# **CSE 417 Dynamic Programming (pt 3)** More General Sub-problems

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

#### Reminders

#### > HW4 is due on Friday

start last week



# **Dynamic Programming Review**

- > Apply the steps...
  - 1. Describe solution in terms of solution to *any* sub-problems
  - 2. Determine all the sub-problems you'll need to apply this recursively
  - 3. Solve every sub-problem (once only) in an appropriate order
- > Key question:
  - 1. Can you solve the problem by combining solutions from sub-problems?
- > Count sub-problems to determine running time
  - total is number of sub-problems times time per sub-problem



#### Review From Last Time: Consider Last Element of Opt Solution

> **Q**: How does the opt solution use the last element of the input?

- construct a (small) set of solutions from sub-problems that <u>must</u> include the opt

#### > Weighted Interval Scheduling

- opt value = max(opt with last interval, opt without last interval)
- opt value without last interval is opt value on prefix of the data

#### > Max Sub-array Sum

- change the problem to find opt interval ending at A[n-1]
- again, only need opt values on prefixes of the data

#### > Optimal Breakout Trades

- if sell on last day, max of choice where it starts —  $O(n^2)$  worst case

# **Outline for Today**

> Knapsack Problem

- > All-Pairs Shortest Paths with Negative Weights
- > Shortest Paths with Negative Weights
- > Inference with Hidden Markov Models

> Problem: Given objects with weights w<sub>1</sub>, ..., w<sub>n</sub> and values v<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>n</sub> and a weight limit W, find the subset of the items with total weight at most W that maximizes the total value.

W

- any subset  $\{i_1, \dots, ik\}$  such that  $w_{i_1} + \dots + w_{i_k} \le W$
- chosen to maximize  $v_{i_1} + ... + v_{i_k}$

# **Knapsack Example**

- > Consider these items with a weight limit of 11.
- > Optimal value is 40
- > Optimal solution is {3, 4}

#	value	weight
1	1	1
2	6	2
3	18	5
4	22	6
5	28	7

W



- > Similar problems arise frequently in practice
  - can easily handle adding additional restrictions of various types
     see HW6
  - another example of robustness to problem changes



- > Brute force doesn't work: there are 2<sup>n</sup> subsets to try
- > Apply divide and conquer...
- > **Q**: Is the last element in the optimal solution?
  - if no, then opt value is the same as on items 1, ..., n-1
  - if yes, then opt value =  $v_n$  + opt value on 1, ..., n-1





- > Brute force doesn't work: there are 2<sup>n</sup> subsets to try
- > Apply divide and conquer...
- > **Q**: Is the last element in the optimal solution?
  - if no, then opt value is the same as on items 1, ..., n-1
  - if yes, then opt value =  $v_n$  + opt value on 1, ..., n-1

**No!** Could have  $w_n$  + (weight of opt on 1, ..., n-1) > W

- > Brute force doesn't work: there are 2<sup>n</sup> subsets to try
- > Apply divide and conquer...
- > **Q**: Is the last element in the optimal solution?
  - if no, then opt value is the same as on items 1, ..., n-1
  - if yes, then opt value =  $v_n$  + (opt value on 1, ..., n-1 with weight limit of W  $w_n$ )





- > Brute force doesn't work: there are 2<sup>n</sup> subsets to try
- > Apply divide and conquer...
- > **Q**: Is the last element in the optimal solution?
  - if no, then opt value is the same as on items 1, ..., n-1
  - if yes, then opt value =  $v_n$  + (opt value on 1, ..., n-1 with weight limit of W  $w_n$ )

opt solution on 1, ..., n must be optimal on 1, ..., n-1 with weight limit W - w<sub>n</sub>

> Apply dynamic programming...

- 1. Can find opt value for 1, ..., n and limit W using only
  - (a) opt value for 1, ..., n-1 and limit W and
  - (b) opt value for 1, ..., n-1 and limit W  $w_n$
- 2. Need opt values sub-problems on 1, ..., j-1 and limit V with  $j \le n$  and  $V \le W$
- 3. Solve each of these starting with V=0 or j=1
  - > opt value for 1, ..., j and limit 0 = 0
  - > opt value for 1 and limit V =  $v_1$  if  $w_1 \le V$  and 0 otherwise
  - > opt value for 1, ..., j and limit V =
    - max(opt value for 1, ..., j-1 and limit V,
      - $v_j$  + (opt value for 1, ..., j-1 and limit V  $w_j$ ) if  $w_j \le V$ )

#	value	weight			
1	1	1			
2	6	2			
3	18	5			
4	22	6			
5	28	7			

W

#### **Knapsack Example**

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	{1}	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
n + 1	{ 1, 2 }	0	1	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	{ 1, 2, 3 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	19	24	25	25	25	25
	{ 1, 2, 3, 4 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	24	28	29	29	40
ļ	{1,2,3,4,5}	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	28	29	34	34	40

W + 1

- > Start by filling in first column and first row
  - w<sub>1</sub> = 1, so we get v<sub>1</sub> for any W > 0

#	value	weight			
1	1	1			
2	6	2			
3	18	5			
4	22	6			
5	28	7			

#### **Knapsack Example**

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	1 1	1
	7			1
	/	7	7 7	7
{1, 2, 3} 0 1 6 7 7 18 19 24 25	25	25	25 25	25
{1,2,3,4} 0 1 6 7 7 18 22 24 28	29	28	29 29	40
{1,2,3,4,5} 0 1 6 7 7 18 22 28 29	34	29	34 34	40

W + 1

> For {1, 2, 3} and 5: max of spot above (skipping 3) and 18 + spot for {1, 2} and 0

#	value	weight			
1	1	1			
2	6	2			
3	18	5			
4	22	6			
5	28	7			

#### **Knapsack Example**

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	{1}	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	{ 1, 2 }	0	1	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	{ 1, 2, 3 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	19	24	25	25	25	25
	{ 1, 2, 3, 4 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	24	28	29	29	40
	{1,2,3,4,5}	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	28	29	34	34	40
	> For {1, 2, 3, 4	4} an	d 11	: ma>			abov spot			<u> </u>			

W + 1

#	value	weight			
1	1	1			
2	6	2			
3	18	5			
4	22	6			
5	28	7			

W

#### **Knapsack Example**

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	{1}	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
 n + 1	{ 1, 2 }	0	1	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	{ 1, 2, 3 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	19	24	25	25	25	25
	{ 1, 2, 3, 4 }	0	1	6	7	7	<u>18</u>	22	24	28	29	29	40
Ļ	{1,2,3,4,5}	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	28	29	34	34	40

W + 1

For {1, 2, 3, 4, 5} and 11: max of spot above (skipping 5) and 28 + spot for {1, 2, 3, 4} and 4

#	value	weight			
1	1	1			
2	6	2			
3	18	5			
4	22	6			
5	28	7			

#### **Knapsack Example**

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	{1}	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
 n + 1	{ 1, 2 }	0	1	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	{ 1, 2, 3 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	19	24	25	25	25	25
	{ 1, 2, 3, 4 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	24	28	29	29	40
Ļ	{1,2,3,4,5}	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	28	29	34	34	40
2	> Recovers the	e opt	imal	valu	e of 4	40							

W + 1

- > One sub-problem for each prefix and weight limit, so nW total
- > Running time is O(nW) since O(1) per table entry
- > This is not efficient if W is large...
  - theory wants time polynomial in lg W (the number of bits used to store W)
  - this algorithm "pseudo-polynomial"
    - > (polynomial if the numbers are written in unary, not binary)
  - still extremely useful in practice...





- > Running time is O(nW)
- > This is not efficient if W is large
  - should not actually expect an efficient algorithm because...
- > Knapsack is an NP-complete problem
  - we do not believe any such problem has an efficient algorithm
  - that said, this is an "easy" NP-complete problem
    - > our algorithm solves it when W is small
    - > and you can efficiently approximate the solution for large W
  - more later...



# **Outline for Today**

- > Knapsack Problem
- > All-Pairs Shortest Paths with Negative Weights



- > Shortest Paths with Negative Weights
- > Inference with Hidden Markov Models

- > **Problem**: Given a weighted graph G on nodes 1 .. n, compute the lengths of the shortest paths between *all pairs* of nodes.
  - $\Theta(n^2)$  outputs
  - edge weights are allowed to be **negative**
  - BUT there can be no cycles with negative total weight
- > We will see reasons to allow negative weight edges in the future...
- > Here, we will discuss the Floyd-Warshall algorithm
  - names suggest some non-obvious ideas



- > Usual approach will work for this problem...
  - i.e., consider the sub-problem with a node or edge removed
- > However, result is nicest with a different choice of sub-problems
- > Sub-problems will use the whole graph G but will return lengths of shortest paths that only use 1 .. k as *intermediate nodes*.

- > Sub-problems will use the whole graph G but will return shortest paths that only use 1 .. k as *intermediate nodes* on the paths.
- > When k = 0, no intermediate nodes are allowed...
  - only path from u to v is the edge (u,v) if it exists
- > When k > 0, we can use the solution with 1 .. k-1 allowed...
  - **Q**: does the shortest path from u to v go through k?
  - If not, then shortest path is the same as with 1 .. k-1 allowed
  - If yes, then the shortest path looks like u ~> k ~> v...

- > Sub-problems will use the whole graph G but will return shortest paths that only use 1 .. k as *intermediate nodes* on the paths.
- > When k > 0, we can use the solution with 1 .. k-1 allowed
  - **Q**: does the shortest path from u to v go through k?
  - If not, then shortest path is the same as with 1 .. k-1 allowed
  - If yes, then the shortest path looks like u ~> k ~> v,
     where both the u ~> k part and the k ~> v part <u>do not go through k</u>
    - > (a cycle could only increase the length since no negative cycles)
    - > we already know the shortest u ~> k and k ~> v paths

- > Sub-problems will use the whole graph G but will return shortest paths that only use 1 .. k as *intermediate nodes* on the paths.
- > When k = 0, no intermediate nodes are allowed
  - only path from u to v is the edge (u,v) if it exists
- > When k > 0, we can use the solution with 1 .. k-1 allowed
  - shortest path from u to v with 1 .. k allowed = min( shortest path from u to v with 1 .. k-1 allowed, shortest path from u to k with 1 .. k-1 allowed + shortest path from k to v with 1 .. k-1 allowed)



float[][] dist = /\* new n x n table \*/

```
for (int u = 0; u < n; u++)
for (int v = 0; v < n; v++)
    dist[u][v] = (u == v) 0 : length[u][v]; // infinity if no edge</pre>
```

- > Total running time is O(n<sup>3</sup>)
- > Using n calls to Dikjstra (with a binary heap) is O(n m log n)
  - slower if  $m = O(n^2)$
  - dynamic programming is saving *repeated work* across the n calls
- > Can also compute with Strassen's algorithm
  - (i.e., fast matrix multiplication)
  - reduces the running time below O(n<sup>3</sup>)
  - BUT only with some assumptions on the edge weights

# **Outline for Today**

- > Knapsack Problem
- > All-Pairs Shortest Paths with Negative Weights

- > Shortest Paths with Negative Weights
- > Inference with Hidden Markov Models

- > **Problem**: Given a weighted graph G on nodes 1 .. n and a node s, compute the length of the shortest paths from s to other nodes.
  - edge weights are now allowed to be **negative**
  - BUT there can be no cycles with negative total weight
  - just asking for length of shortest path, but can get path itself in usual way
- > We will discuss the Bellman-Ford algorithm
  - Bellman invented dynamic programming with this algorithm
  - again, names also suggest some non-obvious ideas



- > Usual approach will not work for this problem...
  - (i.e., considering the sub-problem with a node or edge removed)
    - > (exercise: try it and see what goes wrong here that didn't with all-pairs paths)
  - need to think of a new type of sub-problem to use
- > Sub-problems will be the whole graph G but will return lengths of shortest paths having at most k edges.
  - any edges can be used, but the paths can have at most k of them
  - shortest paths cannot have more than n-1 edges
    - > otherwise, we would have a cycle
    - > all cycles have non-negative cost

- > Sub-problems will be the whole graph G but will return lengths of shortest paths having at most k edges.
- > When k = 0, there is no path to v unless v = s
  - so shortest path is infinite
- > When k > 0, we can use the solution with at most k-1 edges
  - Q: does the shortest path use k edges?
  - If not, then shortest path is the same as with at most k-1 edges
  - − If yes, then shortest path is s  $\sim$ > u → v, where (u,v) is an edge > s  $\sim$ > has k-1 edges

- > Sub-problems will be the whole graph G but will return lengths of shortest paths having at most k edges.
- > When k = 0, there is no path to v unless v = s
  - so shortest path is infinite
- > When k > 0, we can use the solution with at most k-1 edges
  - shortest path to v with at most k edges = min( shortest path to v with at most k-1 edges, min (shortest path to u with at most k-1 edges + length of (u,v)) over all edges (u,v))



> Naive implementation:



> Better implementation:

for k = 1 .. n-1 length<sub>k</sub> = length<sub>k-1</sub> for each (u,v) in E length<sub>k</sub>[v] = min(length<sub>k</sub>[v], length<sub>k-1</sub>[u] + edgeLength[u][v])

O(nm) time



- > Only need to remember the  $length_{k-1}$  instead of whole table
- > Other ways to improve the practical performance
  - see the textbook
  - worst case is still O(nm)
- > Alternative solution: compute shortest path with exactly k edges
  - take minimum over all k at the end
  - that would allow you to find the min average edge cost instead



# **Outline for Today**

- > Knapsack Problem
- > All-Pairs Shortest Paths with Negative Weights
- > Shortest Paths with Negative Weights
- > Inference with Hidden Markov Models



- > **Definition**: a Markov chain is a model of a random process that starts a random state  $x_1$  and transitions randomly between states  $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow ...$  according to fixed probabilities.
  - each x<sub>i</sub> is in a fixed "state set", 1 .. n
  - probabilities  $p_{ij}$  of each transition,  $x_i \rightarrow x_j$ , depend only on  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ > i.e. it doesn't matter what states came before x ("Markov property")

> i.e., it doesn't matter what states came before x<sub>i</sub> ("Markov property")

- > Problem: given a Markov chain with states 1 .. n, probabilities q<sub>ij</sub> of outputting j when in state i, and specific outputs y<sub>1</sub>, ..., y<sub>m</sub>, find the sequence of states x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>m</sub> that best explains the output.
  - − i.e., maximize the probability that the chain goes through  $x_1 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow x_m$  times the probability of those states producing those outputs
  - i.e.,  $p_{x_1}(p_{x_1x_2}\cdots p_{x_{m-1}x_m})(q_{x_1y_1}\cdots q_{x_my_m})$



- > Example: stock market trends (adapted from "Spoken Language Processing", Ch. 8)
  - Markov chain has states for up, down, & sideways trends > cannot go from up to down or vice versa
  - Outputs are price changes
- > Find the best explanation for [-1%, -1%, -1%, +10%] up
  - best fits down

must have sideways between...

	up	side	down
up	0.50	0.25	
side	0.50	0.50	0.50
down		0.25	0.50

	up	side	down
+10%	0.33		
+1%	0.33	0.50	0.10
-1%	0.33	0.50	0.70
-5%			0.20



#### > Many applications including...

- telecommunications
  - > used by cellular networks (Viterbi founded Qualcomm)
- speech recognition (many)
  - > e.g. (vastly simplified), determine intended sounds from actual sounds
    - includes not just similar sounds but likelihood they would appear next to each other
    - (outputs in frequency-domain... use FFT to compute them)
- natural language processing
  - > parsing
- computational biology



- > To compute states that are most likely given the outputs, apply dynamic programming...
- > Start with the last output...
- > For each ending state, want to determine the maximum probability over all sequences of states ending in that state
  - return the state with the largest probability as the last state of the solution

- > For each state, want to determine the maximum probability over all sequences of states ending in that state.
- > For each choice of  $x_m$ , find the maximum value of
  - $p_{x_1}(p_{x_1x_2}\cdots p_{x_{m-1}x_m})(q_{x_1y_1}\cdots q_{x_my_m})$  over choices of x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>m-1</sub>
    - this =  $p_{x_1}(p_{x_1x_2}\cdots p_{x_{m-2}x_{m-1}})(q_{x_1y_1}\cdots q_{x_{m-1}y_{m-1}})p_{x_{m-1}x_m}q_{x_my_m}$
    - if we fix  $x_{m-1}$ , then maximizing the first part is the same problem applied to just  $y_1, ..., y_{m-1}$
    - if we had the solutions for that, then we could compute this by taking the maximum over each choice of  $x_{m-1}$

> Sub-problem for each prefix  $y_1, ..., y_k$  of the outputs

- > max over  $x_1, ..., x_{k-1}$  of  $p_{x_1}(p_{x_1x_2}\cdots p_{x_{k-1}x_k})(q_{x_1y_1}\cdots q_{x_ky_k})$ = max over  $x_{k-1}$  of  $p_{x_{k-1}x_k}q_{x_ky_k} x$ (max over  $x_1, ..., x_{k-2}$  of  $p_{x_1}(p_{x_1x_2}\cdots p_{x_{k-2}x_{k-1}})(q_{x_1y_1}\cdots q_{x_{k-1}y_{k-1}}))$
- > Fill in solutions for k = 1 directly from formulas
- > Fill in k = 2 ... m using the equation above
- > Total running time is O(n<sup>2</sup>m) (... from double loop on states)

- > This problem assumed we were given the Markov chain only the states it went through were unknown
- > You can also find the Markov model that best fits the data
- > Like coordinate descent, usual approach is an iterative algorithm
- > Each iteration requires two steps, one of which is another dynamic programming algorithm

