

## What we're going to cover

Representations for **Intermediate R**epresentation

- also the implementation

Building IR (in PI/0)

## Generating IIR from ASTs

Intermediate Representation (**IIR**):

- language-independent
  - allows multiple frontends
- machine-independent
  - facilitates retargeting to multiple architectures:

Common representations:

\*\* **three-address code**

- syntax trees & DAGs
- postfix notation

## Syntax trees & postfix notation

a := b \* -c + b \* -c

**Syntax trees & DAGs:** reflect hierarchical structure of the source program

## Three-address code

Sequence of three-address statements of the form:

x := y op z

**Syntax trees & DAGs:** reflect hierarchical structure of the source program

```
t1 := - c          t1 := - c
t2 := b * t1      t2 := b * t1
t3 := - c          t5 := t2 + t2
t4 := b * t3      a := t5
t5 := t2 + t4
a := t5
```

for: a := b \* -c + b \* -c

**Postfix notation:** linearized representation of AST

a b c uminus \* b c uminus \* + assign

Implementation:

- record for each node (operator, operand)
- pointers to connect nodes

## Types of 3-address code

### Assignment statements:

```
x := y op z  
x := op y  
x := y  
x := y[i]  
x[i] := y  
x := &y  
x := *y      (y = address)  
x := *(a + o) (a = address, o = offset)  
*x := y  
*(a + o) := y
```

## Types of 3-address code

### Unconditional jumps:

```
goto label1
```

### Conditional jumps:

```
if x relop y goto label1
```

### Param, call, return:

```
p(x1, x2, ..., xn)  
param x1 (push parameter on stack)  
...  
param xn  
call p, n  
return y
```

## Three-address code

### Advantages:

- + simple
- + machine code-like statements
  - operations similar to opcodes
  - operands = 2 sources, 1 destination
  - statements can have labels
    - ⇒ easy conversion to target code
- + explicit names for intermediate values
  - ⇒ easy to perform optimizations that rearrange or eliminate statements
- + control flow becomes explicit
  - ⇒ optimizations

Used in gcc

- temporary names are in the symbol table
  - all operands are pointers to symbol table

## Implementation of 3-address code

### Quadruples

	op	arg1	arg2	result
(0)	uminus	c		t1
(1)	*	b	t1	t2
(2)	uminus	c		t3
(3)	*	b	t3	t4
(4)	+	t2	t4	t5
(5)	:=	t5		a

a := b \* -c + b \* -c

	beq	x	y	label
param	x			

## Implementation of 3-address code, cont'd.

### Triples

op	arg1	arg2
(0)	uminus	c
(1)	*	b
(2)	uminus	c
(3)	*	b
(4)	+	(1)
(5)	:=	a

- pointer to a triple instead of a temporary name
- only programmer-defined names are in symbol table

## Implementation of 3-address code, cont'd.

### Indirect triples

state- ment	op	arg1	arg2
(14)	uminus	c	
(15)	*	b	(14)
(16)	uminus	c	
(17)	*	b	(16)
(18)	+		(15)
(19)	:=	a	(18)

## Comparison

### Space

- + triples
- indirect triples
- quadruples

### Optimizations

- + quadruples: computation of a value & its use are separate
- + indirect triples: change statement list
- triples: optimizations that move a temporary value definition require changing all its uses

### Allocation of **storage** for temporaries

- + quadruples: can access temporaries immediately via symbol table
- indirect triples & triples: calculation deferred to code generation

## Generating IR

How:

- tree walk of the AST, bottom up, left to right
- assign to a new temporary for each result

Illustrate using pseudo-PI/O code

## Generating IR for variable references

Two cases:

- if want l-value: get an address
- if want r-value: get the value @ address

To compute l-value:

```
Name VarRef::codegen_addr(s, int& offset) {
    ste = s->lookup(_ident, foundScope);
    if (ste == NULL) ... // fatal error
    if (!ste->isVariable()) ... // Fatal error

    Name base = s->getFPOF(FoundScope);
    offset = ste->offset();
    // base + offset = address of variable

    return base;
}
```

To compute r-value:

```
Name LValue::codegen(s) {
    int offset;
    Name base = codegen_addr(s, offset);
    Name dest = new Name;
    emit(dest := *(base+offset));
    return dest;
}
```

Shared by all r-value syntax nodes (vars and arrays)

**VarRef::codegen** handles constants

## IR for variable references, cont'd.

## IR for literals

```
Name IntegerLiteral::codegen(s) {
    result = new Name;
    emit(result := _value);
    return result;
}
```

## IR for expressions

```
Name BinOp::codegen(s) {
    Name e1 = _left->codegen(s);
    Name e2 = _right->codegen(s);
    result = new Name;
    emit(result := e1 _op e2);
    return result;
}
```

Also unary operations

## IR for assignments

```
AssignStmt::codegen(s) {
    // compute address of l.h.s.:
    int offset;
    Name base = _value->codegen_addr
        (s, offset);

    // compute value of r.h.s.:
    Name result = _expr->codegen(s);

    // do assignment:
    emit(*(base + offset) := result);
}
```

## IR for array accesses

```
Source code:
array_expr[index_expr]

Generated IR code:
// address of location = a + offset
a := <addr of array_expr>
i := <value of index_expr>
offset := i * <size of element type>
result := a + offset
```

## Implementation of array access

```
Name ArrayRef::codegen_addr(s, int& offset) {
    // compute address of array:
    Name base =
        _array->codegen_addr(s, offset);

    // compute value of index:
    Name i = _index->codegen(s);

    // scale index by elem size to get array offset
    int esize =
        _array_type->elem_type()->size();
    Name arrayOffset = new Name;
    emit(arrayOffset := i * esize);

    // compute final base address:
    Name result = new Name;
    emit(result := base + arrayOffset);

    return result; // + offset!
}
```

## Calling functions

```
Push arguments, static link, call function
Return a value

Name FuncCall::codegen(s) {
    forall arguments, from left to right {

        if (arg is byValue) {
            // pass value of argument:
            arg = arg->codegen(s);
            emit(push arg);
        }

        else {
            // pass address of argument (NEW):
            int offset;
            base = arg->codegen_addr(s, offset);
            arg = new Name;
            emit(arg := base + offset);
            emit(push arg);
        }
    }

    ...
}
```

## Accessing call-by-reference parameters

```
...  
  
// compute & push static link:  
s->lookup(_ident, foundScope);  
Name staticLink = s->getFPOF(foundScope);  
emit(push staticLink);
```

```
...  
  
// generate call:  
emit(call _ident);
```

```
...  
  
staticLink // handle result (NEW):
```

```
Name result = new Name;  
emit(result := RET0);  
return result;  
}  
  
Name VarRef::codegen_address(s, int& offset) {  
    ste = s->lookup(_ident, foundScope);  
    // check for errors; defensive programming  
    ...  
  
    Name base = s->getFPOF(foundScope);  
    offset = ste->offset();  
  
    if (ste->isFormalByRef()) {  
        Name result = new Name;  
        emit(result := *(base + offset));  
        offset = 0;  
        base := result;  
    }  
  
    return base;  
}
```

## Control structures

Rewrite control structures using:

explicit labels and

conditional & unconditional branch IR instructions

E.g. **if** statement:

```
if test then stmts1 else stmts2 end;
    =>
t1 := test
if t1 = 0 goto _else // conditional branch
stmts1
goto _done
_else:
stmts2
_done:
```

## Code for if\_codegen

```
void Ifstmt::codegen(s) {
    // generate test expr into temp:
    Name t = _test->codegen(s);

    // generate conditional branch:
    Label else_lab = new Label;
    emit(if t = 0 goto else_lab);

    // generate then part:
    _then_stmts->codegen(s);

    // generate branch over else part:
    Label done_lab = new Label;
    emit(goto done_lab);

    // generate else part, with leading label:
    emit(else_lab:);
    _else_stmts->codegen(s);

    // finish up:
    emit(done_lab:);
}
```

## while statement

```
while test do stmts end;  
    ⇒  
    _loop:  
        t1 := test  
        if t1 = 0 goto _done  
        stmts  
        goto _loop  
    _done:
```

## IR codegen for break stmt

```
...  
while ... do  
    ...  
    if ... then  
        ...  
        break;  
    end;  
    ...  
...  
...
```

## Short-circuiting boolean expressions

How to support short-circuit evaluation of and and or

Example:

```
if x <> 0 and y / x > 5 then  
    b := y < x;  
end;
```

Treat as control structure, not as operator:

$\text{expr}_1$  and  $\text{expr}_2$

$\Rightarrow$

```
result :=  $\text{expr}_1$   
if result = 0 goto _done  
result :=  $\text{expr}_2$   
_done:
```

## Case statements

```
switch expr  
begin  
    case value1:stmt  
    case value2:stmt  
    ...  
    case valuen:stmt  
    default: stmt  
end
```

Implementation

- evaluate the expression
- find the matching value
  - conditional goto's
  - jump table
- execute the associated statement

## Case statements

value	label
value <sub>1</sub>	L <sub>1</sub>
value <sub>2</sub>	L <sub>2</sub>
...	...
value <sub>n</sub>	L <sub>n</sub>
default	L <sub>n+1</sub>

Implementation considerations:

- small number of values  $\Rightarrow$  **conditional goto's**
- $> 10$  values  $\Rightarrow$  **jump table**
  - values not consecutive:  
value part of table & search on value
  - values consecutive & value<sub>1</sub>  $\leq$  value  $\leq$  value<sub>n</sub>:  
index via value-value<sub>1</sub>
- $>> 10$  values  $\Rightarrow$  **hash table**