Introduction to Database Systems CSE 414

Lecture 15: SQL++ Wrapup

CSE 414 - Spring 2018



Country {{ { "-car_code":"AL", "gdp_total":4100,

}, ...

}}

Find each country's GDP





Unnesting collections



CSE 414 - Spring 18

Unnesting collections



CSE 414 - Spring 18



Find each country's GDP

SELECT y.name, c.gdp_total
FROM world AS x, x.mondial.country AS y, country AS c
WHERE y.`-car_code` = c.`-car_code`;

Answer

{ "name": "Albania", "gdp_total": "4100" }
{ "name": "Greece", "gdp_total": "101700" }
...



Return province and city names

SELECT z.name AS province_name, u.name AS city_name
FROM world x, x.mondial.country y, y.province z, z.city_u
WHERE y.name = "Greece";

The problem:	Error: Type mismatch!	
<pre>"name": "Greece", "province": [{"name": "Attiki",</pre>	<pre>city is an array}, {"name": "Pireus"},]</pre>	
<pre>{"name": "Ipiros", "city": {"name": "Ioannia" },</pre>	. } city is an object	7



Return province and city names

SELECT z.name AS province_name, u.name AS city_name
FROM world x, x.mondial.country y, y.province z,

(CASE WHEN z.city IS missing THEN []
WHEN IS_ARRAY(z.city) THEN z.city
ELSE [z.city] END) AS u

WHERE y.name="Greece";



Useful Functions

- is_array
- is_boolean
- is_number
- is_object
- is_string
- is_null
- is_missing
- is_unknown = is_null or is_missing

Other Features

- Unnesting
- Nesting
- Grouping and aggregate
- Joins
- Multi-value join

Nesting



SELECT DISTINCT x.A, (SELECT y.B FROM C AS y WHERE x.A = y.A) AS Grp FROM C AS x

Using LET syntax:

SELECT DISTINCT x.A, g AS Grp
FROM C AS x
LET g = (SELECT y.B FROM C AS y WHERE x.A = y.A)



Count the number of elements in the F array for each A

SELECT x.A, COLL_COUNT(x.F) AS cnt
FROM C AS x

SELECT x.A, COUNT(*) AS cnt FROM C AS x, x.F AS y GROUP BY x.A

CSE 414 - Spring 18

These are NOT equivalent!

Grouping and Aggregates

Function	NULL	MISSING	Empty Collection
COLL_COUNT	counted	counted	0
COLL_SUM	returns NULL	returns NULL	returns NULL
COLL_MAX	returns NULL	returns NULL	returns NULL
COLL_MIN	returns NULL	returns NULL	returns NULL
COLL_AVG	returns NULL	returns NULL	returns NULL
ARRAY_COUNT	not counted	not counted	0
ARRAY_SUM	ignores NULL	ignores NULL	returns NULL
ARRAY_MAX	ignores NULL	ignores NULL	returns NULL
ARRAY_MIN	ignores NULL	ignores NULL	returns NULL
ARRAY_AVG	ignores NULL	ignores NULL	returns NULL



CSE 414 - Spring 18

Joins

Two flat collection

coll1 = [{A:a1, B:b1}, {A:a1, B:b2}, {A:a2, B:b1}]
coll2 = [{B:b1, C:c1}, {B:b1, C:c2}, {B:b3, C:c3}]

Answer

SELECT x.A, x.B, y.C
FROM coll1 AS x, coll2 AS y
WHERE x.B = y.B



SELECT x.A, x.B, y.C FROM coll1 AS x JOIN coll2 AS y ON x.B = y.B

Outer Joins

Two flat collection

Coll2 [{B:b1, C:c1}, {B:b1, C:c2}, {B:b3, C:c3}]

Answer

[{A:a1,	B:b1,	C:c1},
{A:a1,	B:b1,	C:c2},
{A:a2,	B:b1,	C:c1},
{A:a2,	B:b1,	C:c2},
{B:b3,	C:c3}]

Ordering

coll1

[{A:a1, B:b1}, {A:a1, B:b2}, {A:a2, B:b1}]

SELECT x.A, x.B FROM coll AS x ORDER BY x.A

Data type matters!

"90" > "8000" but 90 < 8000 !

Multi-Value Join

river





18

Behind the Scenes

Query Processing on NFNF data:

- Option 1: give up on query plans, use standard java/python-like execution
- Option 2: represent the data as a collection of flat tables, convert SQL++ to a standard relational query plan

A nested collection

coll =

[{A:a1, F:[{B:b1},{B:b2}], G:[{C:c1}]], {A:a2, F:[{B:b3},{B:b4},{B:b5}], G:[]}, {A:a1, F:[{B:b6}], G:[{C:c2},{C:c3}]]]

A nested collection

Relational representation

coll = [{A:a1, F:[{B:b1},{B:b2}], G:[{C:c1}]], {A:a2, F:[{B:b3},{B:b4},{B:b5}], G:[]}, {A:a1, F:[{B:b6}], G:[{C:c2},{C:c3}]]]

coll:		
id	А	
1	a1	
2	a2	
3	a1	

В
b1
b2
b3
b4
b5
b6

G	
parent	С
1	c1
3	c2
3	c3

A nested collection

coll =[{A:a1, F:[{B:b1},{B:b2}], G:[{C:c1}]], {A:a2, F:[{B:b3},{B:b4},{B:b5}], G:[]}, {A:a1, F:[{B:b6}], G:[{C:c2},{C:c3}]]}]

SQL++

SELECT x.A, y.B FROM coll AS x, x.F AS y WHERE x = a1

Relational representation

col

:oll:	-	F
id	А	ра
1	a1	
2	a2	
3	a1	
	•	

arent	В	
1	b1	
1	b2	
2	b3	
2	b4	
2	b5	
3	b6	

G	
parent	С
1	c1
3	c2
3	c3

A nested collection **Relational representation** coll: G F coll =С id Α В parent parent [{A:a1, F:[{B:b1},{B:b2}], G:[{C:c1}]}, 1 b1 a1 1 c1 1 {A:a2, F:[{B:b3},{B:b4},{B:b5}], G:[]}, 2 a2 1 b2 3 c2 {A:a1, F:[{B:b6}], G:[{C:c2},{C:c3}]}] 3 2 b3 3 a1 c3 2 b4 SQL++ SQL 2 b5 3 b6 SELECT x.A, y.B SELECT x.A, y.B FROM coll AS x, x.F AS y FROM coll AS x, F AS y WHERE x.id = y.parent AND x.A = "a1" WHERE x = a1





Semistructured Data Model

- Several file formats: Json, protobuf, XML
- The data model is a tree
- They differ in how they handle structure:
 - Open or closed
 - Ordered or unordered
- Query language needs to take NFNF into account
 - Various "extra" constructs introduced as a result

Conclusion

- Semi-structured data best suited for <u>data</u> <u>exchange</u>
- "General" guidelines:
 - For quick, ad-hoc data analysis, use a "native" query language: SQL++, or AQL, or Xquery
 - Where "native" = how data is stored
 - Modern, advanced query processors like
 AsterixDB / SQL++ can process semi-structured
 data as efficiently as RDBMS
 - For long term data analysis: spend the time and effort to normalize it, then store in a RDBMS
 CSE 414 - Spring 18