# Dynamic Programming Intro

Imran Rashid

University of Washington

February 15, 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

# Dynamic Programming

#### Outline:

- General Principles
- Easy Examples Fibonacci, Licking Stamps

- Meatier examples
  - RNA Structure prediction
  - Weighted interval scheduling
  - Maybe others

### Some Algorithm Design Techniques, I

#### General overall idea

- Reduce solving a problem to a smaller problem or problems of the same type
- Greedy algorithms
  - Used when one needs to build something a piece at a time
  - Repeatedly make the <u>greedy</u> choice the one that looks the best right away

- e.g. closest pair in TSP search
- Usually fast if they work (but often don't)

### Some Algorithm Design Techniques, II

#### Divide & Conquer

- Reduce problem to one or more sub-problems of the same type
- Typically, each sub-problem is at most a constant fraction of the size of the original problem
  - e.g. Mergesort, Binary Search, Strassen's Algorithm, Quicksort (kind of)

### Some Algorithm Design Techniques, III

- Dynamic Programming
  - Give a solution of a problem using smaller sub-problems, e.g. a recursive solution
  - Useful when the same sub-problems show up again and again in the solution

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Dynamic Programming History

- Bellman. Pioneered the systematic study of dynamic programming in the 1950s.
- Etymology.
  - Dynamic programming = planning over time.
  - Secretary of Defense was hostile to mathematical research.
  - Bellman sought an impressive name to avoid confrontation.
    - "it's impossible to use dynamic in a pejorative sense"
    - "something not even a Congressman could object to"

### Simple case:Computing Fibonacci Numbers

• Recall 
$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
 and  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$ 

Recursive algorithm:

```
procedure FIBO(n)

if n = 0 then

return 0

else if n = 1 then

return 1

else

return Fibo(n - 1) + Fibo(n - 2)

end if

end procedure
```

### Recursive Call Tree



9

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 ・ の Q @

### Recursive Call Tree



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国 ト 一 国 - の Q ()・

### **Recursive Call Tree**



Very slow, because of many repeated calculations!

白 とうかい かいしょう

≣ ୬९୯

# Memo-ization (Caching)

- Remember all values from previous recursive calls
- Before recursive call, test to see if value has already been computed
- Dynamic Programming
  - could be memoized
  - or, convert recursion to iteration (top-down → bottom-up)

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Fibonacci - Memoized Version

```
Initialize F[i] undefined for all i

F[0] \leftarrow 0

F[1] \leftarrow 1

procedure FIBOMEM(n)

if F[n] undefined then

F[n] \leftarrow FiboMem(n-1) + FiboMem(n-2)

end if

return F[n]

end procedure
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ → □ ◇ ◇ ◇

### Fibonacci - Dynamic Programming Version

```
procedure FIBODP(n)

F[0] \leftarrow 0

F[1] \leftarrow 1

for i = 2 to n do

F[i] \leftarrow F[i-1] + F[i-2]

end for

return F[n]

end procedure
```

for this problem, actually only need to keep last two entries, not full array ... but not a big difference

# Dynamic Programming

#### Useful when

- Same recursive sub-problems occur repeatedly
- Parameters of these recursive calls anticipated
- The solution to whole problem can be solved without knowing the <u>internal</u> details of how the sub-problems are solved

▲ロト ▲母 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト → 目 → のへの

"principle of optimality"

# Making change

#### Given:

- Large supply of 1¢, 5¢, 10¢, 25¢, 50¢ coins
- An amount N
- Problem: choose fewest coins totaling N
- Cashier's (greedy) algorithm works:
  - Give as many as possible of the next biggest denomination



# Licking Stamps

#### Given:

- Large supply of 5¢, 4¢, and 1¢ stamps
- An amount N
- Problem: choose fewest stamps totaling N



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ → □ ◇ ◇ ◇

### How to Lick $27 \ensuremath{\wp}$

# of 5 $c$	<b>#4</b> ¢	#1¢	total #		
stamps	stamps	stamps	stamps		
5	0	2	7		
4	1	3	8		
3	3	0	6		

Greed doesn't pay this time ...

### A Simple Algorithm

At most *N* stamps needed, etc.

for 
$$a = 0, ..., N$$
 do  
for  $b = 0, ..., N$  do  
for  $c = 0, ..., N$  do  
if  $5a+4b+c == N\&\&a+b+c$  is new min then  
retain (a,b,c)  
end if  
end for  
end for  
end for

Time: O(N<sup>3</sup>) (Not too hard to see some optimizations, but we're after bigger fish...)

### The Magic Genie

- a useful way to think about dynamic programming (for me ...)
  - You can ask a magic genie as many thing as you want ...
  - ... but its power falls just short of your question. (Eg., it can onlyfigure how many stamps to use for up to 26¢)
  - Can you still use the genie to get a solution?



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ → □ ◇ ◇ ◇

#### Better Idea

- <u>Theorem</u>: If last stamp in an opt sol has value v, then previous stamps are opt sol for N v.
- Proof: if not, we could improve the solution for N by using opt for N v.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

for 
$$i = 1$$
 to  $n$  do  

$$M(i) = min \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i = 0 \\ 1 + M(i - 5) & i \ge 5 \\ 1 + M(i - 4) & i \ge 4 \\ 1 + M(i - 1) & i \ge 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
end for

### New Idea: Recursion

$$M(i) = \min \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i = 0 \\ 1 + M(i - 5) & i \ge 5 \\ 1 + M(i - 4) & i \ge 4 \\ 1 + M(i - 1) & i \ge 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$27$$

$$22$$

$$23$$

$$27$$

$$17$$

$$18$$

$$21$$

$$18$$

$$19$$

$$22$$

$$21$$

$$22$$

$$25$$

$$32$$

$$32$$

Time:  $O(3^n)$ 

### Another New Idea: Avoid Recomputation

### Tabulate values of solved subproblems

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Top-down: "memoization"
- Bottom up:

for  $i=0,\,\ldots,\,N$  do ;

■ Time: O(N)

### Finding How Many Stamps

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
M(i)	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	2						

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

# Finding How Many Stamps

$$M[8] = 1 + min(M[7], M[4], M[3])$$
  
= 1 + min(3, 1, 3)  
$$M[8] = 2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

### Finding Which Stamps: Trace-Back

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
M(i)	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	2						

### Finding Which Stamps: Trace-Back

#### O ۱<u>3</u>. 3 1 M(i) ÷.,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

### Trace-Back

Way 1: tabulate all

add data structure storing back-pointers

Way 2: re-compute just what's needed

```
procedure TRACEBACK(i)
   if i = 0 then return
   end if
   for d \in \{1, 4, 5\} do
      if M[i] == 1 + M[i - d] then break
      end if
   end for
   print d
   Traceback(i - d)
end procedure
```

# Complexity Note

- O(N) is better than  $O(N^3)$  or  $O(3^{N/5})$
- But still <u>exponential</u> in input size (log N bits) (E.g., miserable if N is 64 bits - c2<sup>64</sup> steps & 2<sup>64</sup> memory.)

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Elements of Dynamic Programming

- What feature did we use?
- What should we look for to use again?
- Optimal Substructure

Optimal solution contains optimal subproblems

- A non-example: min (number of stamps mod 2)
- Repeated Subproblems The same subproblems arise in various ways