CSE 413 Programming Languages & Implementation Hal Perkins

Spring 2023 Java Implementation – JVMs, JITs &c

Agenda

- Java virtual machine architecture
- .class files
- Class loading
- Execution engines
 - Interpreters & JITs various strategies
- Exception Handling

Java Implementation Overview


- Java compiler (javac et al) produces machineindependent .class files
 - Target architecture is Java Virtual Machine (JVM), a simple stack machine
- Java execution engine (java)
 - Loads .class files (often from libraries)
 - Executes code
 - Either interprets stack machine code or (more common these days) compiles to native code (JIT)
- Demo

JVM Architecture

- Abstract stack machine
- Implementation not required to use JVM specification literally
 - Only requirement is that execution of .class files has the specified effect
 - Multiple implementation strategies depending on goals
 - Compilers vs interpreters
 - Optimizing for servers vs workstations

Stack Machine Code Example

Hypothetical code for x = 2 * (m + n)



Compact: common opcodes just 1 byte wide; instructions have 0 or 1 operand

JVM Data Types

- Primitive types
 - byte, short, int, long, char, float, double, boolean
- Reference types
 - Non-generic only (more on this later)

JVM Runtime Data Areas (1)

- Semantics defined by the JVM Specification
 - Implementer may do anything that preserves these semantics
- Per-thread data
 - pc register
 - Stack
 - Holds frames (details below)
 - Can be a real stack or can be heap allocated

JVM Runtime Data Areas (2)

- Per-VM data shared by all threads
 - Heap objects allocated here (new)
 - Method area per-class data for all methods declared in the class
 - Runtime constant pool
 - Field and method data
 - Code for methods and constructors
- Native method stacks
 - Regular C-like stacks or equivalent

Frames

- Created when method invoked; destroyed when method completes
 - This is why Java "lambdas" aren't real first-class closures – environments are not retained when the creating function exits
- Allocated on stack of creating thread
- Contents
 - Local variables
 - Operand stack used by JVM instructions
 - Reference to runtime constant pool
 - Symbolic data that supports dynamic linking
 - Anything else the implementer wants

Representation of Objects

- Implementer's choice
 - JVM spec 3.7: "The Java virtual machine does not mandate any particular internal structure for objects"
 - Likely possibilities
 - Data + pointer to Class object
 - Pair of pointers: one to heap-allocated data, one to Class object

JVM Instruction Set

- Stack machine architecture
- Byte stream for instructions
- Instruction format
 - 1 byte opcode
 - 0 or more bytes of operands
- Instructions encode type information
 - Verified when class loaded

Instruction Sampler (1)

- Load/store
 - Transfer values between local variables and operand stack
 - Different opcodes for int, float, double, addresses
 - Load, store, load immediate
 - Special encodings for local load0, load1, load2, load3; store0, store1, store2, store3 to provide compact code for first few local variables

Instruction Sampler (2)

- Arithmetic
 - Again, different opcodes for different types
 - byte, short, char & boolean use int instructions
 - Pop operands from operand stack, push result onto operand stack
 - Add, subtract, multiply, divide, remainder, negate, shift, and, or, increment, compare
- Stack management
 - Pop, dup, swap

Instruction Sampler (3)

- Type conversion
 - Widening int to long, float, double; long to float, double, float to double
 - Narrowing int to byte, short, char; double to int, long, float, etc.

Instruction Sampler (4)

- Object creation & manipulation
 - New class instance
 - New array
 - Static field access
 - Array element access
 - Array length
 - Instanceof, checkcast

Instruction Sampler (5)

- Control transfer
 - Unconditional branch goto, jsr (originally used to implement finally blocks)
 - Conditional branch ifeq, iflt, ifnull, etc.
 - Compound conditional branches switch

Instruction Sampler (6)

- Method invocation
 - invokevirtual
 - invokeinterface
 - invokespecial (constructors, superclass, private)
 - invokestatic
- Method return
 - Typed value-returning instructions
 - Return for void methods

Instruction Sampler (7)

- Exceptions: athrow
- Synchronication
 - Model is *monitors* (cf a standard operating system or concurrent programming textbook)
 - monitorenter, monitorexit
 - Memory model greatly cleaned up in Java 5

JVM and Generics

- Surprisingly, JVM has no knowledge of generic types
 - Not checked at runtime, not available for reflection, etc.
- Compiler erases all generic type info
 - Resulting code is pre-generics Java
 - Objects are class Object in resulting code & appropriate casts are added
- Only one instance of each type-erased class no code expansion/duplication (unlike C++ template expansion)
- Demo

Generics and Type Erasure

- Why did they do that?
 - Compatibility: need to interop with existing code that doesn't use generics
 - Existing non-generic code and new generic libraries, or
 - Newly written code and older non-generic classes
- Tradeoffs: only reasonable way to add generics given existing world way back then, but
 - Generic type information unavailable at runtime (casts, instanceof, reflection)
 - Can't create new instance or array of generic type
- C#/CLR is different generics reflected in CLR

Class File Format

- Basic requirements are tightly specified
- Implementations can extend
 - Examples: data to support debugging or profiling
 JVMs must ignore extensions they don't recognize
- Very high-level, symbolic, lots of metadata much of the symbol table/type/other attribute data produced by a compiler front end
 - Supports dynamic class loading
 - Allows runtime compilation (JITs), etc.

Class Loaders

- One or more class loader (instances of ClassLoader or its derived classes) is associated with each JVM
- Responsible for loading the bits and preparing them
- Different class loaders may have different policies
 - Eager vs lazy class loading, cache binary representations, etc.
- May be user-defined, or the initial built-in bootstrap class loader

Readying .class Files for Execution

- Several distinct steps
 - Loading
 - Linking
 - Verification
 - Preparation
 - Resolution of symbolic references
 - Initialization

Virtual Machine Startup

- Initial class specified in implementation-defined manner
 - Command line, IDE option panel, etc.
- JVM uses bootstrap class loader to load, link, and initialize that class
- public static void main(String[]) method of initial class is executed to drive all further execution

Execution Engines

- Basic Choices
 - Interpret JVM bytecodes directly
 - Compile bytecodes to native code (x86, arm, etc.), which then executes on the native processor
 - Just-In-Time compiler (JIT)

Hybrid Implementations

- Interpret or use very simple compiler most of the time
- Identify "hot spots" by dynamic profiling
 - Often per-method counter incremented on each call
 - Or timer-based sampling, etc.
- Run optimizing JIT on hot code
 - Data-flow analysis, standard compiler middle-end optimizations, back-end instruction selection/ scheduling & register allocation
 - Need to balance compilation cost against responsiveness, expected benefits
 - Different tradeoffs for desktop vs server JVMs

JIT optimization implications

- JVM optimized code often combines code from multiple classes
 - One particularly common optimization: inlining
 - Replace calls to getter/setter methods with copies of method bodies (load/store from mem)
 - Often extremely effective (almost essential for good performance with lots of getter/setters), but if any class is reloaded, other compiled code that depended on previous version is no longer valid
 - JVM has logic to detect this and invalidate previously compiled code, forcing JIT to rerun if needed to optimize

C# and Microsoft CLR

- Very similar to Java basic compiler generates byte code files that are combined for execution
- Big implementation difference: basic CLR compiles everything to native code when assemblies created – no JIT interpreter + compiler for hot spots
- Other differences: various extensions for Microsoftspecific environments

Memory Management

- JVM includes instructions for creating objects and arrays, but not deleting
- Garbage collection used to reclaim no-longer needed storage (objects, arrays, classes, ...)
- Strong type system means GC can have exact information
 - .class file includes type information
 - GC can have exact knowledge of layouts since these are internal to the JVM

Escape Analysis

- Another optimization based on observation that many methods allocate local objects as temporaries
- Idea: Compiler tries to prove that no reference to a locally allocated object can "escape"
 - Not stored in a global variable or object
 - Not passed as a parameter

Using Escape Analysis

- If all references to an object are local, it doesn't need to be allocated on the heap in the usual manner
 - Can allocate storage for it in local stack frame
 - Essentially zero cost
 - Still need to preserve the semantics of new, constructor, etc.

Exception Handling

- Goal: should have zero cost if no exceptions are thrown
 - Otherwise programmers will subvert exception handling with the excuse of "performance"
- Corollary: cannot execute any exception handling code on entry/exit from individual methods or try blocks

Implementing Exception Handling

- Idea: Original compiler generates table of exception handler information in the .class file
 - Entries include start and end of section of code array protected by this handler; argument type
 - Order of entries is significant
- When exception is thrown, JVM searches exception table for first matching argument type that has a pc range that includes the current execution location
 - So try/catch has no cost until an exception is thrown, but relatively expensive to handle

Summary

- That's the overview many more details, obviously, if you want to implement a JVM
- Primary reference: Java Virtual Machine Specification. Available online: https://docs.oracle.com/javase/specs/
- Many additional research papers & studies all over the web and in conference proceedings