




# Video 1

*Recap Neural  
Networks*

# Deep Learning

A lot of the buzz about ML recently has come from recent advancements in **deep learning**.

When people talk about “deep learning” they are generally talking about a class of models called **neural networks** that are a loose approximation of how our brains work.




# Poll Everywhere

Think 

2 mins

Compute the output for input (0, 1). There is a sign activation function on the hidden layers and output layer.




$$v_1 = \text{sign}(2 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 1) = \text{sign}(5) = 1$$

$$v_2 = \text{sign}(1 + 1 \cdot 0 - 2 \cdot 1) = \text{sign}(-1) = 0$$

$$y = \text{sign}(-1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 0) = \text{sign}(1) = 1$$

# NNs to the Rescue

Neural Networks implicitly find these low level features for us!




[Zeiler & Fergus '13]

Each layer learns more and more complex features


# Hyperparameter Optimization

How do we choose hyperparameters to train and evaluate?


Grid search:



Random search:



Bayesian Optimization:



# Video 2

*Convolutions*

# Image Challenges

Images are extremely high dimensional

CIFAR-10 dataset are very small: 3@32x32

- # inputs:  $3 \cdot 32 \cdot 32 = 3072$  input neurons

Hidden Layer of Size 4:

$$3072 \cdot 4 + 4 = 12,291 \text{ parameters}$$

For moderate sized images: 3@200x200

- # inputs:

$$3 \cdot 200 \cdot 200 = 120,000$$

Images are structured, we should leverage this






# Convolutional Neural Networks

**Idea:** Reduce the number of weights that need to be learned by looking at local neighborhoods of image.

Use the idea of a **convolution** to reduce the number of inputs by combing information about local pixels.



# Convolution

Use a **kernel** that slides across the image, computing the sum of the element-wise product between the kernel and the overlapping part of the image

$$3 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 =$$

Image

|                |                |                |   |   |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|
| 3 <sub>0</sub> | 3 <sub>1</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 1 | 0 |
| 0 <sub>2</sub> | 0 <sub>2</sub> | 1 <sub>0</sub> | 3 | 1 |
| 3 <sub>0</sub> | 1 <sub>1</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 2 | 3 |
| 2              | 0              | 0              | 2 | 2 |
| 2              | 0              | 0              | 0 | 1 |

Kernel

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 |

Output

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Convolution

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|       |       |       |   |   |
|-------|-------|-------|---|---|
| $3_0$ | $3_1$ | $2_2$ | 1 | 0 |
| $0_2$ | $0_2$ | $1_0$ | 3 | 1 |
| $3_0$ | $1_1$ | $2_2$ | 2 | 3 |
| 2     | 0     | 0     | 2 | 2 |
| 2     | 0     | 0     | 0 | 1 |

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 17 | 19 |
| 9  | 6  | 14 |




# Convolution

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|   |       |       |       |   |
|---|-------|-------|-------|---|
| 3 | $3_0$ | $2_1$ | $1_2$ | 0 |
| 0 | $0_2$ | $1_2$ | $3_0$ | 1 |
| 3 | $1_0$ | $2_1$ | $2_2$ | 3 |
| 2 | 0     | 0     | 2     | 2 |
| 2 | 0     | 0     | 0     | 1 |

|    |           |    |
|----|-----------|----|
| 12 | <u>12</u> | 17 |
| 10 | 17        | 19 |
| 9  | 6         | 14 |



# Convolution

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|   |   |                |                |                |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3 | 3 | 2 <sub>0</sub> | 1 <sub>1</sub> | 0 <sub>2</sub> |
| 0 | 0 | 1 <sub>2</sub> | 3 <sub>2</sub> | 1 <sub>0</sub> |
| 3 | 1 | 2 <sub>0</sub> | 2 <sub>1</sub> | 3 <sub>2</sub> |
| 2 | 0 | 0              | 2              | 2              |
| 2 | 0 | 0              | 0              | 1              |

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 17 | 19 |
| 9  | 6  | 14 |

# Convolution

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|       |       |       |   |   |
|-------|-------|-------|---|---|
| 3     | 3     | 2     | 1 | 0 |
| $0_0$ | $0_1$ | $1_2$ | 3 | 1 |
| $3_2$ | $1_2$ | $2_0$ | 2 | 3 |
| $2_0$ | $0_1$ | $0_2$ | 2 | 2 |
| 2     | 0     | 0     | 0 | 1 |

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 17 | 19 |
| 9  | 6  | 14 |




# Convolution

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|   |                |                |                |   |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| 3 | 3              | 2              | 1              | 0 |
| 0 | 0 <sub>0</sub> | 1 <sub>1</sub> | 3 <sub>2</sub> | 1 |
| 3 | 1 <sub>2</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 2 <sub>0</sub> | 3 |
| 2 | 0 <sub>0</sub> | 0 <sub>1</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 2 |
| 2 | 0              | 0              | 0              | 1 |

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 17 | 19 |
| 9  | 6  | 14 |



# Convolution

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|   |   |                |                |                |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3 | 3 | 2              | 1              | 0              |
| 0 | 0 | 1 <sub>0</sub> | 3 <sub>1</sub> | 1 <sub>2</sub> |
| 3 | 1 | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 3 <sub>0</sub> |
| 2 | 0 | 0 <sub>0</sub> | 2 <sub>1</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> |
| 2 | 0 | 0              | 0              | 1              |

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 17 | 19 |
| 9  | 6  | 14 |







# Convolution

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|   |                |                |                |   |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| 3 | 3              | 2              | 1              | 0 |
| 0 | 0              | 1              | 3              | 1 |
| 3 | 1 <sub>0</sub> | 2 <sub>1</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 3 |
| 2 | 0 <sub>2</sub> | 0 <sub>2</sub> | 2 <sub>0</sub> | 2 |
| 2 | 0 <sub>0</sub> | 0 <sub>1</sub> | 0 <sub>2</sub> | 1 |

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 17 | 19 |
| 9  | 6  | 14 |



# Convolution

## End of one convolution with a particular kernel

The input image (blue), the kernel (dark blue, numbers lower right) slide over the image to produce a result (green)

|   |   |                |                |                |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3 | 3 | 2              | 1              | 0              |
| 0 | 0 | 1              | 3              | 1              |
| 3 | 1 | 2 <sub>0</sub> | 2 <sub>1</sub> | 3 <sub>2</sub> |
| 2 | 0 | 0 <sub>2</sub> | 2 <sub>2</sub> | 2 <sub>0</sub> |
| 2 | 0 | 0 <sub>0</sub> | 0 <sub>1</sub> | 1 <sub>2</sub> |

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 12 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 17 | 19 |
| 9  | 6  | 14 |



# Special Kernels


The numbers in the kernels determine special properties

Maintains same image



Identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$




Edge Detection

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$




Sharpen

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Box Blur

$$\frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) learn the right weights for each kernel they use! Generally not interpretable!

# Hyper-parameters of a Single Convolution

You can specify a few more things about a kernel

Kernel dimensions

*5x5, 3x3, 10x10*

Padding size and padding values

Stride (how far to jump) values

*→ typically 0*

For example, a 3x3 kernel applied to a 5x5 image with 1x1 zero padding and a 2x2 stride

|                |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 <sub>2</sub> | 0 <sub>0</sub> | 0 <sub>1</sub> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 <sub>1</sub> | 2 <sub>0</sub> | 2 <sub>0</sub> | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 <sub>0</sub> | 0 <sub>1</sub> | 1 <sub>1</sub> | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0              | 2              | 3              | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0              | 3              | 3              | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0              | 3              | 3              | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0              | 0              | 0              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|   |    |   |
|---|----|---|
| 1 | 6  | 5 |
| 7 | 10 | 9 |
| 7 | 10 | 8 |



- Nobody
- Google Colab:




HW4  
Walkthrough

# Deep Learning

A lot of the buzz about ML recently has come from recent advancements in **deep learning**.


When people talk about “deep learning” they are generally talking about a class of models called **neural networks** that are a loose approximation of how our brains work.





# Image Features


Features in computer vision are local detectors  
Combine features to make prediction



In reality, these features are much more low level (e.g. Corner?)

# The Past


A popular approach to computer vision was to make hand-crafted features for object detection



Relies on coming up with these features by hand (yuck!)

# NNs to the Rescue

Neural Networks implicitly find these low level features for us!



|                                  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Example detectors learned        |  |  |  |
| Example interest points detected |  |  |  |


[Zeiler & Fergus '13]

Each layer learns more and more complex features

# Convolutional Neural Networks

**Idea:** Reduce the number of weights that need to be learned by looking at local neighborhoods of image.

Use the idea of a **convolution** to reduce the number of inputs by combing information about local pixels.



# Hyper-parameters of a Single Convolution


You can specify a few more things about a kernel

Kernel dimensions

Padding size and padding values

Stride (how far to jump) values

For example, a 3x3 kernel applied to a 5x5 image with 1x1 zero padding and a 2x2 stride



# slido

Group 

3 min

What is the result of applying a convolution using this kernel on this input image?

Use 1x1 zero padding and a 2x2 stride

Image

|   |    |    |    |    |
|---|----|----|----|----|
| 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 0 | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  |
| 0 | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  |
| 0 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |

Kernel

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 |

Result

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| 2  | 6  | 0  |
| 23 | 35 | 8  |
| 13 | 29 | 16 |

# Convolutional Neural Networks

# Pooling


Another core operation that is similar to a convolution is a **pool**.

Idea is to down sample an image using some operation

Combine local pixels using some operation (e.g. max, min, average, median, etc.)

Typical to use **max pool** with 2x2 filter and stride 2

Tends to work better than average pool





# Convolutional Neural Network


Combine convolutions and pools into pre-processing layers on image to learn a smaller, information dense representation.

Example architecture for hand-written digit recognition

Each convolution section uses many different kernels (increasing depth of channels)

Pooling layers downsample each channel separately

Usually ends with fully connected neural network




# Convolutional Neural Network

Why does this help?

Only need to learn a small number of values (kernel weights) that get applied to the entire image region by region

- This is called weight-sharing
- Gives efficiency + shift invariance

Pooling lets us focus on features from larger and larger regions of the original image.



# slido

Group 


 min

**Input:** 10x10x1 image (grayscale image of 10x10 pixels)

**Convolution:** 5x5 kernel, stride 1

**MaxPool:** 2x2, stride 2

What is the size of the resulting image?





Input: 10x10x1 image (grayscale image of 10x10 pixels)

Convolution: 5x5 kernel, stride 1

MaxPool: 2x2, stride 2

What is the size of the resulting image?




3x3

6x6



## *Brain Break*




Number of  
Weights /  
Parameters

# CNN with Color Images

How does this work if there is more than one input channel?

Usually, use a 3-dimensional **tensor** as the kernel to combine information from each input channel



|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | ... |
| 0   | 156 | 155 | 196 | 198 | 198 | ... |
| 0   | 153 | 154 | 157 | 159 | 159 | ... |
| 0   | 149 | 151 | 155 | 158 | 159 | ... |
| 0   | 146 | 146 | 149 | 153 | 158 | ... |
| 0   | 145 | 143 | 143 | 148 | 158 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Input Channel #1 (Red)

|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | ... |
| 0   | 167 | 166 | 167 | 169 | 169 | ... |
| 0   | 164 | 165 | 168 | 170 | 170 | ... |
| 0   | 160 | 162 | 166 | 169 | 170 | ... |
| 0   | 156 | 156 | 159 | 163 | 168 | ... |
| 0   | 155 | 153 | 153 | 158 | 168 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Input Channel #2 (Green)

|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | ... |
| 0   | 163 | 162 | 163 | 165 | 165 | ... |
| 0   | 160 | 161 | 164 | 166 | 166 | ... |
| 0   | 156 | 158 | 162 | 165 | 166 | ... |
| 0   | 155 | 155 | 158 | 162 | 167 | ... |
| 0   | 154 | 152 | 152 | 157 | 167 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Input Channel #3 (Blue)

|    |    |    |
|----|----|----|
| -1 | -1 | 1  |
| 0  | 1  | -1 |
| 0  | 1  | 1  |

Kernel Channel #1

|   |    |    |
|---|----|----|
| 1 | 0  | 0  |
| 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 0  | -1 |

Kernel Channel #2

|   |    |   |
|---|----|---|
| 0 | 1  | 1 |
| 0 | 1  | 0 |
| 1 | -1 | 1 |

Kernel Channel #3

308

+

-498

+

164

+ 1 = -25

Bias = 1

|     |     |     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| -25 |     |     |     | ... |
|     |     |     |     | ... |
|     |     |     |     | ... |
|     |     |     |     | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Output

Kernel:  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  ( $3 \times 3 @ 3$ )

#weights :  $3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 27$  , (with bias) =  $27 + 1 = 28$


$w \times h \times d$

# CNN with Color Images

Another way of thinking about this process is each kernel is a (hidden-layer) neuron that looks at the kernel-size pixels in a neighborhood

If there are 5 output channels in a conv layer, only need to learn the weights for the 5 neurons . *Suppose 6x6 kernels*

These neurons are a bit different since they look at the pixels that overlap with the window at each position.



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total weights} \\ (\text{w/o bias}) \\ = 108 \cdot 5 = 540 \end{aligned}$$



# Poll Everywhere


Think 

2 min

## Task: Binary Classification

Consider a plain neural network below, how many weights need to be learned?

Completely ignore intercept terms




$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Total w/o bias} \\ &15 \cdot 10 + 10 \cdot 5 + 5 \cdot 2 \\ &= \underline{\underline{210}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Total w/ bias} \\ &\underline{\underline{210 + 10 + 5 + 2}} \\ &= \underline{\underline{227}} \end{aligned}$$

# Weight Sharing

Consider solving a digit recognition task on 28x28 grayscale images.  
Suppose I wanted to use a fully connected hidden layer with 84 neurons

Without Convolutions:



$$28 \cdot 28 = 784 \quad 84 \quad 10$$

$$\text{Num weights (w/o bias): } 784 \cdot 84 + 84 \cdot 10 = \underline{\underline{166,616}}$$

# Weight Sharing

Total:  $250 + 5000 + 27,720 = \boxed{32,970} \ll 66,696$


Consider solving a digit recognition task on 28x28 images. Suppose I wanted to use a fully connected hidden layer with 84 neurons

With Convolutions (assume  $n1=10, n2=20$ ) (not counting intercepts)

# inputs flattened:  $4 \cdot 4 \cdot 20 = 320$

FC layers # weights

$320 \cdot 84 + 84 \cdot 10 = 27,720$



# CNN Applications & Transfer Learning


# General CNN Architecture

CNNs usually\* have architectures that look like the following

A series of Convolution + Activation Functions and Pooling layers. It's very common to do a pool after each convolution.

Each set of operations lowers the size of the image but increases the number of features.


Then after some number of these operations, flatten the image to work with the final neural network



# Features

The learned kernels are exactly the “features” for computer vision!

They start simple (corners, edges) and get more complex after more layers



[Zeiler & Fergus '13]




## *Brain Break*



# CNN Success

CNNs have had remarkable success in practice

LeNet, 1990s





# CNN Success

LeNet made 82 errors on MNIST (popular hand-written digit dataset of size 60K). 99.86% accuracy



# CNN Success

ImageNet 2012 competition:

1.2M training images



1000 categories

Winner: SuperVision

8 layers, 60M parameters


[Krizhevsky et al. '12]

Top-5 Error: 17%



# CNN Success


Won 2014 ImageNet challenge with  
6.66% top-5 error rate



Huge CNN depth has proven helpful in recognition systems... Maybe because images contain hierarchical structure (faces contain eyes contain edges, etc.)

# Applications

## Image Classification



Input:  $x$   
Image pixels




Top Predictions

- Labrador retriever
- golden retriever
- redbone
- bloodhound
- Rhodesian ridgeback

Output:  $y$   
Predicted object

## Object Localization




## Scene Parsing [Farabet et al. '13]



# Applications

Object Detection [Redmon et al. 2015] (<http://pjreddie.com/yolo/>)



## Product Recommendation



## Poll Everywhere

Think 

3 min

Not discussed in class. See next slide

For each of the Computer Vision Tasks below, what do you think the output layer of the neural network would look like? What would each output neuron represent?

**Image Classification:** Given an image with a single object, output the class of the object.

**Object Localization:** Given an image with a single object, output the class **and** bounding box (x,y,w,h) of the object.

**Object Detection:** Given an image with possibly multiple objects, output the bounding box **and** class for each object.

**Image Classification:** Given an image with a single object, output the class of the object.

### Output Layer

$C$  neurons where

$C = \#$  classes

Each neuron represents probability that the image is of that class

**Object Localization:** Given an image with a single object, output the class **and** bounding box  $(x,y,w,h)$  of the object.

### Output Layer

$C+4$  neurons

- First  $C$  are same as last
- Also need outputs for bounding box  $(x,y,w,h)$


**Object Detection:** Given an image with possibly multiple objects, output the bounding box **and** class for each object.

More complex,

Search Youtube

for YOLO algorithm explained!

# A Tale of 2 Tasks



If we don't have a lot of data for Task 2, what can we do?

**Idea:** Use a model that was trained for one task to help learn another task.

An old idea, explored for deep learning by Donahue et al. '14 & others




# CNNs

What is learned in a neural network?

Initial layers are low-level and very general.

Usually not sensitive/specific to the task at hand

Neural net trained for Task 1: cat vs. dog




More generic  
Can be used as feature extractor

Very specific  
to Task 1  
Should be ignored  
for other tasks

# Transfer Learning

Share the weights for the general part of the network



Keep weights fixed!

Re-train


# Transfer Learning

If done successfully, transfer learning can really help. Can give you

A higher **start**

A higher **slope**

A higher **asymptote**



# Deep Learning in Practice

# Pros

No need to manually engineer features, enable automated learning of features

Impressive performance gains

- Image processing

- Natural Language Processing

- Speech recognition

Making huge impacts in most fields



# Cons

Requires a LOT of data

Computationally really expensive

Environmentally, extremely expensive ([Green AI](#))

Hard to tune hyper-parameters

Choice of architecture (we've added even more hyper-parameters)

- Size of kernels, stride, 0 padding, number of conv layers, depth of outputs of conv layers,

Learning algorithm

Still not very interpretable



# NN Failures



While NNs have had amazing success, they also have some baffling failures.



**"panda"**  
57.7% confidence

"No one adds noise to things in real applications"

**Not true!**

Hackers will hack


Sensors (cameras) are noisy!



# NN Failures

They even fail with “natural” transformations of images


[Azulay, Weiss <https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.12177>]









# NN Failures

Objects can be created to trick neural networks!



# Dataset Bias

Datasets, like ImageNet, are generally biased



One approach is to augment your dataset to add random permutations of data to avoid bias.

# Demo: Adversarial Neural Networks to Promote Fairness

<https://godatadriven.com/blog/towards-fairness-in-ml-with-adversarial-networks/>

Dataset: [Adult UCI](#)

- Predict whether a person's income will be  $> \$50K$  or  $\leq \$50K$  based on factors like:
  - Age
  - Education level
  - Marital status
  - Served in Armed Services?
  - Hours per week worked
  - Occupation sector
  - Etc.


# Further Readings on Deep Learning

Dealing with Variable Length Sequences (e.g. language)

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)

Long Short Term Memory Nets (LSTMs)

<http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>



Reinforcement Learning

[Google DeepMind AlphaGo Zero](#)

Generative Adversarial Networks

[How to learn synthetic data](#)

[Green AI](#)

# Recap

**Theme:** Details of convolutional neural networks

**Ideas:**

Convolutions

MaxPool

Number of Parameters in a (C)NN

Weight Sharing

CNN Applications

Transfer Learning

NN Failures

Using NNs to promote algorithmic fairness

