# CSE 4I7: Review 

Larry Ruzzo

## Complexity, I

Asymptotic Analysis
Best/average/worst cases
Upper/Lower Bounds
Big O, Theta, Omega
definitions; intuition
Analysis methods
loops
recurrence relations
common data structures, subroutines

## Graph Algorithms

Graphs
Representation (edge list/adjacency matrix)
Breadth/depth first search
Connected components
Shortest paths/bipartitness/2-Colorability
DAGS and topological ordering
DFS/articulation points/biconnected components

## Design Paradigms

Greedy
emphasis on correctness arguments, e.g. stay ahead, structural characterizations, exchange arguments
Divide \& Conquer
recursive solution, superlinear work, balanced subproblems, recurrence relations, solutions, Master Theorem
Later:
Dynamic Programming

## Examples

## Greedy

Interval Scheduling Problems (3)
Huffman Codes
Examples where greedy fails (stamps/change, scheduling, knap, RNA,...)

## Examples

## Divide \& Conquer

Merge sort

## Closest pair of points

Integer multiplication (Karatsuba)
Matrix multiplication (Strassen - see HW)
Powering

## Some Typical Exam Questions

Give O() bound on $17 \mathrm{n} *(\mathrm{n}-3+\operatorname{logn})$
Give $O$ () bound on some code \{for $i=1$ to $n$ \{for $j \ldots\}$
True/False: If $X$ is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, then it's rarely more than $n^{3}+14$ steps.
Explain why a given greedy alg is/isn't correct
Give a run time recurrence for a recursive alg, or solve a simple one
Simulate any of the algs we've studied on given input

## Midterm Friday, 5/9/2014

Closed book, no notes
(no bluebook needed; scratch paper may be handy; calculators unnecessary)

All up through "Divide \& Conquer"
assigned reading up through Ch 5;
slides
homework \& solutions

## Final Review

## Final Exam Coverage

Comprehensive, all topics covered (but with post-midterm bias)
assigned reading
slides
homework \& solutions
midterm review slides still relevant, plus those below

## Design Paradigms

Greedy
emphasis on correctness arguments, e.g. stay ahead, structural characterizations, exchange arguments
Divide \& Conquer
recursive solution, superlinear work, balanced subproblems, recurrence relations, solutions, Master Theorem

Dynamic Programming
recursive solution, redundant subproblems, few do all in careful order and tabulate; OPT table (usually far superior to "memoization")

## Examples

## Dynamic programming

Fibonacci
Making change/Stamps
Weighted Interval Scheduling RNA

Knapsack


## Complexity, II

P vs NP
Big-O and poly vs exponential growth
Definition of NP - hints/certificates and verifiers
Example problems from slides, reading \& hw
SAT, VertexCover, quadratic Diophantine equations, clique, independent set, TSP, Hamilton cycle, coloring, max cut, ...
$P \subseteq N P \subseteq \operatorname{Exp}$ (and worse)
Definition of (polynomial time) reduction
SAT $\leq_{p}$ Independent Set example $]$ how, why correct,
SAT $\leq_{p}$ Knapsack example $\quad$ why $\leq_{p}$, implications
Definition of NP-completeness
2x approximation to Euclidean TSP

## Classic Nintendo Games are (NP-)Hard

Greg Aloupis*
Alan Guo ${ }^{\dagger \ddagger}$
March 9, 2012
Abstract
We prove NP-hardness results for five of Nintendo's largest video game franchises: Mario, Donkey Kong, Legend of Zelda, Metroid, and Pokémon. Our results apply to Super Mario Bros. 1, 3, Lost Levels, and Super Mario World; Donkey Kong Country 13; all Legend of Zelda games except Zelda II: The Adventure of Link; all Metroid games; and all Pokémon role-playing games. For Mario and Donkey Kong, we show NP-completeness. In addition, we observe that several games in the Zelda series are PSPACE-complete.

## Final Exam Mechanics

Closed book, 1 pg notes ( $8.5 \times 11$, 2 sides, handwritten)
(no bluebook needed; scratch paper may be handy; calculators probably unnecessary)

## Some Typical Exam Questions

Give $\mathrm{O}($ ) bound on $17 \mathrm{n} *(\mathrm{n}-3+\operatorname{logn})$
Give O() bound on some code $\{$ for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n \{for $\mathrm{j} . .\}$.
True/False: If $X$ is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, then it's rarely more than $n^{3}+14$ steps.
Explain why a given greedy alg is/isn't correct
Give a run time recurrence for a recursive alg, or solve a simple one
Convert a simple recursive alg to a dynamic programming solution
Simulate any of the algs we've studied
Give an alg for problem X , maybe a variant of one we've studied, or prove it's in NP
Understand parts of correctness proof for an algorithm or reduction Implications of NP-completeness


## Good Luck!

