CSE 413 Programming Languages & Implementation

Hal Perkins

Spring 2021 Delayed Evaluation, Thunks, Streams, Memoization

Hal Perkins

Today

- Racket top-level: forward references and evil mutation
- cons and mutable mcons cells
- Delaying evaluation: Function bodies evaluated only at application
- Key idioms of delaying evaluation
 - Conditionals
 - Laziness
 - Streams
 - Memoization
- In general, evaluation rules defined by language semantics
 - Some languages have "lazy" function application!

Top-level definitions

Racket top-level allows forward references and mutation of bindings

• Racket (and Scheme) do have assignment: (set! x e)

- But used only when really! appropriate!!

- What should a name clash do? (In fact, it's mutation.)
- How can you program defensively?
 - General point: Make a local copy!
- What do Racketers do in practice?
 - Don't mutate top-level bindings
 - Use a module system for namespace management

cons and mcons

- cons just makes a pair
 - By convention and standard library, lists are nested pairs that eventually end with null
- In Racket, cons cells are immutable (several good reasons for this)
- mcons cells are mutable mutable pairs are sometimes useful
 - Racket has a parallel universe of functions for these: mcons, mcar, mcdr, mpair? (also mlist and more if you put (require racket/mpair) at the top of your code)
 - Can mutate the car and cdr of a mcons cell with set-mcar!
 and set-mcdr!

Delayed Evaluation

For each language construct, there are rules governing when subexpressions get evaluated. In Racket, Java, and most conventional languages:

- function arguments are "eager" (*call-by-value*)
- conditional branches are not

We could define a language in which function arguments were not evaluated before call, but instead at each use of argument in body. (*call-by-name*)

- Sometimes faster: (lambda (x) 3)
- Sometimes slower: (lambda (x) (+ x x))
- Equivalent *only* if function arguments have no side effects and terminate when evaluated

<u>Thunks</u>

We know how to delay evaluation: put expressions in a function!

- Behave just the same thanks to closures
- Call the function when you need the value

A "thunk" is just a function taking no arguments, which works great for delaying evaluation.

• Can be verbed: *thunk* the expression

Example: Can't define if with eager evaluation, but can with thunks.

Best of both worlds?

The "lazy" (*call-by-need*) rule: Evaluate the argument, the first time it's used. Save answer for subsequent uses.

- Asymptotically it's the best
- But behind-the-scenes bookkeeping can be costly
- And it's hard to reason about with effects
 - Typically used in (sub)languages without effects
- Nonetheless, a key idiom with syntactic support in Racket
 - Which we reimplemented with force-eval and delay-eval
 - And related to *memoization*

<u>Streams</u>

- A stream is an "infinite" list you can ask for the rest of it as many times as you like and you'll never get null.
- The universe is finite, so a stream must really be an object that acts like an infinite list.
- The idea: use a function to describe what comes next.

Note: Deep connection to sequential feedback circuits

• One new value on each clock cycle

Note: Connection to UNIX pipes

• cmd1 | cmd2 has cmd2 "pull" data from cmd1.

Streams in Racket

A pretty straightforward idiom:

• A stream is a thunk that when called returns a pair:

(next-answer . next-thunk)

- So "going another iteration with result pr" is ((cdr pr))
- One thunk creating another thunk: use recursion
- Nice division of labor:
 - $-\,$ stream-creator knows how to generate values
 - stream-client knows how many are needed and what to do with each
- (No new semantics; just new idiom)

Using Streams

Given a stream st, the client can get any number of elements

- First: (car (st))
- Second: (car ((cdr (st))))
- Third: (car ((cdr ((st)))))

(Usually bind (cdr st()) to a variable or pass it to a recursive function)

<u>Memoization</u>

A "cache" of previous results is equivalent if results cannot change.

- Could be slower: cache too big or computation too cheap
- Could be faster: just a lookup
- In our fibonacci example it turns an exponential algorithm into a linear algorithm

An association list is not the fastest data structure for large memo tables, but works fine for 413.

Question: Why does assoc return the pair?