the statement is true or false.
- Next, write out what each proof strategy requires us to demonstrate. Then try to guess at which one seems easiest, start working on that one
- Repeatedly apply definitions of things to re-express statements. Write down all things you can
 think of that are true and relevant based on those statements
- If you get stuck, transition to another strategy. If you keep getting stuck, return to a previous one
- Proof techniques are not exclusive. You may find that you embed one strategy for one part of a larger proof
- If you're getting frustrated, come to office hours!

Final reminders

HW1 released at 11:30am!

I have OH now-12:30pm:

- Meet at front of classroom, we'll walk over together
- CSE (Allen) 214 if you're coming later

Nathan has online OH 12–1pm:

- Link on Canvas/course website
- https://washington.zoom.us/my/nathanbrunelle