

## A Formal Definition

We need a formal definition of a reduction.

We will say " $A$  reduces to  $B$  in polynomial time" (or " $A$  is polynomial time reducible to  $B$ " or " $A$  reduces to  $B$ " or " $A \leq B$ ") if:

There is an algorithm to solve problem  $A$ , which, if given access to a polynomial-time algorithm for problem  $B$ , runs in polynomial time overall (**including** the library's running time!!!).

## Let's Do A Reduction

4 steps for reducing (decision problem)  $A$  to problem  $B$ .

1. Describe the reduction itself (i.e. the algorithm, with a call to a library for problem  $B$ )
2. Make sure the running time would be polynomial (usually skip writing out this step).
3. Argue that if the correct answer (to the instance for  $A$ ) is YES, then our algorithm answers YES.
4. Argue that if the correct answer (to the instance for  $A$ ) is NO, then our algorithm answers NO.

## Reduce 3-coloring to 4-coloring

What's 3-coloring?

### 3-coloring

Input: Undirected Graph  $G$

Output: `True` if the vertices of  $G$  can be labeled with red, green, and blue so that no edge has both of its endpoints colored the same color. `False` if it cannot.

### 4-coloring

Input: Undirected Graph  $G$

Output: `True` if the vertices of  $G$  can be labeled with red, green, blue, and orange so that no edge has both of its endpoints colored the same color. `False` if it cannot.

## Correctness?

```
3ColorCheck(Graph G)
```

```
    Let H be a copy of G
```

```
    Add a vertex to H, attach it to all
    other vertices.
```

```
    Bool answer = 4ColorCheck(H)
```

```
    return answer
```

TWO statements to prove: ("two directions")

If the correct answer for  $G$  is YES, then we say YES

If the correct answer for  $G$  is NO, then we say NO