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## Procedural abstractions

- So far, we have talked about primitive data elements and done various levels of abstraction using procedures only
» This is a key capability in being able to recognize and implement common behaviors
- The ability to combine data elements will further extend our ability to model the world


## References

- Section 15.5, Concepts of Programming Languages
- Sections 6.3.2, Revised ${ }^{5}$ Report on the Algorithmic Language Scheme (R5RS)
- For more:
» Sections 2-2.2.1, Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs


## Compound data

- In order to build compound structures we need a way to combine elements and refer to them as a single blob
- We can write a lambda expression that combines one or more expressions
- We can write a cons expression that ties two data elements together


## (cons a b)

- Takes a and b as args, returns a compound data object that contains a and b as its parts
- We can extract the two parts with accessor functions car and cdr ("could-er")
(define a (cons 1 2))



## car and cdr

(define a (cons 12 ))
( $\operatorname{car} \mathrm{a}$ )
(cdr a)

(car (cons 34))
(cdr (cons 34))



- (pair? z ) is true if z is a pair
(define c (cons (cons 12$)($ cons 3 4)))
(pair? c)
(pair? (car c))
(pair? (cdr c))
(pair? (caar c))
(pair? (cdar c))

(car (cdr c))
(define c (cons (cons 12) (cons 34)))
( $\operatorname{car}(\operatorname{car} c)$ )
( $\operatorname{car}(\operatorname{car} c))$
$(\operatorname{cdr}(\operatorname{car} c))$
$\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}(\operatorname{cdr} & (\operatorname{car} \\ C\end{array}\right)\right)$
$\left(\operatorname{cdr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}(\operatorname{cdr} & c))\end{array}\right.\right.$


- if there is no element present for the car or cdr branch of a pair, we indicate that with the value nil
» nil (or null) represents the empty list '()
- (null? z ) is true if z is nil

```
(define d (cons 1 '()))
    (car d)
    (cdr d)
    (null? (car d))
    (null? (cdr d))
```

d


An Application Programming Interface (API)
» cons - constructor
» car, cdr - accessor functions

- We may think we know how they are stored
» box-and-pointer drawings
» pointers to pointer blocks ...
- But if we can stay at the API level, the separation between layers of implementation can stay clean which is a "good thing"


## Can we implement cons/car/cdr?

- If we focus on the behaviors that are defined what do we actually need to do?
- (cons a b)
- (car something)
- (cdr something)

Procedural representation of pairs

## definition

(define (cons $\mathrm{x} y$ )
(lambda (m) (m x y)))
(define (car z)
( z (lambda (p q) p)))
(define (cdr z)
(z (lambda (p q) q)))

## something

- We tend to think of the something returned by cons as a structured data variable of some sort
- However, the only actual requirement on something is that we can recover a and b from it using procedures named car and cdr
- How about we use a procedure definition for something ...


## Procedural cons and car

cons
(define (cons $\mathrm{x} y$ )
(lambda (m) (m x y) ))
car
(define (car $z$ )
(z (lambda (p q) p)))

## Lexical closure

- Take another look at the definition of cons
(define (cons $x y$ )
(lambda (m) (m x y)))
(define (car z)
(z (lambda (p q) p)))
- Where did the values of x and y come from?
- Are they still around when we call car / cdr?


## current symbol definitions

- Lambda expressions evaluate to what is called a lexical closure
» a coupling of code and a lexical environment (a scope)
» The lexical environment is necessary because the code needs a place to look up the definitions of symbols it references

| definition and execution |
| :---: |
| (define (cons $x$ y) <br> (lambda (m) (m x y))) <br> - $x$ and $y$ are referenced in the environment of the lambda expression's definition <br> » its lexical environment, which is in the definition of cons <br> - not the environment of its execution » its dynamic environment, which is in car |



## Pairs are the glue

- Using cons to build pairs, we can build data structures of unlimited complexity
- We can roll our own
- We can adopt a standard and use it for the basic elements of more complex structures


## List construction

(define e (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 '()))))
e $\longrightarrow$

(define e (list 123 ))



## How to process lists?

- A list is zero or more connected pairs
- Each node is a pair
- Thus the parts of a list (this pair, following pairs) are lists
- A natural way to express list operations?



## Yet another list function

(define e (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 '()))))
(define (zip z)
(if (pair? z)
(begin
(display (car z)) (display " ") (zip (cdr z))) (newline)))
(zip e)


(same-parity x . y)

```
    (define (same-parity x . y)
    *.
    > (same-parity 1 2 3 4 5 6 7)
    (1 3 5 5 7)
    > (same-parity 2 3 4 4 5 6 7)
    (2 4 6)
    >
```

The first argument value is assigned to x , all the rest are assigned as a list to $y$

## Variable number of arguments

- We can define a procedure that has zero or more required parameters, plus provision for a variable number of parameters to follow
» The required parameters are named in the define statement as usual
» They are followed by a "." and a single parameter name
- At runtime, the single parameter name will be given a list of all the remaining actual parameter values
$\square$
map
- We can use the general purpose function map to map over the elements of a list and apply some function to them

```
(define (map p m)
    (if (null? m)
        '()
        (cons (p (car m))
            (map p (cdr m)))))
(define (double-all m)
    (map (lambda (x) (* 2 x)) m))
```

