









| Relation Decomposition Break the relation into two: | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Name SSN PhoneNumber City | | | | | | | | |
| | Fred | 123-45-6789 | 206-555-1234 | Seattle | | | | |
| , | Fred | 123-45-6789 | 206-555-6543 | Seattle | | | | |
| | Joe | 987-65-4321 | 908-555-2121 | Westfield | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Name | <u>SSN</u> | City | <u>SSN</u> | PhoneNumber | | | | |
| Fred | 123-45-6789 | Seattle | 123-45-6789 | 206-555-1234 | | | | |
| Joe | 987-65-4321 | Westfield | 123-45-6789 | 206-555-6543 | | | | |
| | | | 987-65-4321 | 908-555-2121 | | | | |
| Anomalies have gone: No more repeated data Easy to move Fred to "Bellevue" (how ?) Easy to delete all Joe's phone numbers (how ?) | | | | | | | | |
| CSE 414 - Fall 2017 7 | | | | | | | | |







| An FD <u>holds,</u> | E or <u>does no</u> t | xample | nstance: | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----|
| EmpID | Name | Phone | Position | |
| E0045 | Smith | 1234 | Clerk | |
| E3542 | Mike | 9876 | Salesrep | |
| E1111 | Smith | 9876 | Salesrep | |
| E9999 | Mary | 1234 | Lawyer | |
| EmpID \rightarrow N Position \rightarrow I but not Pho | ame, Phone Phone ne → Pos | e, Position ition | | |
| | c | SE 414 - Fall 2017 | | 11 |

| | Ex | ample | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|----|--|
| EmpID | Name | Phone | Position | | |
| E0045 | Smith | 1234 | Clerk | | |
| E3542 Mike 9876 ← Salesrep | | | | | |
| E1111 | 1111 Smith 9876 ← Salesrep | | | | |
| E9999 Mary 1234 Lawyer | | | | | |
| | Position | → Phone | | | |
| CSE 414 - Fall 2017 | | | | 12 | |

| | Example | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| EmpID | Name | Phone | Position | | | | |
| E0045 | Smith | 1234 → | Clerk | | | | |
| E3542 | Mike | 9876 | Salesrep | | | | |
| E1111 | Smith | 9876 | Salesrep | | | | |
| E9999 | Mary | 1234 → | Lawyer | | | | |
| | But not Phone → Position | | | | | | |
| | CSE 414 - Fall 2017 | | | | | | |

| Example | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------|-------|------------|-------|--|--|
| | name \rightarrow color category \rightarrow departmen color, category \rightarrow price | | | | | | |
| | name | category | color | department | price | | |
| | Gizmo | Gadget | Green | Toys | 49 | | |
| | Tweaker | Gadget | Green | Toys | 99 | | |
| Do all the FDs hold on this instance? | | | | | | | |
| CSE 414 - Fall 2017 | | | | | 14 | | |

| Example | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|------|----|--|--|
| name \rightarrow color category \rightarrow department color, category \rightarrow price | | | | | | |
| name category color department price | | | | | | |
| Gizmo Gadget Green Toys | | | | 49 | | |
| Tweaker | Gadget | Green | Toys | 49 | | |
| Gizmo Stationary Green Office-supp. | | | | | | |
| What about this one ? CSE 414 - Fall 2017 | | | | | | |



































| Example | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Name SSN PhoneNumber City | | | | | | |
| | Fred 123-45-6789 206-555-1234 Seattle | | | | | | |
| | Fred | 123-45-6789 | 206-555-6543 | Seattle | | | |
| | Joe 987-65-4321 908-555-2121 Westfield | | | | | | |
| | Joe | 987-65-4321 | 908-555-1234 | Westfield | | | |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c }\hline SSN & \rightarrow Name, City \\ \hline The only key is: {SSN, PhoneNumber} \\ Hence SSN & \rightarrow Name, City is a "bad" dependency \\\hline SSN^* \\\hline \end{tabular}$ | | | | | | | |
| In other words: SSN ⁺ = SSN, Name, City and is neither SSN nor All Attributes | | | | | | | |
| CSE 414 - Fall 2017 33 | | | | | | | |







| Find X s.t.: X \neq X ⁺ and X ⁺ \neq [all attributes | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Example BCNF Decomposition | | | | | |
| Person(name, SSN, race, hairColor, phoneNumber) SSN → name, race race → hairColor What are the keys ? | | | | | |
| Iteration 1: Person: SSN* = SSN, name, race, hairColor Decompose into: P(SSN, name, race, hairColor) Phone(SSN, phoneNumber) | | | | | |
| Iteration 2: P: race* = race, hairColor Decompose: People(SSN, name, race) Hair(race, hairColor) Phone(SSN, phoneNumber) | | | | | |
| CSE 414 - Fall 2017 37 | | | | | |























50





- 1st Normal Form = all tables are flat (no list values)
- 2nd Normal Form = obsolete
- Boyce Codd Normal Form = no bad FDs
- 3rd Normal Form = see book
 - BCNF is lossless but can cause lose ability to check some FDs without a join (see book 3.4.4)
 - 3NF fixes that (is lossless and dependency-preserving), but some tables might not be in BCNF – i.e., they may have redundancy anomalies

CSE 414 - Fall 2017