CSE 401/M501 – Compilers

ASTs, Modularity, and the Visitor Pattern

Hal Perkins

Autumn 2019

Agenda

Today:

- AST operations: modularity and encapsulation
- Visitor pattern: basic ideas and variations
- Some of the "why" behind the "how"

Covered in sections:

- Representation of ASTs as a tree of Java objects
- Parser semantic actions and AST generation
- AST/Parser/Visitor classes in project code

Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs - review)

- Idea: capture the essential structure of a program; omit extraneous details
 - i.e, only what the rest of the compiler needs; omit concrete syntax used only to guide the parse (e.g., punctuation, chain productions)
- AST Java implementation
 - Simple tree node objects (basically structs/records)
 - Subtree pointers plus (usually) other useful information like source program locations (e.g., line/character numbers), links to semantic (symbol table) information (later), ...
 - But not much more!
 - Use type system and inheritance to factor common information and allow polymorphic treatment of related nodes

Operations on ASTs

- Once we have the AST, we may want to:
 - Print a readable dump of the tree
 - Print a parseable (source-code) version of the tree (socalled pretty-printing)
 - Do static semantic analysis:
 - Type checking
 - Verify that things are declared and initialized properly
 - Etc. etc. etc. etc.
 - Perform optimizing transformations on the tree
 - Generate code from the tree, or
 - Generate another IR from the tree for further processing

Modularity

- Classic slogans:
 - Do one thing well
 - Minimize coupling, maximize cohesion
 - Isolate operations/abstractions in modules
 - Hide implementation details

 Okay, so where in a MiniJava compiler does the typechecker module belong?

Where do the Operations Go?

- Pure "object-oriented" style
 - Really, really, really smart AST nodes
 - Each node knows how to perform every operation on itself

```
public class WhileNode extends StmtNode {
   public WhileNode(...);
   public typeCheck(...);
   public StrengthReductionOptimize(...);
   public DeadCodeEliminationOptimize(...);
   public generateCode(...);
   public prettyPrint(...);
   ...
}
```

Critique

- This is nicely encapsulated all details about a WhileNode are hidden in that class
- But it is poor modularity
- What happens if we want to add a new optimization (or any other) operation?
 - Have to modify every node class ☺
- Worse: the details of any particular operation (optimization, type checking) are scattered across the node classes

Modularity Issues

- Smart nodes make sense if the set of operations is relatively fixed and we expect to need flexibility to add new kinds of nodes
- Example: graphics system
 - Operations: draw, move, iconify, highlight
 - Objects: textbox, scrollbar, canvas, menu, dialog box, plus new objects defined during execution or over lifetime of system
- Another example: objects in a game or simulation

Modularity in a Compiler

- Abstract syntax does not change frequently over time – language changes are usually incremental
 - ∴ Kinds of nodes are relatively fixed
- As a compiler evolves, it is common to modify or add operations on the AST nodes
 - Want to modularize each operation (type check, optimize, code gen) so its parts are located together in the source code
 - Want to avoid having to change node classes when we modify or add an operation

Two Views of Modularity

	draw	move	iconify	highlight	transmogrify	
circle	X	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	
text	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	
canvas	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	
scroll	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	
dialog	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	

	Type check	Optimize	Generate x86	Flatten	Print				
IDENT	Х	Х	X	X	Χ				
exp	Х	X	Χ	X	X				
while	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х				
if	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х				
Binop	X	X	Χ	X	X				

Visitor Pattern

- Idea: Package each operation (optimization, print, code gen, ...) in a separate visitor class (module)
- Create exactly one instance of each visitor class (a singleton)
 - Sometimes called a "function object"
 - Contains all of the methods for that particular operation, one for each kind of AST node
- Include a generic "accept visitor" method in every node class
- To perform an operation, pass the appropriate "visitor object" around the AST during a traversal

Avoiding instanceof

 We'd like to avoid huge if-elseif nests in the visitor to discover the node types

```
void checkTypes(ASTNode p) {
    if (p instanceof WhileNode) { ... }
    else if (p instanceof IfNode) { ... }
    else if (p instanceof BinExp) { ... }
...
}
```

Visitor "Double Dispatch"

 Include a "visit" method for every AST node type in each Visitor

```
void visit(WhileNode);
void visit(ExpNode);
etc.
```

- Include an accept(Visitor v) method in each AST node class
- When Visitor v is passed to an AST node, the node's accept method calls v.visit(this)
 - Selects correct Visitor method for this node
 - Often called "double dispatch", but really single dispatch + overloading

Visitor Interface

```
interface Visitor {
    // overload visit for each AST node type
    public void visit(WhileNode s);
    public void visit(IfNode s);
    public void visit(BinExp e);
    ...
}
```

- Every separate Visitor class implements this interface
- Aside: The result type can be whatever is convenient, doesn't have to be void, although that is common
- Note: could also give methods unique names e.g., visitWhile, visitIf, visitBinExp, etc. instead of overloading visit(...). Best to follow existing code if either convention already adopted, otherwise individual preference.

Accept Method in Each AST Node Class

- Every AST class overrides accept(Visitor)
- Example

- Key points
 - Visitor object passed as a parameter to WhileNode
 - WhileNode calls visit, which calls visit(WhileNode) because of compiletime overloading – i.e., the correct method for this kind of node
- Note: if visitor methods have unique names, instead of calling overloaded visit(...) WhileNode would call something like v.visitWhile(this).

Composite Objects (1)

- How do we handle composite objects?
- One possibility: the accept method passes the visitor down to subtrees before (or after) visiting itself

```
public class WhileNode extends StmtNode {
   Expr exp; Stmt stmt; // children
   ...
   // accept a visit from visitor v
   public void accept (Visitor v) {
      this.exp.accept(v);
      this.stmt.accept(v);
      v.visit(this);
   }
```

Composite Objects (2)

 Another possibility: the visitor can control the traversal inside the visit method for that particular kind of node

```
public void visit(WhileNode p) {
    p.expr.accept(this);
    p.stmt.accept(this);
}
```

Encapsulation

- A visitor object often needs to be able to access state in the AST nodes
 - ... May need to expose more node state than we might do to otherwise
 - i.e., lots of public fields in node objects
 - Overall a good tradeoff better modularity
 (plus, the nodes usually should be relatively simple data objects anyway not hiding much of anything)

Visitor Actions and State

- A visitor function has a reference to the node it is visiting (its parameter)
 - : can access and manipulate subtrees directly
- Visitor object can also contain local data (state) shared by methods in the visitor
 - This data is effectively "global" to the methods in the visitor object, and can be used to store and pass around information accumulated by the visit methods

```
public class TypeCheckVisitor extends NodeVisitor {
   public void visit(WhileNode s) { ... }
   public void visit(IfNode s) { ... }
   ...
   private <local state>; // all typecheck visitor methods can read/write this
}
```

So which to choose?

Possibilities:

- Node objects drive the traversal and pass the visitors around the tree in standard ways
- Visitor object drives the traversal (the visitor has access to the node, including references to child subtrees)

• In a compiler:

- First choice handles many common cases
- Big compilers often have multiple visitor schemes (e.g., several standard traversals defined in Node interface plus custom traversals in some visitors)
- For MiniJava: keep it simple and start with supplied examples, but if you really need to do something different, you can
 - (i.e., keep an open mind, but not so open that you create needless complexity)

Why is it so complicated?

- What we're really trying to do: 2-argument dynamic dispatch
 - Pick correct method based on dynamic types of both the node and the visitor
- But Java and most O-O languages only support single dispatch
 - So we use single dispatch plus overloading to get the effect we want

References

- For Visitor pattern (and many others)
 - Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software, Gamma, Helm, Johnson, and Vlissides, Addison-Wesley, 1995 (the classic, examples are in C++ and Smalltalk)
 - Object-Oriented Design & Patterns, Horstmann,
 A-W, 2nd ed, 2006 (uses Java)
- Specific information for MiniJava AST and visitors in Appel textbook & online

Coming Attractions

- Static Analysis
 - Type checking & representation of types
 - Non-context-free rules (variables and types must be declared, etc.)
- Symbol Tables
- Then compiler back end
- More about compiler IRs when we get to optimizations
- But first: finish parsing (LL, top-down, recursive descent, ...)