

Database Systems

CSE 414

Lecture 9-10: Datalog
(Ch 5.3–5.4)

Announcements

- HW2 is due today 11pm
- WQ2 is due tomorrow 11pm
- WQ3 is due Thursday 11pm
- HW4 is posted and due on Nov. 9, 11pm

What is Datalog?

- Another query language for relational model
 - Simple and elegant
 - Initially designed for recursive queries
 - Some companies use Datalog for data analytics
 - e.g. LogicBlox
 - Increased interest due to recursive analytics
- We discuss only recursion-free or non-recursive Datalog and add negation

Datalog

- See book: 5.3 – 5.4
- See also: [Query Language primer](#)
 - article by Dan Suciu
 - covers relational calculus as well

Why Do We Learn Datalog?

- Datalog can be translated to SQL
 - Helps to express complex queries...

```

USE AdventureWorks2008R2;
GO
WITH DirectReports (ManagerID, EmployeeID, Title, DeptID, Level)
AS
(
-- Anchor member definition
  SELECT e.ManagerID, e.EmployeeID, e.Title, edh.DepartmentID,
         0 AS Level
  FROM dbo.MyEmployees AS e
  INNER JOIN HumanResources.EmployeeDepartmentHistory AS edh
    ON e.EmployeeID = edh.BusinessEntityID AND edh.EndDate IS NULL
  WHERE ManagerID IS NULL
  UNION ALL
-- Recursive member definition
  SELECT e.ManagerID, e.EmployeeID, e.Title, edh.DepartmentID,
         Level + 1
  FROM dbo.MyEmployees AS e
  INNER JOIN HumanResources.EmployeeDepartmentHistory AS edh
    ON e.EmployeeID = edh.BusinessEntityID AND edh.EndDate IS NULL
  INNER JOIN DirectReports AS d
    ON e.ManagerID = d.EmployeeID
)
-- Statement that executes the CTE
SELECT ManagerID, EmployeeID, Title, DeptID, Level
FROM DirectReports
INNER JOIN HumanResources.Department AS dp
  ON DirectReports.DeptID = dp.DepartmentID
WHERE dp.GroupName = N'Sales and Marketing' OR Level = 0;
GO

```

```

DirectReports(eid, 0) :-
    Employee(eid),
    not Manages(_, eid)
DirectReports(eid, level+1) :-
    DirectReports(mid, level),
    Manages(mid, eid)

```

SQL Query vs. Datalog
(which one would you rather write?)

Why Do We Learn Datalog?

- Datalog can be translated to SQL
 - Helps to express complex queries
- Increase in Datalog interest due to recursive analytics
- A query language that is closest to mathematical logic
 - Good language to reason about query properties
 - Can show that:
 1. Non-recursive Datalog & RA have **equivalent power**
 2. Recursive Datalog is strictly more powerful than RA
 3. Extended RA & SQL92 is strictly more powerful than Datalog

Some History


Early database history:

- 60s: network data models
- 70s: relational DBMSs
- 80s: OO-DBMSs

Ullman (1988) predicts KBMSs will replace DBMSs as they replaced what came before

- KBMS: knowledge-base
- combines data & logic (inferences)

Actually... relational DBMSs still dominate



Datalog

We won't run Datalog in 414. Try out on you own:

- Download DLV (<http://www.dlvsystem.com/dlv/>)
- Run DLV on this file
- Can also try IRIS

```
parent(william, john).
parent(john, james).
parent(james, bill).
parent(sue, bill).
parent(james, carol).
parent(sue, carol).

male(john).
male(james).
female(sue).
male(bill).
female(carol).

grandparent(X, Y) :- parent(X, Z), parent(Z, Y).
father(X, Y) :- parent(X, Y), male(X).
mother(X, Y) :- parent(X, Y), female(X).
brother(X, Y) :- parent(P, X), parent(P, Y), male(X), X != Y.
sister(X, Y) :- parent(P, X), parent(P, Y), female(X), X != Y.
```

Actor(pid, fname, lname)
Casts(pid, mid)
Movie(mid, name, year)

Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database

Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries

Q1(y) :- Movie(x, y, 1940).

Find Movies made in 1940

Actor(pid, fname, lname)
Casts(pid, mid)
Movie(mid, name, year)

Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database

Rules = queries

Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Q1(y) :- Movie(x, y, 1940).

Q2(f, l) :- Actor(z, f, l), Casts(z, x),
Movie(x, y, 1940).

Find Actors who acted in Movies made in 1940

Actor(pid, fname, lname)
Casts(pid, mid)
Movie(mid, name, year)

Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database

Rules = queries

Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Q1(y) :- Movie(x, y, 1940).

Q2(f, l) :- Actor(z, f, l), Casts(z, x),
Movie(x, y, 1940).

Q3(f, l) :- Actor(z, f, l), Casts(z, x1), Movie(x1, y1, 1910),
Casts(z, x2), Movie(x2, y2, 1940)

Find Actors who acted in a Movie in 1940 and in one in 1910

Actor(pid, fname, lname)
Casts(pid, mid)
Movie(mid, name, year)

Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database

Rules = queries

Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Q1(y) :- Movie(x, y, 1940).


Q2(f, l) :- Actor(z, f, l), Casts(z, x),
Movie(x, y, 1940).

Q3(f, l) :- Actor(z, f, l), Casts(z, x1), Movie(x1, y1, 1910),
Casts(z, x2), Movie(x2, y2, 1940)

Extensional Database Predicates = EDB = Actor, Casts, Movie

Intensional Database Predicates = IDB = Q1, Q2, Q3

Datalog: Terminology



f, l = head variables

x, y, z = existential variables

More Datalog Terminology

$Q(\text{args}) \text{ :- } R1(\text{args}), R2(\text{args}), \dots$

Book writes:

$Q(\text{args}) \text{ :- } R1(\text{args}) \text{ AND } R2(\text{args}) \text{ AND } \dots$

- $R_i(\text{args}_i)$ is called an atom, or a relational predicate
- $R_i(\text{args}_i)$ evaluates to true when relation R_i contains the tuple described by args_i .
 - Example: $\text{Actor}(344759, \text{'Douglas'}, \text{'Fowley'})$ is true
- In addition to relational predicates, we can also have arithmetic predicates
 - Example: $z=1940$.

Actor(id, fname, lname)
Casts(pid, mid)
Movie(id, name, year)

Semantics

- Meaning of a Datalog rule = a logical statement !

$Q1(y) \text{ :- Movie}(x, y, z), z=1940.$

- Means:
 - $\forall x. \forall y. \forall z. [(\text{Movie}(x, y, z) \text{ and } z=1940) \Rightarrow Q1(y)]$
 - and Q1 is the smallest relation that has this property
- Note: logically equivalent to:
 - $\forall y. [(\exists x. \exists z. \text{Movie}(x, y, z) \text{ and } z=1940) \Rightarrow Q1(y)]$
 - That's why vars not in head are called "existential variables".

Actor(id, fname, lname)
Casts(pid, mid)
Movie(id, name, year)

Datalog program

A Datalog program is a collection of one or more rules

Each **rule** expresses the idea that, from certain combinations of tuples in certain relations, we may **infer** that some other tuple must be in some other relation or in the query answer

Example: Find all actors with Bacon number ≤ 2

```
B0(x) :- Actor(x, 'Kevin', 'Bacon')
B1(x) :- Actor(x, f, l), Casts(x, z), Casts(y, z), B0(y)
B2(x) :- Actor(x, f, l), Casts(x, z), Casts(y, z), B1(y)
Q4(x) :- B0(x)
Q4(x) :- B1(x)
Q4(x) :- B2(x)
```

Note: Q4 means the union of B0, B1, & B2


Recursive Datalog

- In Datalog, rules can be recursive

```
Path(x, y) :- Edge(x, y).
```

```
Path(x, y) :- Path(x, z), Edge(z, y).
```

- We'll focus on **non-recursive Datalog**



Edge encodes a graph
Path finds all paths

Actor(id, fname, lname)
Casts(pid, mid)
Movie(id, name, year)

Datalog with negation

Find all actors who do not have a Bacon number < 2

$B0(x) :- \text{Actor}(x, \text{'Kevin'}, \text{'Bacon'})$

$B1(x) :- \text{Actor}(x, f, l), \text{Casts}(x, z), \text{Casts}(y, z), B0(y)$

$Q6(x) :- \text{Actor}(x, f, l), \text{not } B1(x), \text{not } B0(x)$

Actor(id, fname, lname)

Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

Safe Datalog Rules

Here are unsafe Datalog rules. What's "unsafe" about them ?

$U1(x, y) :- \text{Movie}(x, z, 1994), y > 1910$


$U2(x) :- \text{Movie}(x, z, 1994), \text{not Casts}(u, x)$

A Datalog rule is safe if every variable appears in some positive relational atom

Datalog vs. Relational Algebra

- Every expression in standard relational algebra can be expressed as a Datalog query
- But operations in the extended relational algebra (grouping, aggregation, and sorting) have no corresponding features in the version of Datalog that we discussed today
- Similarly, Datalog can express recursion, which relational algebra cannot

Datalog vs. Relational Algebra



RA to Datalog by Examples

Schema for our examples:

R(A, B, C)

S(D, E, F)

T(G, H)

RA to Datalog by Examples

Union $R(A, B, C) \cup S(D, E, F)$

$U(x, y, z) :- R(x, y, z)$

$U(x, y, z) :- S(x, y, z)$

RA to Datalog by Examples

Intersection $R(A, B, C) \cap S(D, E, F)$

$I(x, y, z) :- R(x, y, z), S(x, y, z)$

RA to Datalog by Examples

Selection: $\sigma_{x>100 \text{ and } y=\text{'some string'}}(R)$

$L(x, y, z) :- R(x, y, z), x > 100, y=\text{'some string'}$

Selection: $x > 100$ **or** $y=\text{'some string'}$

$L(x, y, z) :- R(x, y, z), x > 100$

$L(x, y, z) :- R(x, y, z), y=\text{'some string'}$

RA to Datalog by Examples

Equi-join: $R \bowtie_{R.A=S.D \text{ and } R.B=S.E} S$

$J(x, y, z, u, v, w) :- R(x, y, z), S(u, v, w), x=u, y=v$

$J(x, y, z, w) :- R(x, y, z), S(x, y, w)$

RA to Datalog by Examples

Projection $\pi_x(R)$

$P(x) :- R(x, y, z)$

RA to Datalog by Examples

To express set difference $R - S$,
we add negation

$D(x, y, z) :- R(x, y, z), \text{ not } S(x, y, z)$

Examples

R(A, B, C)

S(D, E, F)

T(G, H)

Translate: $\Pi_A(\sigma_{B=3}(R))$

B(a, b, c) :- R(a, b, c), b=3

A(a) :- B(a, b, c)

Examples

R(A, B, C)

S(D, E, F)

T(G, H)

Translate: $\Pi_A(\sigma_{B=3}(R))$

A(a) :- R(a, 3, _)

Underscore used to denote an "anonymous variable",
a variable that appears only once.

Examples

R(A, B, C)

S(D, E, F)

T(G, H)

Translate: $\Pi_A(\sigma_{B=3}(R) \bowtie_{R.A=S.D} \sigma_{E=5}(S))$

A(a) :- R(a, 3, _), S(a, 5, _)

Friend(name1, name2)

Enemy(name1, name2)

More Examples

Find Joe's friends, and friends of Joe's friends.

$A(x) :- \text{Friend}('Joe', x)$

$A(x) :- \text{Friend}('Joe', z), \text{Friend}(z, x)$

Friend(name1, name2)

Enemy(name1, name2)

More Examples

Find all of Joe's friends who **do not** have **any** friends except for Joe:

- NonAns(x): all people (of Joe's friends) who **have some** friends who are not Joe

```
JoeFriends(x) :- Friend('Joe', x)
NonAns(x) :- Friend(y, x), y != 'Joe'
A(x) :- JoeFriends(x), not NonAns(x)
```

Friend(name1, name2)

Enemy(name1, name2)

More Examples

Find all people such that **all** their enemies' enemies **are** their friends

- NonAns(x): all people such that **some of** their enemies' enemies are **not** their friends

NonAns(x) :- Enemy(x, y), Enemy(y, z), not Friend(x, z)

A(x) :- Everyone(x), not NonAns(x)

Everyone(x) :- Friend(x, y)

Everyone(x) :- Friend(y, x)

Everyone(x) :- Enemy(x, y)

Everyone(x) :- Enemy(y, x)

Friend(name1, name2)

Enemy(name1, name2)

More Examples

Find all people x who have **only** friends **all** of whose enemies are x 's enemies.

- NonAns(x): all people x who have **some** friends **some** of whose enemies are **not** x 's enemies

what's wrong with this?

NonAns(x) :- Friend(x, y), Enemy(y, z), not Enemy(x, z)
A(x) :- not NonAns(x)

NonAns(x) :- Friend(x, y), Enemy(y, z), not Enemy(x, z)
A(x) :- Everyone(x), not NonAns(x)

Datalog Summary

- facts (extensional relations) and rules (intensional relations)
 - rules can use relations, arithmetic, union, intersect, ...
- As with SQL, existential quantifiers are easier
 - use negation to handle universal
- Everything expressible in RA is expressible in non-recursive Datalog and vice versa
 - recursive Datalog can express more than (extended) RA
 - extended RA can express more than recursive Datalog