Software Architecture

“Good software architecture makes the rest of the project easy.”
Steve McConnell, Survival Guide

“There are two ways of constructing a software design: one way is to make it so simple that there are obviously no deficiencies; the other is to make it so complicated that there are no obvious deficiencies.”
C.A.R. Hoare (1985)

The basic problem

How do you bridge the gap between requirements and code?

One answer

A miracle happens!

A better answer

Provide a high level framework to build and evolve the system

Box-and-arrow diagrams

Very common, hugely valuable.

But, what does a box represent? an arrow? a layer? adjacent boxes?

SW architecture gives these meaning

Components define the basic computations comprising the system, and their behaviors — abstract data types, filters, etc.

Connectors define the interconnections between components — procedure call, event announcement, asynchronous message sends, etc.

The line between them may be fuzzy at times — Ex: A connector might (de)serialize data, but can it perform other, richer computations?

An architecture bridges the gap between requirements and code
A good architecture

- Satisfies functional and performance requirements
- Manages complexity
- Accommodates future change
- Also: reliability, safety, understandability, compatibility, robustness, ...

Divide and conquer

- Benefits of decomposition:
  - Decrease size of tasks
  - Support independent testing and analysis
  - Separate work assignments
  - Ease understanding
- Use of abstraction leads to modularity
  - Implementation techniques: information hiding, interfaces
- To achieve modularity, you need:
  - Strong cohesion within a component
  - Loose coupling between components
  - And these properties should be true at each level

Qualities of modular software

- decomposable
  - can be broken down into pieces
- composable
  - pieces are useful and can be combined
- understandable
  - one piece can be examined in isolation
- has continuity
  - reqs. change affects few modules
- protectable / safe
  - an error affects few other modules

Interface and implementation

- public interface: data and behavior of the object that can be seen and executed externally by "client" code
- private implementation: internal data and methods in the object, used to help implement the public interface, but cannot be directly accessed
- client: code that uses your class/subsystem

  - Example: radio
    - public interface is the speaker, volume buttons, station dial
    - private implementation is the guts of the radio; the transistors, capacitors, voltage readings, frequencies, etc. that user should not see

UML module diagrams

- Express
  - Subclassing
  - Use (dependence)

Loose coupling

- coupling: assesses the kind and quantity of interconnections among modules

  - Modules that are loosely coupled (or uncoupled) are better than those that are tightly coupled

  - The more tightly coupled are two modules, the harder it is to work with them separately, and thus the benefits become more limited
Strong cohesion

• *cohesion* refers to how closely the operations in a module are related

• Tight relationships improve clarity and understanding

• Classes with good abstraction usually have strong cohesion

• No schizophrenic classes!

An architecture helps with

• System understanding – describes the interactions between modules

• Reuse – by defining the high level components, we can see if there is opportunity for reuse

• Construction – breaks development down into work items; provides a path from requirements to code

• Evolution – see reuse

• Management – helps understand the work to do, and the work done; track progress

• Communication – gives you a vocabulary; pictures say 1000 words

Architectural style

• Defines the vocabulary of components and connectors for a family (style)

• Constraints on the elements and their combination
  – Topological constraints (no cycles, register/announce relationships, etc.)
  – Execution constraints (timing, etc.)

• By choosing a style, one gets all the known properties of that style (for any architecture in that style)
  – Ex: performance, lack of deadlock, ease of making particular classes of changes, etc.
Not just boxes and arrows

- Consider pipes & filters, for example (Garlan and Shaw)
  - Pipes must compute local transformations
  - Filters must not share state with other filters
  - There must be no cycles
- If these constraints are not satisfied, it's not a pipe & filter system
  - One can't tell this from a picture
  - One can formalize these constraints

The design and the reality

- The code is often less clean than the design
- The design is still useful
  - Communication among team members
  - Selected deviations can be explained more concisely and with clearer reasoning

Architectural mismatch

- Garlan, Allen, Ockerbloom tried to build a toolset to support software architecture definition from existing components
  - OODB (OBST)
  - graphical user interface toolkit (Interviews)
  - RPC mechanism (MIG/Mach RPC)
  - Event-based tool integration mechanism (Softbench)
- It went to hell in a handbasket, not because the pieces didn't work, but because they didn't fit together
  - Excessive code size
  - Poor performance
  - Needed to modify out-of-the-box components (e.g., memory allocation)
- Architecture should warn about such problems (identify problems)

Importance of views

Multiple views are needed to understand the different dimensions of systems

Web application (client-server)
**Model-View-Controller**

Separates the application object (model) from the way it is represented to the user (view) from the way in which the user controls it (controller).

**Pipe and filter**

Pipe – passes the data

Filter - computes on the data

Each stage of the pipeline acts independently of the others. Can you think of a system based on this architecture?

**Blackboard architectures**

- The knowledge sources: separate, independent units of application dependent knowledge. No direct interaction among knowledge sources.
- The blackboard data structure: problem-solving state data. Knowledge sources make changes to the blackboard that lead incrementally to a solution to the problem.
- Control: driven entirely by state of the blackboard. Knowledge sources respond opportunistically to changes in the blackboard.

Blackboard systems have traditionally been used for applications requiring complex interpretations of signal processing, such as speech and pattern recognition.

**Hearsay-II: blackboard**