# CSE 401/M501 – Compilers

x86-64, Running MiniJava,
Basic Code Generation and Bootstrapping
Hal Perkins
Autumn 2019

## Running MiniJava Programs

- To run a MiniJava program
  - Space needs to be allocated for a stack and a heap
  - %rsp and other registers need to have sensible initial values
  - We need some way to allocate storage (new) and communicate with the outside world

## Bootstraping from C

- Idea: take advantage of the existing C runtime library
- Use a small C main program to call the MiniJava main method as if it were a C function
- C's standard library provides the execution environment and we can call C functions from compiled code for I/O, malloc, etc.

### Assembler File Format

- Compiler output is an assembly language program (ascii .s)
- GNU syntax is roughly this (src/runtime/demo.s in project starter code is a runnable example, although not generated by a MiniJava compiler)

```
.text # code segment
.globl asm_main # label at start of compiled static main
<generated code> # repeat .code/.data as needed
asm_main: # start of compiled "main"
...
.data
<generated method tables>
# repeat .text/.data as needed
...
end
```

#### **External Names**

- In a Linux environment, an external symbol is used as-is (xyzzy)
- In Windows and OS X, an external symbol xyzzy is written in asm code as \_xyzzy (leading underscore)
- Your compiler needs to generate code that runs on attu using Linux conventions, but if you want to support the other as an option, feel free to add a compiler switch or something

## Generating .asm Code

- Suggestion: isolate the actual compiler output operations in a handful of routines
  - Usual modularity reasons & saves some typing
  - Possibilities

```
// write code string s to .asm output
void gen(String s) { ... }
// write "op src,dst" to .asm output
void genbin(String op, String src, String dst) { ... }
// write label L to .asm output as "L:"
void genLabel(String L) { ... }
```

A handful of these methods should do it

## A Simple Code Generation Strategy

- Goal: quick 'n dirty correct code, optimize later if time
- Traverse AST primarily in execution order and emit code during the traversal
  - Codegen visitor might want to traverse the tree in adhoc ways depending on sequence that parts need to appear in the code
- Treat the x86-64 as a 1-register machine with a stack for additional intermediate values(!)
  - Ugly code, but will work better later if there's time

## (The?) Simplifying Assumption

- Store all values (reference, int, boolean) in 64bit quadwords
  - Natural size for 64-bit pointers, i.e., object references (variables of class types)
  - C's "long" size for integers
    - Use int64\_t or uint64\_t in any C code that interacts with MiniJava generated code to guarantee size (declared in <stdint.c>)

### x86 as a Stack Machine

- Idea: Use x86-64 stack for expression evaluation with %rax as the "top" of the stack
- Invariant: Whenever an expression (or part of one) is evaluated at runtime, the generated code leaves the result in %rax
- If a value needs to be preserved while another expression is evaluated, push %rax, evaluate, then pop when first value is needed
  - Remember: always pop what you push
  - Will produce lots of redundant, but correct, code
- Examples below follow code shape examples, but with more details about code generation

# Example: Generate Code for Constants and Identifiers

Integer constants, say 17 gen(movq \$17,%rax)

leaves value in %rax

Local variables (any type – int, bool, reference) gen(movq varoffset(%rbp),%rax)

## Example: Generate Code for exp1 + exp2

#### Visit exp1

- generates code to evaluate exp1 with result in %rax gen(pushq %rax)
  - push exp1 onto stack

#### Visit exp2

- generates code for exp2; result in %rax gen(popq %rdx)
- pop left argument into %rdx; clean up stack gen(addq %rdx,%rax)
  - perform the addition; result in %rax

## Example: var = exp; (1)

Assuming that var is a local variable Visit node for exp

 Generates code to eval exp and leave result in %rax gen(movq %rax,offset\_of\_variable(%rbp))

## Example: var = exp; (2)

If var is a more complex expression (object or array reference, for example)

visit var

gen(pushq %rax)

 push Ivalue (address) of variable or object containing variable onto stack

#### visit exp

leaves rhs value in %rax

```
gen(popq %rdx)
```

gen(movq %rax,appropriate\_offset(%rdx))

#### Example: Generate Code for obj.f(e1,e2,...en)

In principal the code should work like this:

Visit obj

 leaves reference to object in %rax gen(movq %rax,%rdi)

"this" pointer is first argument

Visit e1, e2, ..., en. For each argument,

gen(movq %rax,%correct\_argument\_register)

generate code to load method table pointer located at 0(%rdi) into some register, probably %rax generate call instruction with indirect jump

## Method Call Complications

- Big one: code to evaluate any argument might clobber argument registers (i.e., computing an argument value might require a method call)
  - Possible strategy to cope on next slides, but feel free to do something better
- Other one: what if a method has too many parameters?
  - OK for CSE 401/M501 to assume all methods have
     ≤ 5 parameters plus "this" do better if you want

## Method Calls in Parameters

- Suggestion to avoid trouble:
  - Evaluate parameters and push them on the stack
  - Right before the call instruction, pop the parameters into the correct registers
- But....

# Stack Alignment (1)

- Above idea hack works provided we don't call a method while an odd number of parameter values are pushed on the stack!
  - (violates 16-byte alignment on method call...)
- We have a similar problem if an odd number of intermediate values are pushed on the stack when we call a function while evaluating an expression
  - (We might get away with it if it only involves calls to our own generated, not library, code, but it would be wrong\* to do that)

\*i.e., might work, but it's not the right way to solve the problem

# Stack Alignment (2)

- Workable solution: keep a counter in the code generator of how much has been pushed on the stack. If needed, emit extra gen(pushq %rax) to align stack before generating a call instruction by pushing a useless value
  - Be sure to pop it after!!
- Another (cleaner, but more work) solution: make stack frame big enough and use movq instead of pushq to store arguments and temporaries
  - Will need some extra bookkeeping to keep track of how much to allocate and how temps are used

## Sigh...

- Multiple registers for method arguments is a big win compared to pushing on the stack, but complicates our life since we do not have a fancy register allocator
- Feel free to do better than this simple push/pop scheme – but remember, simple and works wins over fancy and not finished or broken

## Code Gen for Method Definitions

Generate label for method

Classname\$methodname:

Generate method prologue

Push %rbp, copy %rsp to %rbp, subtract frame size (multiple of 16) from %rsp

- Visit statements in order
  - Method epilogue is normally generated as part of a return statement (next)
  - In MiniJava the return is generated after visiting the method body to generate its code

## Registers again...

- Method parameters are in registers
- But code generated for methods also will be using registers, even if there are no calls to other methods
- So how do we avoid clobbering parameters?
- Suggestion: Allocate space in the stack frame and save copies of all parameter registers on method entry. Use those copies as local variables when you need to reference a parameter.

## Example: return exp;

- Visit exp; this leaves result in %rax where it should be
- Generate method epilogue (copy %rbp to %rsp, pop %rbp) to unwind the stack frame; follow with ret instruction

## **Control Flow: Unique Labels**

- Needed in code generator: a String-valued method that returns a different label each time it is called (e.g., L1, L2, L3, ...)
  - Improvement: a set of methods that generate different kinds of labels for different constructs (can really help readability of the generated code)
    - (while1, while2, while3, ...; if1, if2, ...; else1, else2, ...; fi1, fi2, ....)

### **Control Flow: Tests**

- Recall that the context for compiling a boolean expression is:
  - Label or address of jump target
  - Whether to jump if true or false
- So the visitor for a boolean expression should receive this information from the parent node

## Example: while(exp) body

 Assuming we want the test at the bottom of the generated loop...

```
gen(jmp testLabel)
gen(bodyLabel:)
visit body
gen(testLabel:)
visit exp (condition) with target=bodyLabel and
sense="jump if true"
```

## Example: exp1 < exp2

- Similar to other binary operators
- Difference: context is a target label and whether to jump if true or false
- Code

```
visit exp1
gen(pushq %rax)
visit exp2
gen(popq %rdx)
gen(cmpq %rdx,%rax)
gen(condjump targetLabel)
```

appropriate conditional jump depending on sense of test

## **Boolean Operators**

#### && (and || if you add it)

- Create label(s) needed to skip around the two parts of the expression
- Generate subexpressions with appropriate target labels and conditions

#### !exp

 Generate exp with same target label, but reverse the sense of the condition

## Reality check

- Lots of projects in the past have evaluated all booleans to get 1 or 0, then tested that value for control flow
- Would be nice to do better (as above), but "simple and works..."

### Join Points

- Loops and conditional statements have join points where execution paths merge
- Generated code must ensure that machine state will be consistent regardless of which path is taken to get there
  - i.e., the paths through an if-else statement must not leave a different number of values pushed onto the stack
  - If we want a particular value in a particular register at a join point, both paths must put it there, or we need to generate additional code to move the value to the correct register
- With a simple 1-accumulator model of code generation, this should usually be true without needing extra work; with better use of registers it becomes a bigger issue
  - With more registers, would need to be sure they are used consistently at join point regardless of how we get there

## **Bootstrap Program**

- The bootstrap is a tiny C program that calls your compiled code as if it were an ordinary C function
- It also contains some functions that compiled code can call as needed
  - Mini "runtime library"
  - Add to this if you like
    - Sometimes simpler to generate a call to a new library routine instead of generating in-line code
    - Suggestion: do this for "exit if subscript out of bounds" check
- File: src/runtime/boot.c in project starter code

## **Bootstrap Program Sketch**

```
#include <stdio.h>
extern void asm main(); /* compiled code */
/* execute compiled program */
void main() { asm main(); }
/* write x to standard output */
void put(int64 t x) { ... }
/* return a pointer to a block of memory at least nBytes
  large (or null if insufficient memory available) */
char* mjcalloc(size t nBytes) { return calloc(1,nBytes); }
```

## Main Program Label

- Compiler needs special handling for the publicstaticvoid main method label
  - Label must be the same as the one declared extern in the C bootstrap program and declared .globl in the .s asm file
  - asm\_main used above
    - Could be changed, but probably no point
    - Why not "main"? (Hint: where is the real main?)

## Interfacing to "Library" code

- Trivial to call "library" functions
- Evaluate parameters using the regular calling conventions
- Generate a call instruction using the "library" function label
  - (External names need leading \_ in Windows, OS X)
  - Linker will hook everything up

# System.out.println(exp)

```
MiniJava's "print" statement
```

```
<compile exp; result in %rax>
```

```
movq %rax,%rdi # load argument register
```

call put # call external put routine

• If the stack is not kept 16-byte aligned, calls to external C or library code can cause a runtime error (will cause error halt on MacOS)

## If you want to run code on a Mac...

- Compiled code should work on a mac, but need to watch for these points:
  - External labels need to start with (e.g., put)
  - %rsp must be 16-byte aligned when call is executed (should be anyway, but Linux will probably allow 8-byte align)
  - Addresing modes: assembler might reject leaq label, %rax. Use leaq label(%rip), %rax instead (explicit base reg.; also works fine on Linux)
  - Hard to run gdb on a mac. Use clang/lldb instead
- And be sure that things run on Linux in your final version!!! (No external labels)

## And That's It...

- We've now got enough on the table to complete the compiler code generator
- Coming Attractions
  - Lower-level IR and control-flow graphs
  - Back end (instruction selection and scheduling, register allocation)
  - Middle (optimizations)