Database Systems CSE 414

Lecture 28: Database Techniques for Machine Learning

- Automating Machine Learning Model Building with Clinical Big Data

Announcements

- WQ7 is due tomorrow 11pm
- HW8 is due Friday 11pm
- Please complete course evaluations!
 First 10 minutes of today's lecture
- Today's lecture is intended to help you understand how database techniques can be used in other computer science areas
 - The final exam will not test today's lecture material
 - Relax and enjoy ⁽²⁾

Outline

Predictive modeling on clinical big data

- Identification of challenges
- Our approach to address the challenges [HISS'15, HISS'16, HISS'17, JRP'15, JRP'16, JRP'17]

Clinical Big Data (Large Clinical Data Sets)

- Volume of healthcare data
 - Increase 50-fold in 8 years to 25,000 petabytes by 2020
- Diverse sources
 - Electronic medical records
 - Sensors
 - Mobile devices
- Opportunities to advance clinical care and biomedical research

Predictive Modeling

- Leverage these large, heterogeneous data sets to advance knowledge and foster discovery
- Facilitate appropriate and timely care by forecasting
 - Health risk: Put high-risk patients into care management
 - Clinical course: Guide appropriate admission of bronchiolitis patients in the emergency department
 - Outcome: Assist with timely asthma diagnoses in children with clinically significant bronchiolitis

Approaches to Predictive Modeling

- Statistical methods
 - E.g., logistic regression
- Machine learning algorithms that improve automatically through experience (model training)
 - E.g., support vector machine
 - Neural network
 - Decision tree
 - Random forest

Pros of Machine Learning

- Often achieves higher prediction accuracy than statistical methods
 - Sometimes doubles prediction accuracy
- With less strict assumptions on data distribution

Cons of Machine Learning

- Use in healthcare is challenging
- Requires many labor-intensive manual iterations and special computing expertise to select among complex algorithms and hyperparameter values
- Most machine learning models give no explanation of prediction results
 - Explanation is essential for a learning healthcare system

My Contributions

- Identify and clarify two challenges faced by healthcare researchers when conducting machine learning on clinical big data
- Propose solutions to address these challenges

Outline

- Predictive modeling on clinical big data
- Identification of challenges
 - Challenge 1
- Our approach to address the challenges [HISS'15, HISS'16, HISS'17, JRP'15, JRP'16, JRP'17]

Parameters vs. Hyper-parameters

- Each machine learning algorithm has two types of model parameters:
 - Ordinary parameters: automatically optimized or learned in a model training phase
 - Hyper-parameters: typically set by the user of a machine learning software tool manually before training a model

Parameters vs. Hyper-parameters – Cont.

the input variable used and threshold value chosen at each internal node of a decision tree	# of decision trees, # of input variables to consider at each internal node of a decision tree
the support vectors, the Lagrange multiplier for each support vector	the kernel to use, the degree of a polynomial kernel
the weight on each edge	# of hidden layers, # of nodes on each hidden layer
	the input variable used and threshold value chosen at each internal node of a decision tree the support vectors, the Lagrange multiplier for each support vector the weight on each edge

Traditional Method of Building Machine Learning Models

- Manually select a machine learning algorithm from a long list of applicable algorithms
 - 39 classification algorithms available in Weka: decision tree, random forest, support vector machine, neural network, …
 - Most of them are complex
- Manually set the chosen algorithm's hyperparameter values

Traditional Method of Building Machine Learning Models – Cont.

- Train the machine learning model to automatically optimize the ordinary parameters of the chosen algorithm
- Check the model's prediction accuracy
 - High enough: Done
 - Low: Manually change the hyper-parameter values and/or the algorithm, re-train the model
- Often take hundreds or thousands of manual iterations

Challenge 1: Efficiently and Automatically Selecting Algorithms and Hyper-parameter Values

- The chosen algorithm and hyper-parameter values affect the resulting model's accuracy
 - Typical effect is >40% [Auto-Weka in KDD'13]
 - The effective algorithm and hyper-parameter values depend on the specific predictive modeling problem and data set

- Traditional approach: Find a good algorithm and good hyper-parameter values through a long, iterative, manual process
 - Beyond the ability of users with limited computing expertise
 - Non-trivial task even for machine learning experts

- Automatic selection methods for algorithms and hyper-parameter values have been developed
 - to help individuals with little computing expertise perform machine learning
 - but existing methods cannot efficiently handle clinical big data
 - Search can take several days on a data set with a moderate number of rows and attributes
 - E.g., several thousand rows and several dozen attributes

- In practice, search time can be up to thousands of times longer
- Machine learning is an iterative process
 - If a set of clinical parameters produces low prediction accuracy, the analyst is likely to consider other available but unused clinical parameters that may be predictive
 - Each iteration requires a new search for algorithms and hyper-parameter values

- A data set can contain many rows
 - E.g., from multiple healthcare systems
- A data set can have many attributes
 E.g., extracted from genomic and/or textual data
- A machine learning algorithm's execution time often grows
 - superlinearly with the number of rows
 - at least linearly with the number of attributes

- To achieve personalized medicine, many predictive modeling problems must be solved for various diseases and outcomes
 - Search time will be a bottleneck here, regardless of whether it is an issue for a single problem
- To leverage clinical big data, automated approaches appealing to healthcare researchers are needed for selecting algorithms and hyperparameter values
 - Completely automatic
 - Efficient

Outline

- Predictive modeling on clinical big data
- Identification of challenges
 - Challenge 2
- Our approach to address the challenges [HISS'15, HISS'16, HISS'17, JRP'15, JRP'16, JRP'17]

Challenge 2: Explaining Prediction Results

- Explanation is essential for clinicians to
 - Trust prediction results
 - Determine appropriate, tailored interventions
 - E.g., provide transportation for patients who live far from their physicians and have difficulty accessing care
 - Defend their decisions in court if sued for medical negligence
 - Formulate new theories or hypotheses for biomedical research

- Most machine learning models give no explanation of prediction results
 - Most models are complex
- Prediction accuracy and giving explanation of prediction results are frequently two conflicting goals
- Need to achieve both goals simultaneously
 - Explain prediction results without sacrificing prediction accuracy

Outline

- Predictive modeling on clinical big data
- Identification of challenges
- Our approach to address the challenges [HISS'15, HISS'16, HISS'17, JRP'15, JRP'16, JRP'17]
 - Overview

Our Approach

- Develop a software system that can perform the following tasks in a pipeline efficiently and automatically
 - Select effective machine learning algorithms and hyperparameter values to build predictive models
 - Explain prediction results to healthcare researchers
 - Suggest tailored interventions

Our Software System

- **PredicT-ML** (Prediction Tool using Machine Learning)
 - Developed using Spark, MLlib, and new techniques to address existing software's limitations
 - Can run on a cluster of commodity computers for fast parallel processing
- Goals: Healthcare researchers can use it to
 - Develop machine learning predictive models with clinical big data
 - Achieve similar prediction accuracy as computer scientists
 - Understand prediction results

Existing Big Data Software Systems

- Hadoop implements Google's MapReduce framework for distributed computing
 - Unsuitable for iterative and interactive jobs
 - Job execution usually requires repeated reading and writing of data from and to disk, incurring significant overhead
- Spark overcomes Hadoop's shortcomings
 - Executes most operations in memory and avoids disk inputs/outputs when possible
 - Improves performance
- MLlib is Spark's machine learning library

Outline

- Predictive modeling on clinical big data
- Identification of challenges
- Our approach to address the challenges [HISS'15, HISS'16, HISS'17, JRP'15, JRP'16, JRP'17]
- Efficient and automatic selection of algorithms and hyper-parameter values

Main Ideas

- Major obstacle: A long time is needed to examine a combination of an algorithm and hyper-parameter values on the entire data set
 - E.g., it takes two days on a modern computer to train a champion ensemble model once on 10K patients with 133 independent variables
 - The entire space of algorithms and hyper-parameter values is extremely large
- Solution: Perform progressive sampling, filtering, and fine-tuning to quickly narrow the search space

Main Ideas – Cont.

 Use progressive sampling to generate a sequence of random samples of the data set, one nested within another



Learning Curve

 For a specific combination of an algorithm and hyperparameter values, a model's accuracy increases more and more slowly as the training set expands



CSE 414 - Fall 2017

Main Ideas – Cont.

- Conduct inexpensive tests on small samples of the data set to eliminate unpromising algorithms and identify unpromising combinations of hyperparameter values as early and as much as possible
- Devote more computational resources to finetuning promising algorithms and combinations of hyper-parameter values on larger samples of the data set

Main Ideas – Cont.

- The search process is repeated for one or more rounds
- As the sample of the data set expands, the search space shrinks



 In the last round, (a large part of) the entire data set is used to find an effective combination of an algorithm and hyperparameter values

Some Results

- Compared to the state of the art Auto-WEKA automatic selection method on
 - 27 prominent machine learning benchmark data sets
 - A single computer
- On 27 data sets, on average our method
 - Reduces search time by 28 fold
 - Reduces the classification/prediction error rate by 11%

Outline

- Predictive modeling on clinical big data
- Identification of challenges
- Our approach to address the challenges [HISS'15, HISS'16, HISS'17, JRP'15, JRP'16, JRP'17]
 - Automatically explain prediction results and suggest tailored interventions

Main Ideas

- A model achieving high accuracy is usually complex and gives no explanation of prediction results
- Challenge: Need to achieve high prediction accuracy as well as explain prediction results
- Key idea: Separate prediction and explanation by using two models concurrently
 - The first model makes predictions and targets maximizing accuracy
 - The second model is rule-based
 - Used to explain the first model's results rather than make predictions

Main Ideas – Cont.

- The rules used in the second model are mined directly from historical data
- Use one or more rules to explain the prediction result for a patient
- Suggest tailored interventions based on the reasons listed in the rules

Some Results

- Test case: Predicting type 2 diabetes diagnosis within the next year
- Electronic medical record data of 10K patients
- Can explain prediction results for 87% of patients who were correctly predicted by a champion machine learning model to have type 2 diabetes diagnosis within the next year

Example Rule

- The patient had prescriptions of angiotensinconverting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitor in the past three years AND the patient's maximum body mass index recorded in the past three years is ≥35 → the patient will have type 2 diabetes diagnosis within the next year
 - ACE inhibitor is used mainly for treating hypertension and congestive heart failure
 - Obesity, hypertension, and congestive heart failure are known to correlate with type 2 diabetes
- Example intervention: Enroll the patient in a weight loss program