# CSE/STAT 416

#### Precision/Recall k-Nearest Neighbors

Vinitra Swamy University of Washington July 20, 2020



### Reflection

Good sense of ensemble models!

Pacing of course seems to be good for the majority of the class

Information density

Lecture: brain breaks, poll-everywhere questions
 Group project? -> happening soon, Homework 5

Thank you!

### Ensemble Method

Instead of switching to a brand new type of model that is more powerful than trees, what if we instead tried to make the tree into a more powerful model.

What if we could combine many weaker models in such a way to make a more powerful model?

A **model ensemble** is a collection of (generally weak) models that are combined in such a way to create a more powerful model.

There are two common ways this is done with trees

- Random Forest (Bagging)
- AdaBoost (Boosting)

### Random Forest

A **Random Forest** is a collection of *T* Decision Trees. Each decision tree casts a "vote" for a prediction and the ensemble predicts the majority vote of all of its trees.



#### AdaBoost

AdaBoost is a model similar to Random Forest (an ensemble of decision trees) with two notable differences that impact how we train it quite severely.

- Instead of using high depth trees that will overfit, we limit ourselves to decision stumps.
- Each model in the ensemble gets a weight associated to it, and we take a weighted majority vote

$$\hat{y} = \hat{F}(x) = sign\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{w}_t \widehat{f}_t(x)\right)$$

## AdaBoost Ada Glance

 $\widehat{w}_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - WeightedError(\widehat{f}_{t})}{WeightedError(\widehat{f}_{t})} \right)$ 

- for *t* in [1, 2, ..., *T*]:
  - Learn  $\hat{f}_t(x)$  based on weights  $\alpha_i$
  - Compute model weight  $\widehat{w}_t$   $\square$
  - Recompute weights  $\alpha_i$
  - Normalize  $\alpha_i$

$$\alpha_i \leftarrow \begin{cases} \alpha_i e^{-\widehat{w}_t}, & \text{ if } \widehat{f}_t(x_i) = y_i \\ \alpha_i e^{\widehat{w}_t}, & \text{ if } \widehat{f}_t(x_i) \neq y_i \end{cases}$$



#### Predict

$$\hat{y} = \hat{F}(x) = sign\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{w}_t \widehat{f}_t(x)\right)$$

#### Roadmap

- 1. Housing Prices Regression
  - Regression Model
  - Assessing Performance
  - Ridge Regression
  - LASSO
- 2. Sentiment Analysis Classification
  - Classification Overview
  - Logistic Regression
  - Decision Trees
  - Ensemble Methods
- 3. Document Retrieval Clustering and Similarity
  - Precision / Recall
  - k-Nearest Neighbor
  - Kernel Methods
  - Locality Sensitive Hashing
  - Clustering
  - Hierarchical Clustering

- Supervised

1-W/154-pervised

## Document Retrieval

- Consider you had some time to read a book and wanted to find other books similar to that one.
- If we wanted to write a system to recommend books
  - How do we measure similarity?
  - How do we search over books?
  - How do we measure accuracy?

Big Idea: Define an **embedding** and a **similarity metric** for the books, and find the **"nearest neighbor"** to some query book.



### Detecting Spam

Imagine I made a "Dummy Classifier" for detecting spam

- The classifier ignores the input, and always predicts spam.
- This actually results in 90% accuracy! Why?
   Most emails are spam...

This is called the **majority class classifier**.

A classifier as simple as the majority class classifier can have a high accuracy if there is a **class imbalance**.

 A class imbalance is when one class appears much more frequently than another in the dataset

This might suggest that accuracy isn't enough to tell us if a model is a good model.

## Assessing Accuracy

Always digging in and ask critical questions of your accuracy.

- Is there a class imbalance?
- How does it compare to a baseline approach?
  - Random guessing
  - Majority class

...

- Most important: What does my application need?
  - What's good enough for user experience?
  - What is the impact of a mistake we make?

## Confusion Matrix

For binary classification, there are only two types of mistakes

$$\hat{y} = +1, y = -1$$

 $\hat{y} = -1, y = +1$ 

Generally we make a **confusion matrix** to understand mistakes.



**Predicted Label** 

## Binary Classification Measures





### Assessing Accuracy

Often with binary classification, we treat the positive label as being the more important of the two. We then often then focus on these metrics:

**Precision:** Of the ones I predicted positive, how many of them were actually positive?

**Recall:** Of all the things that are truly positive, how many of them did I correctly predict as positive?

#### Precision

What fraction of the examples I predicted positive were correct?

Sentences predicted to be positive:



#### Recall

Of the truly positive examples, how many were predicted positive?



$$recall = \frac{C_{TP}}{N_P} = \frac{C_{TP}}{C_{TP} + C_{FN}} = \frac{2}{4+2} = \frac{2}{3}$$

1 .

### Precision & Recall

An optimistic model will predict almost everything as positive



<u>20</u>

High recall, low precision

A pessimistic model will predict almost everything as negative

High precision, low recall





# Precision-Recall Curve



#### Controlling Precision/Recall

Depending on your application, precision or recall might be more important

 Ideally you will have high values for both, but generally increasing recall will decrease precision and vice versa.

For logistic regression, we can control for how optimistic the model is by changing the threshold for positive classification

#### Before

$$\hat{y}_i = +1$$
 if  $\hat{P}(y = +1|x_i) > 0.5$  else  $\hat{y}_i = -1$ 

Now

$$\hat{y}_i = +1 \text{ if } \hat{P}(y = +1|x_i) > t \text{ else } \hat{y}_i = -1 \qquad \bigcirc .99 \xrightarrow{\cdot \cdot} 0$$

#### Precision-Recall Tradeoff



19

#### Precision-Recall Curve

Can try every threshold to get a curve like below



#### Precision-Recall Curve

Classifier B is strictly better than Classifier A



#### Precision-Recall Curve

Most times, the classifiers are incomparable



## Compare Classifiers

Often come up with a single number to describe it

- F1-score, AUC, etc.
- Remember, what your application needs is most important

#### Also common to use **precision at k**

 If you show the top k most likely positive examples, how many of them are true positives











If a binary tree wore pants would he wear them





@michaelhoffman

I've tried this "artificial intelligence" plant identification app multiple times on the same tree and get a different answer each time. They must be using random forest

9:35

6:51 pm · 15 Jul 20 · Twitter Web App

205 Retweets and comments 1,952 Likes

V

Think 온

1 min



A model with high bias will have high precision and low recall.

True

False







A model with high bias will have high precision and low recall.





Nearest Neighbors

## 1-Nearest Neighbor

#### Input

- $x_q$ : Query example (e.g. my book)
- $x_1, \dots, x_n$ : Corpus of documents (e.g. Amazon books)

#### Output

The document in corpus that is most similar to x<sub>q</sub>

$$x^{NN} = \underset{x_i \in [x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\operatorname{arg min}} \operatorname{distance}(x_q, x_i)$$

It's very critical to properly define how we represent each document  $x_i$  and the similarity metric *distance*! Different definitions will lead to very different results.

## 1-Nearest Neighbor

How long does it take to find the 1-NN? About *n* operations



## k-Nearest Neighbors

#### Input

- $x_q$ : Query example (e.g. my book)
- x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>: Corpus of documents (e.g. Amazon books)

#### Output

List of k documents most similar to x<sub>q</sub>

Formally XK-NN= SXNN, XNN2, XNNE) for all X; not in X<sup>knng</sup> dist(Xq, X;) > Max dist(Xq, X<sup>rny</sup>)

## k-Nearest Neighbors

#### Xa



## k-Nearest Neighbors

Can be used in many circumstances!



Regression

$$\hat{y}_i = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x^{NN_j}$$

Classification

 $\hat{y}_i = majority\_class(X^{k-NN})$ 

### Important Points

While the formalization of these algorithms are fairly tedious, the intuition is fairly simple. Find the 1 or k nearest neighbors to a given document and return those as the answer.

This intuition relies on answering two important questions

How do we represent the documents x<sub>i</sub>? embedding / representation

distance metric

• How do we measure the distance  $distance(x_q, x_i)$ ?

#### Document Representation

D = # unique words in our G vpus  $\Box_{\#} \bot$ , # like, # dogs, # cost Like our previous ML algorithms, we will want to make a vector out of the document to represent it as a point in space.

Simplest representation is the **bag-of-words** representation.

- Each document will become a D dimension vector where D is the number of words in the entire corpus of documents
- The value of x<sub>i</sub>[j] will be the number of times word j appears in document i.
- This ignores order of words in the document, just the counts.

"I like dogs" > [1, 1, 1, 0] "I like cots" -> [1, 1, 0, 1] "I like dogs dogs" = [1, 1, 2, 0]

#### Bag of Words

#### Pros

- Very simple to describe
- Very simple to compute

#### Cons

- Common words like "the" and "a" dominate counts of uncommon words
- Often it's the uncommon words that uniquely define a doc.

### TF-IDF

#### Goal: Emphasize important words

Appear frequently in the document (common locally)



Do a pair-wise multiplication to compute the TF-IDF for each word

 Words that appear in every document will have a small IDF making the TF-IDF small!





## Document Retrieval

- Consider you had some time to read a book and wanted to find other books similar to that one.
- If we wanted to write an system to recommend books
  - How do we measure similarity?
  - How do we search over books?
  - How do we measure accuracy?

Big Idea: Define an **embedding** and a <u>similarity metric</u> for the books, and find the "nearest neighbor" to some query book.



#### Distance

Now we will define what similarity/distance means

Want to define how "close" two vectors are. A smaller value for distance means they are closer, a large value for distance means they are farther away.

The simplest way to define distance between vectors is the **Euclidean distance** 

$$distance(x_i, x_q) = \left| \left| x_i - x_q \right| \right|_2$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{D} (x_i[j] - x_q[j])^2}$$

## Weighted Distances

Some features vary more than others or are measured in different units. We can weight different dimensions differently to make the distance metric more reasonable.



Weighted Euclidean distance

$$distance(x_i, x_q) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{D} a_j^2 (x_i[j] - x_q[j])^2}$$

### Similarity

Another natural similarity measure would use

$$x_i^T x_q = \sum_{j=1}^D \underline{x_i[j]} x_q[j]$$

Notice this is a measure of similarity, not distance

This means a bigger number is better



## Cosine Similarity

Should we normalize the vectors before finding the similarity?

similarity = 
$$\frac{x_i^T x_q}{\left|\left|x_i\right|\right|_2 \left|\left|x_q\right|\right|_2} = \cos(\theta)$$

Note:

- Not a true distance metric
- Efficient for sparse vectors!



## Cosine Similarity



In general

 $-1 \leq cosine \ similarity \leq 1$ 

For positive features (like TF-IDF)  $0 \leq cosine \ similarity \leq 1$ 

Define

distance = 1 - similarity

#### To Normalize or Not To Normalize?

#### Not normalized



#### To Normalize or Not To Normalize?

#### Normalized





#### To Normalize or Not To Normalize?

Normalization is not desired when comparing documents of different sizes since it ignores length.

and the second se	
	and the second second second

long document

short tweet

Normalizing can make dissimilar objects appear more similar



Common compromise: Just cap maximum word counts

In practice, can use multiple distance metrics and combine them using some defined weights

Think ଥ

2 min

pollev.com/cse416

For the given documents, what are their Euclidean Distance and Cosine Similarity?

Assume we are using a bag of words representation

Document 1: "I really like dogs" Document 2: "dogs are really really awesome"

Steps:

- Write out bag of words vectors
- Compute Euclidean distance
- Compute Cosine similarity

Pair 28

3 min



For the given documents, what are their Euclidean Distance and Cosine Similarity?

Assume we are using a bag of words representation

Document 1: "I really like dogs" Document 2: "dogs are really really awesome"

Steps:

- Write out bag of words vectors
- Compute Euclidean distance
- Compute Cosine similarity

Think &

pollev.com/cse416

Document 1: "I really like dogs" Document 2: "dogs are really really awesome" Bag of words: (# I, # really, # like. # dogs, # are, # awesome ]  $x_{2} = [0, 2, 0, 1, 1, 1]$  $X_{1} = [1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0]$ euclidean distance: ( 11×1-×21) dist  $(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{(1-0)^2 t(1-2)^2} + (1-0)^2 + (1-1)^2 + (0-1)^2 + (0-1)^2$  $= 1 - \frac{3}{\sqrt{4}\sqrt{7}} \approx 0.433$ Cosine distance =  $\left(1 - \frac{X_{i}^{T} X_{ec}}{\|X_{i}\|_{2}^{2} \|X_{2}\|_{2}^{2}}\right)$ dist  $(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \left[ - \frac{1 \cdot 0 + \left[ \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 0 + 1_{0}$ 

#### Recap

Theme: Use nearest neighbors to recommend documents. Ideas:

- Precision and Recall Curves
- Implement a nearest neighbor algorithm
- Compare and contrast different document representations
  - Emphasize important words with TF-IDF
- Compare and contrast different measurements of similarity
  - Euclidean and weighted Euclidean
  - Cosine similarity and inner-product similarity