





What makes a good tester?

- 1 Analytical
 - 1 Ask the right questions
 - 1 Develop experiments to get answers
- 1 Methodical
 - 1 Follow experimental procedures precisely
 - Document observed behaviors, their precursors and environment
- 1 Brutally honest
 - 1 You can't argue with the data

How do test engineers fail?

- 1 Desire to "make it work"
 - 1 Impartial judge, not "handyman"
- 1 Trust in opinion or expertise
- 1 Trust no one the truth (data) is in there
- 1 Failure to follow defined test procedure
 - How did we get here?
- 1 Failure to document the data
- 1 Failure to believe the data

Testability



- Can all of the feature's code paths be exercised through APIs, events/messages, etc.?
 Unreachable internal states
- 1 Can the feature's behavior be programmatically verified?
- Is the feature too complex to test?
 Consider configurations, locales, etc.
- ¹ Can the feature be tested timely with available resources?
 - 1 Long test latency = late discovery of faults

Test Categories

- 1 Functional
- 1 Does it work? Valid/invalid, error conditions, boundaries
- 1 Performance
- 1 How fast/big/high/etc.?
- 1 Security
 - Access only to those authorized
 - Those authorized can always get access
- 1 Stress
- Working stress
 Breaking stress how does it fail?
- Delie bility (Averile bility)
- 1 Reliability/Availability

Test Documentation

- 1 Test Plan
 - 1 Scope of testing
 - 1 Product assumptions
 - Dependencies
 - 1 Tools and Techniques
 - Acceptance criteria
- Encompasses all categories



1 Conditions precedent

1 Test Cases

- 1 Actual instructions, step
- 1 Expected results
- 1 Sorted by category



Manual Testing



- Definition: test that requires direct human intervention with SUT
- 1 Necessary when:
- 1 GUI is tested element
- Behavior is premised on physical activity (e.g. card insertion)
- 1 Advisable when:
 - 1 Automation is more complex than SUT
 - 1 SUT is changing rapidly (early development)

Automated Testing 1 Good: replaces manual testing

- Better: performs tests difficult for manual testing (e.g. timing related issues)
- Best: enables other types of testing (regression, perf, stress, lifetime)
- 1 Risks:
 - 1 Time investment to write automated tests
 - 1 Tests may need to change when features change

Types of Automation Tools: Record/Playback



- Record "proper" run through test procedure (inputs and outputs)
- 1 Play back inputs, compare outputs with recorded values
- 1 Advantage: requires little expertise
- Disadvantage: little flexibility easily invalidated by product change
- 1 Disadvantage: update requires manual involvement

Types of Automation Tools: Scripted Record/Playback



- 1 Fundamentally same as simple record/playback
- Record of inputs/outputs during manual test input is converted to script
- Advantage: existing tests can be maintained as programs
- 1 Disadvantage: requires more expertise
- 1 Disadvantage: fundamental changes can ripple through MANY scripts

Types of Automation Tools: Script Harness

- 1 Tests are programmed as modules, then run by harness
- 1 Harness provides control and reporting
- 1 Advantage: tests can be very flexible
- 1 Advantage: tests can exercise features similar to customers' code
- Disadvantage: requires considerable expertise and abstract process

Types of Automation Tools: Model Based Testing

- ${\scriptstyle 1}$ Model is designed from same spec as product
- 1 Tests are designed to exercise model
- 1 Advantage: great flexibility
- 1 Advantage: test cases can be generated algorithmically
- Disadvantage: requires considerable expertise and high-level abstract process
- ¹ Disadvantage: *two* opportunities to misinterpret specification/design

Test Corpus



- 1 Body of data that generates known results
- 1 Can be obtained from
 - 1 Real world demonstrates customer experience
 - 1 Test generator more deterministic
- 1 Caveats
 - 1 Bias in data generation?
 - 1 Don't share test corpus with developers!

Instrumented Code: Test Hooks

- 1 Code that enables non-invasive testing
- 1 Code remains in shipping product
- 1 May be enabled through
 - 1 Special API
 - 1 Special argument or argument value
 - 1 Registry value or environment variable
- 1 Example: Windows CE IOCTLs
- 1 Risk: silly customers....

Instrumented Code: Diagnostic Compilers



- Creates 'instrumented' SUT for testing
 Profiling where does the time go?
 - Code coverage what code was touched?
 Really evaluates testing, NOT code quality
 - Syntax/coding style discover bad coding
 - 1 lint, the original syntax checker
 - 1 Prefix/Prefast, the latest version
 - Complexity Analysis
 - 1 Very esoteric, often disputed (religiously)
 - 1 Example: function point counting

Instrumented platforms

- 1 Example: App Verifier
 - ¹ Supports 'shims' to instrument standard system calls such as memory allocation
 - Tracks all activity, reports errors such as unreclaimed allocations, multiple frees, use of freed memory, etc.
- ¹ Win32 includes 'hooks' for platform instrumentation
- 1 Example: emulators

Environment Management Tools

- Predictably simulate real-world situations
 MemHog
 - 1 DiskHog
 - 1 CPU 'eater'
 - Data Channel Simulator
- 1 Reliably reproduce environment
 - Source control tools
 - 1 Consistent build environment
 - 1 Disk imaging tools







1 If the SUT works to spec and someone wants it changed, that's a feature request

What do I do once I find one?



- 1 Bug tracking is a valuable tool
 - Ensures the bug isn't forgotten
 - 1 Highlights recurring issues
 - 1 Supports formal resolution/regression process
 - 1 Provides important product cycle data
 - Can support 'higher level' metrics, e.g. root cause analysis
 - 1 Valuable information for field support

What are the contents of a bug report?

- 1 Repro steps how did you cause the failure?
- 1 Observed result what did it do?
- 1 Expected result what should it have done?
- ¹ Collateral information: return values/output, debugger, etc.
- 1 Environment
- 1 Test platforms must be reproducible
- 1 "It doesn't do it on my machine"

Tracking Bugs

- Raw bug count
 Slope is useful predictor
- Ratio by ranking
 How bad are the bugs we're finding?
- Find rate vs. fix rate
 One step forward, two back?
- 1 Management choices
- 1 Load balancing
- 1 Review of development quality







To beta, or not to beta



- Quality bar for beta release: features mostly work if you use them right
- 1 Pro:
 - 1 Get early customer feedback on design
 - 1 Real-world workflows find many important bugs
- 1 Con:
 - 1 Do you have time to incorporate beta feedback?
 - 1 A beta release takes time and resources

Developer Preview

- 1 Different quality bar than beta
 - 1 Known defects, even crashing bugs
 - $\ \ \,$ 1 Known conflicts with previous version
 - 1 Setup/uninstall not completed
- 1 Goals
 - Review of feature set
 - Review of API set by technical consumers

Dogfood

- 1 "So good, we eat it ourselves"
- 1 Advantage: real world use patterns
- 1 Disadvantage: impact on productivity
- ${\scriptstyle 1}\,$ At Microsoft: we model our customers
 - 1 60K employees
 - 1 Broad range of work assignments, software savvy
 - 1 Wide ranging network (worldwide)

When can I ship?

- 1 Test coverage is "sufficient"
- 1 Bug slope, find vs. fix lead to convergence
- 1 Severity mix is primarily low-sev
- 1 Priority mix is primarily low-pri