

Divide & Conquer

CSE 417 Fall22
Lecture 9


Divide & Conquer

Algorithm Design Paradigm


1. Divide instance into subparts.
2. Solve the parts recursively.
3. Conquer by combining the answers

Merge Sort

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XaqR3G_NVoo



Sort the pieces through the magic of recursion



Merge Sort

```

mergeSort(input) {
  if (input.length == 1)
    return
  else
    smallerHalf = mergeSort(new [0, ..., mid])
    largerHalf = mergeSort(new [mid + 1, ...])
    return merge(smallerHalf, largerHalf)
}

```


Worst case runtime? $T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ 2T(n/2) + n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = O(n \log n)$

Best case runtime? Same

Average runtime? Same

Stable? Yes


In-place? No



Counting Inversions

Given an array, A , determine how "unsorted" it is, by counting number of inversions:

Inversion: pair i, j such that $i < j$ but $A[i] > A[j]$



$(0,1)$, $(2,3)$, and $(2,4)$
are inversions


Intuitively, how many adjacent swaps to fully sort.

Why? Tell "how different" two lists are (e.g. tell if someone's opinion is an outlier, or if two people have similar preferences)

Counting Inversions

Given an array, A , determine how "unsorted" it is:

Find the number of pairs i, j such that $i < j$ but $A[i] > A[j]$



Visualization: overlaps correspond to inversions (needed swaps)

Counting Inversions

What's the first idea that comes to mind (don't try to divide and conquer yet).

Check every pair i, j

$\Theta(n^2)$ time.

Goal: do better than $\Theta(n^2)$

Divide & Conquer Inversions




1. Divide instance into subparts.
2. Solve the parts recursively.
3. Conquer by combining the answers

1. Split array in half (indices $0, \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and $\frac{n}{2}, n - 1$)
2. Solve the parts recursively (gives all inversions in each half)
3. Combine the answers

So...do we just add?

Conquer

Can't just add!



Conquer

Kinds of i, j

Both, i, j in left side – counted by recursive call

Both i, j in right side – counted by other recursive call


i in left side, j in right side – TODO

i in right side, j in left side – Can't have $i < j$ no inversions here.

Need to handle TODO. Then add together.

Inversions across the middle

Fix some i on the left side. How many j on the right side form inversions?



So how do we find all the “crossing inversions”

$\frac{n}{2}$ elements, each checking $\frac{n}{2}$ others, so that’s time... $\Theta(n^2)$ to merge

Running Time

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n^2) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ \Theta(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Master Theorem says:

Master Theorem

Given a recurrence of the following form, where a, b, c , and d are constants:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } n \text{ is at most some constant} \\ aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n^2) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ \Theta(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where $f(n)$ is $\Theta(n^c)$

If $\log_b a < c$ then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^c)$

If $\log_b a = c$ then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^c \log n)$

If $\log_b a > c$ then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

Running Time

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n^2) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ O(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Master Theorem says:

$$\log_2(2) = 1 < 2$$

So $O(n^2)$

Not actually better than brute force.

Divide & Conquer Smarter

In fact, all that divide & conquer did was **rearrange** the work we were doing anyway.

We're still explicitly checking for every i, j "is i, j an inversion?"

The trick to making divide & conquer efficient is to make it so that conquering is **easier** than just solving the whole problem.

Counting Across the Middle

Fix some i on the left side. How many j on the right side form inversions?

What would we do if the right hand side were sorted?

Counting the inversions

0	1	2	3	4
8	2	91	22	57

Inversions involving index 0: (0,5) ... (0,8)

Inversions involving index 1: (1,5)

Inversions involving index 4: [none]

5	6	7	8	9
1	4	6	7	10



Counting Across the Middle

Fix some i on the left side. How many j on the right side form inversions?

What would we do if the right hand side were sorted?

Binary search!

Time? $O(\log n)$ per element on the left side...so $O(n \log n)$ to combine

Analyze, round 2.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n \log n) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ O(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Master Theorem says:

$$\log_2(2) = 1 ? \textit{ummm}$$

Master Theorem

Given a recurrence of the following form, where $a, b, c,$ and d are constants:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } n \text{ is at most some constant} \\ aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where $f(n)$ is $\Theta(n^c)$

If $\log_b a < c$ then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^c)$

If $\log_b a = c$ then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^c \log n)$

If $\log_b a > c$ then $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n \log n) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ O(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pause

Lets get some intuition

$O(n \log n)$ is closest to which of these:

$$O(n)$$

$$O(n^{1.1})$$

$$O(n\sqrt{n})$$

$$O(n^2)$$

Pause

Lets get some intuition

$O(n \log n)$ is closest to which of these:

$$O(n)$$

$$O(n^{1.1})$$

$$O(n\sqrt{n})$$

$$O(n^2)$$

So we'd expect to get an answer between $\Theta(n \log n)$ and $\Theta(n^{1.1} \log n)$, but closer to $\Theta(n \log n)$

Master Theorem, v2

2	Work to split/recombine a problem is comparable to subproblems.	When $f(n) = \Theta(n^{c_{\text{crit}}} \log^k n)$ for a $k \geq 0$ (rangebound by the critical-exponent polynomial, times zero or more optional logs)	... then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{c_{\text{crit}}} \log^{k+1} n)$ (The bound is the splitting term, where the log is augmented by a single power.)	If $b = a^2$ and $f(n) = \Theta(n^{1/2})$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{1/2} \log n)$. If $b = a^2$ and $f(n) = \Theta(n^{1/2} \log n)$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{1/2} \log^2 n)$.
---	---	---	--	---

$$\Theta(n \log^2 n)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \Theta(n \cdot \log(n) \cdot \log(n))$$

Counting Across the Middle

So sort the array first! As a preprocessing step
Then count the inversions.

What's the problem?

When you sort, the inversions disappear

Ok, sort as part of the process.

Almost there...

```
int CountInversions(A, int start, int stop)
    inversions = 0
    if(start >= stop)
        return 0
    int midpoint = (stop-start)/2 + start
    inversions += CountInversions(A, start, midpoint)
    inversions += CountInversions(A, midpoint+1, end)
    sort(A, midpoint+1, end)
    for(int i=start; i <= midpoint; i++)
        int k = binarySearch(A, midpoint+1, end, i)
        inversions += k-(midpoint+1)+1
    return inversions
```

Just a liiiiiittle better


Sort the left subarray too!

Can that help us?

If i, j is an inversion then $i + 1, j$ and $i + 2, j$, and, ... $\frac{n}{2} - 1, j$ are also inversions.

Don't have to binary search every time, can just "march down" lists.

Sorted example



$(0,5)$ is an inversion. $(1,5)$, $(2,5)$, $(3,5)$, $(4,5)$ are too!

Know everything we need to about index 5.



$(0,6)$ is not an inversion. $(0,7)$..., $(0,9)$ aren't either.

Know everything we need to about index 0.

$(1,6)$ is an inversion $(2,6)$, $(3,6)$, $(4,6)$ are too!

Know everything we need to about index 6. ...

In general



In general:

If (i, j) is an inversion, have $\binom{n}{2} - i$ inversions. Increase j .

If (i, j) is not an inversion, increase i .

Time to iterate?... $\Theta(n)$

Does this...look familiar

Having an arrow to a spot in two arrays, moving whichever is on the smaller value forward...

That's how merge from mergesort works!

If we sort (by mergesort) and count inversions **as we're merging** we save that log factor.

Running time $\Theta(n \log n)$

Final Pseudocode

```
int CountInversions(A, int start, int stop)
    inversions = 0
    if(start >= stop)
        return 0
    int midpoint = (stop-start)/2 + start
    inversions += CountInversions(A, start, midpoint)
    inversions += CountInversions(A, midpoint+1, end)
    inversions += mergeAndCount(A, start, stop)


    return inversions
```

```

int mergeAndCount(A, start, stop)
    int inv = 0
    int[] temp = new int[A.length]
    int left=start; int mid=start+(stop-start)/2; int right=mid+1;
    int curr = start;
    while(left <= mid && right <= stop)
        if(A[left] < A [right])
            temp[curr++] = A[left++];
        else
            temp[curr++] = A[right++];
            inv += (mid - left)+1;
    while(left <= mid)
        temp[curr++] = A[left++];
    while(right <= stop)
        temp[curr++] = A[right++];
        inv += (mid - left)+1; //can skip. mid-left+1 is 0 here.
    for(int i=start; i<=stop; i++) //copy back into A, now sorted
        A[i]=temp[i];
    return inv

```

For an actual implementation, think about how you're using temporary space. There are more efficient options than this one! Though you won't avoid $\Theta(n)$ space.




Maximum Subarray Sum

Another divide and conquer

Maximum subarray sum

Given: an array of integers (positive and negative), find the indices that give the maximum contiguous subarray sum.



Sum: 8